We here collect unsolved problems, questions, and conjectures mentioned in this book. For terminology and background, we refer to the pages indicated.

1 (page 41). Is NP $\neq$ P?

**2** (page 42). Is  $P=NP\cap co-NP$ ?

**3** (page 65). The *Hirsch conjecture*: A *d*-dimensional polytope with *m* facets has diameter at most m - d.

4 (page 161). Is there an O(nm)-time algorithm for finding a maximum flow?

**5** (page 232). Berge [1982b] posed the following conjecture generalizing the Gallai-Milgram theorem. Let D = (V, A) be a digraph and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then for each path collection  $\mathcal{P}$  partitioning V and minimizing

(1) 
$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \min\{|VP|, k\}$$

there exist disjoint stable sets  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  in D such that each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  intersects  $\min\{|VP|, k\}$  of them. This was proved by Saks [1986] for acyclic graphs.

**6** (page 403). The following open problem was mentioned by Fulkerson [1971b]: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be families of subsets of a set S and let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_+^S$ . What is the maximum number k of common transversals  $T_1, \ldots, T_k$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

(2)  $\chi^{T_1} + \dots + \chi^{T_k} \le w?$ 

7 (page 459). Can the weighted matching problem be formulated as a linear programming problem of size bounded by a polynomial in the size of the graph, by extending the set of variables? That is, is the matching polytope of a graph G = (V, E) equal to the projection of some polytope  $\{x \mid Ax \leq b\}$  with A and b having size bounded by a polynomial in |V| + |E|?

8 (pages 472,646). The 5-flow conjecture of Tutte [1954a]:

(3) (?) each bridgeless graph has a nowhere-zero 5-flow. (?)

(A nowhere-zero k-flow is a flow over  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  in some orientation of the graph, taking value 0 nowhere.)

9 (pages 472,498,645,1426). The 4-flow conjecture of Tutte [1966]:

(4) (?) each bridgeless graph without Petersen graph minor has a nowhere-zero 4-flow. (?)

This implies the four-colour theorem. For cubic graphs, (4) was proved by Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [1997], Sanders, Seymour, and Thomas [2000], and Sanders and Thomas [2000].

Seymour [1981c] showed that the 4-flow conjecture is equivalent to the following more general conjecture, also due to Tutte [1966]:

(5) (?) each bridgeless matroid without  $F_7^*$ ,  $M^*(K_5)$ , or  $M(\mathbf{P}_{10})$  minor has a nowhere-zero flow over GF(4). (?)

Here  $\mathbf{P}_{10}$  denotes the Petersen graph.

**10** (page 472). The 3-*flow conjecture* (W.T. Tutte, 1972 (cf. Bondy and Murty [1976], Unsolved problem 48)):

(6) (?) each 4-edge-connected graph has a nowhere-zero 3-flow. (?)

11 (page 473). The weak 3-flow conjecture of Jaeger [1988]:

(7) (?) there exists a number k such that each k-edge-connected graph has a nowhere-zero 3-flow. (?)

**12** (page 473). The following *circular flow conjecture* of Jaeger [1984] generalizes both the 3-flow and the 5-flow conjecture:

(8) (?) for each  $k \ge 1$ , any 4k-connected graph has an orientation such that in each vertex, the indegree and the outdegree differ by an integer multiple of 2k + 1. (?)

**13** (pages 475,645). The generalized Fulkerson conjecture of Seymour [1979a]:

(9) (?) 
$$\left[\chi'^*(G)\right] = \left[\frac{1}{2}\chi'(G_2)\right]$$
 (?)

for each graph G. (Here  ${\chi'}^*(G)$  denotes the fractional edge-colouring number of G, and  $G_2$  the graph obtained from G by replacing each edge by two parallel edges.) This is equivalent to the conjecture that

(10) (?) for each k-graph G there exists a family of 2k perfect matchings, covering each edge precisely twice. (?)

(A k-graph is a k-regular graph G = (V, E) with  $|\delta(U)| \ge k$  for each odd-size subset U of V.)

