

Details on proof of lemma 10 in chp. 4 of O'Neill's  
Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity

G. Ramos

gustavopramos@gmail.com

$M$  is a paracompact smooth manifold, hence metrizable.

Let  $d$  be a metric on  $M$  which induces the same topology  $M$  has as a smooth manifold to the metric space  $(M, d)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a locally finite open refinement of the open covering  $\mathcal{C}^*$ . We may suppose that given  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\nexists \tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\tilde{D} \subset D$ . (one may obtain another locally finite open covering  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  by taking out the sets  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  for which  $\exists \tilde{D} \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $D \subset \tilde{D}$ )

Let us construct an open covering  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $M$  such that given  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ , if  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  then there exists  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}^*$  such that  $A \cup B \subset C$ .

Given  $p \in M$ :

Let  $U_p$  be an open neighborhood of  $p$  which intersects a finite number of sets in  $\mathcal{D}$ , which we shall denote  $D_{p,1}, \dots, D_{p,n}$ .  $\mathcal{D}$  is an open covering of  $M$ , so we may suppose that there exists  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $U_p \subset D$ . (indeed, as  $\mathcal{D}$  is an open covering of  $M$ , there exists  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $p \in D$  so  $\tilde{U}_p = U_p \cap D$  is an open neighborhood of  $p$  which intersects a finite number of elements in  $\mathcal{D}$ )

Fix  $D^p \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $U_p \subset D^p$ .

By renumbering the sets in  $\mathcal{D}$  which intersect  $U_p$ , we may suppose  $D_{p,1}, \dots, D_{p,k}$  are all the sets  $D_{p,i}$  such that  $p \notin D_{p,i}$ , where  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .

If  $k = 0$ , let  $r_p > 0$  and  $V_p = U_p \cap B_{r_p}(p) \cap D_{p,1} \cap \dots \cap D_{p,n}$ .

Otherwise, note that given  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ;  $d(p, D_{p,j} - D^p) > 0$ . (indeed,  $D^p$  is an open neighborhood of  $p$  and  $p \notin D_{p,j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ )

Let  $r_p > 0$  be such that  $r_p \leq \frac{1}{3} \min\{d(p, D_{p,j} - D^p) : j = 1, \dots, k\}$ .

Let  $V_p = U_p \cap B_{r_p}(p) \cap D_{p,k+1} \cap \dots \cap D_{p,n}$ . (if  $k = n$ , let  $V_p = U_p \cap B_{r_p}(p)$ )

Finally, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{V_p : p \in M\}$ .

Let us prove that  $\mathcal{B}$  has the desired properties.

Given  $p, q \in M$ , there are two possible cases:

1.  $p \in D^q$  (or  $q \in D^p$ ):

In this case,  $V_q \subset D^p$  ( $V_p \subset D^q$ ) because  $D^p$  ( $D^q$ ) is one of the  $D_{q,i}$  ( $D_{p,i}$ ) such that  $q \in D_{q,i}$  ( $p \in D_{p,i}$ ).

Therefore,  $V_p \cup V_q \subset D^p$  ( $D^q$ ) and we have the desired property because  $\mathcal{D}$  is an open refinement of  $\mathcal{C}^*$ .

2.  $p \notin D^q$  and  $q \notin D^p$ :

We shall show that  $V_p \cap V_q = \emptyset$ . Suppose otherwise.

$V_p \subset B_{r_p}(p)$ ,  $V_q \subset B_{r_q}(q)$  and  $V_p \cap V_q \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists  $x \in B_{r_p}(p) \cap B_{r_q}(q)$ .

On one hand,  $d(p, q) \leq d(p, x) + d(x, q) \leq r_p + r_q$ .

On the other hand,  $V_p \cap V_q \neq \emptyset$  so  $D^p$  is one of the  $D_{q,i}$  which intersects  $V_q$  but  $q \notin D^p$  and conversely for  $D^q$  with respect to  $p$ . Therefore,  $d(p, q) \geq d(p, D^q - D^p) \geq 3r_p$  and  $d(p, q) \geq d(q, D^p - D^q) \geq 3r_q$  so  $d(p, q) \geq \frac{3}{2}(r_p + r_q)$ .

Contradiction.