14 (pages 476,645). Fulkerson [1971a] asked if in each bridgeless cubic graph there exist 6 perfect matchings, covering each edge precisely twice (the *Fulkerson conjecture*). It is a special case of Seymour's generalized Fulkerson conjecture.

**15** (page 476). Berge [1979a] conjectures that the edges of any bridgeless cubic graph can be covered by 5 perfect matchings. (This would follow from the Fulkerson conjecture.)

**16** (page 476). Gol'dberg [1973] and Seymour [1979a] conjecture that for each (not necessarily simple) graph G one has

(11) (?) 
$$\chi'(G) \le \max\{\Delta(G) + 1, \lceil \chi'^*(G) \rceil\}.$$
 (?)

An equivalent conjecture was stated by Andersen [1977].

**17** (page 476). Seymour [1981c] conjectures the following generalization of the four-colour theorem:

(12) (?) each planar k-graph is k-edge-colourable. (?)

For k = 3, this is equivalent to the four-colour theorem. For k = 4 and k = 5, it was derived from the case k = 3 by Guenin [2002b].

18 (pages 476,644). Lovász [1987] conjectures more generally:

(13) (?) each k-graph without Petersen graph minor is k-edge-colourable. (?)

This is equivalent to stating that the incidence vectors of perfect matchings in a graph without Petersen graph minor, form a Hilbert base.

**19** (page 481). The following question was asked by Vizing [1968]: Is there a simple planar graph of maximum degree 6 and with edge-colouring number 7?

**20** (page 481). Vizing [1965a] asked if a minimum edge-colouring of a graph can be obtained from an arbitrary edge-colouring by iteratively swapping colours on a colour-alternating path or circuit and deleting empty colours.

**21** (page 482). Vizing [1976] conjectures that the list-edge-colouring number of any graph is equal to its edge-colouring number.

(The list-edge-colouring number  $\chi^{l}(G)$  of a graph G = (V, E) is the minimum number k such that for each choice of sets  $L_{e}$  for  $e \in E$  with  $|L_{e}| = k$ , one can select  $l_{e} \in L_{e}$  for  $e \in E$  such that for any two incident edges e, f one has  $l_{e} \neq l_{f}$ .)

**22** (page 482). Behzad [1965] and Vizing [1968] conjecture that the total colouring number of a simple graph G is at most  $\Delta(G)+2$ . (The total colouring

number of a graph G = (V, E) is a colouring of  $V \cup E$  such that each colour consists of a stable set and a matching, vertex-disjoint.)

**23** (page 482). More generally, Vizing [1968] conjectures that the total colouring number of a graph G is at most  $\Delta(G) + \mu(G) + 1$ , where  $\mu(G)$  is the maximum edge multiplicity of G.

**24** (pages 497,645). Seymour [1979b] conjectures that each even integer vector in the circuit cone of a graph is a nonnegative integer combination of incidence vectors of circuits.

**25** (pages 497,645,1427). A special case of this is the *circuit double cover* conjecture (asked by Szekeres [1973] and conjectured by Seymour [1979b]): each bridgeless graph has circuits such that each edge is covered by precisely two of them.

Jamshy and Tarsi [1989] proved that the circuit double cover conjecture is equivalent to a generalization to matroids:

(14) (?) each bridgeless binary matroid without  $F_7^*$  minor has a circuit double cover. (?)

**26** (page 509). Is the system of T-join constraints totally dual quarter-integral?

**27** (page 517). L. Lovász asked for the complexity of the following problem: given a graph G = (V, E), vertices  $s, t \in V$ , and a length function  $l : E \to \mathbb{Q}$  such that each circuit has nonnegative length, find a shortest odd s - t path.

**28** (page 545). What is the complexity of deciding if a given graph has a 2-factor without circuits of length at most 4?

**29** (page 545). What is the complexity of finding a maximum-weight 2-factor without circuits of length at most 3?

**30** (page 646). Tarsi [1986] mentioned the following strengthening of the circuit double cover conjecture:

- (15) (?) in each bridgeless graph there exists a family of at most 5 cycles covering each edge precisely twice. (?)
- **31** (page 657). Is the dual of any algebraic matroid again algebraic?

**32** (page 892). A special case of a question asked by A. Frank (cf. Schrijver [1979b], Frank [1995]) amounts to the following:

(16) (?) Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph and let  $s \in V$ . Suppose that for each vertex  $t \neq s$ , there exist k internally vertex-disjoint s-t paths. Then G has k spanning trees such that for each vertex

 $t \neq s$ , the s - t paths in these trees are internally vertex-disjoint. (?)

(The spanning trees need not be edge-disjoint — otherwise  $G = K_3$  would form a counterexample.) For k = 2, (16) was proved by Itai and Rodeh [1984, 1988], and for k = 3 by Cheriyan and Maheshwari [1988] and Zehavi and Itai [1989].

**33** (page 962). Can a maximum number of disjoint directed cut covers in a directed graph be found in polynomial time?

**34** (page 962). Woodall [1978a,1978b] conjectures (Woodall's conjecture):

(17) (?) In a digraph, the minimum size of a directed cut is equal to the maximum number of disjoint directed cut covers. (?)

**35** (page 985). Let G = (V, E) be a complete undirected graph, and consider the system

(18)  $0 \le x_e \le 1$  for each edge e,  $x(\delta(v)) = 2$  for each vertex v,  $x(\delta(U)) \ge 2$  for each  $U \subseteq V$  with  $\emptyset \ne U \ne V$ .

Let  $l: E \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a length function. Is the minimum length of a Hamiltonian circuit at most  $\frac{4}{3}$  times the minimum value of  $l^{\mathsf{T}}x$  over (18)?

**36** (page 990). Padberg and Grötschel [1985] conjecture that the diameter of the symmetric traveling salesman polytope of a complete graph is at most 2.

**37** (page 1076). Frank [1994a] conjectures:

(19) (?) Let D = (V, A) be a simple acyclic directed graph. Then the minimum size of a k-vertex-connector for D is equal to the maximum of  $\sum_{v \in V} \max\{0, k - \deg^{in}(v)\}$  and  $\sum_{v \in V} \max\{0, k - \deg^{out}(v)\}$ . (?)

(A k-vertex-connector for D is a set of (new) arcs whose addition to D makes it k-vertex-connected.)

**38** (page 1087). *Hadwiger's conjecture* (Hadwiger [1943]): If  $\chi(G) \ge k$ , then G contains  $K_k$  as a minor.

Hadwiger's conjecture is trivial for k = 1, 2, 3, was shown by Hadwiger [1943] for k = 4 (also by Dirac [1952]), is equivalent to the four-colour theorem for k = 5 (by a theorem of Wagner [1937a]), and was derived from the four-colour theorem for k = 6 by Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [1993]. For  $k \ge 7$ , the conjecture is unsettled.

**39** (page 1099). Chvátal [1973a] asked if for each fixed t, the stable set problem for graphs for which the stable set polytope arises from P(G) by at most

t rounds of cutting planes, is polynomial-time solvable. Here P(G) is the polytope determined by the nonnegativity and clique inequalities.

**40** (page 1099). Chvátal [1975b] conjectures that there is no polynomial p(n) such that for each graph G with n vertices we can obtain the inequality  $x(V) \leq \alpha(G)$  from the system defining Q(G) by adding at most p(n) cutting planes. Here Q(G) is the polytope determined by the nonnegativity and edge inequalities. (This conjecture would be implied by NP $\neq$ co-NP.)

**41** (page 1105). Gyárfás [1987] conjectures that there exists a function  $g : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\chi(G) \leq g(\omega(G))$  for each graph G without odd holes.

42 (page 1107). Can perfection of a graph be tested in polynomial time?

**43** (page 1131). Berge [1982a] conjectures the following. A directed graph D = (V, A) is called  $\alpha$ -diperfect if for every induced subgraph D' = (V', A') and each maximum-size stable set S in D' there is a partition of V' into directed paths each intersecting S in exactly one vertex. Then for each directed graph D:

(20) (?) D is  $\alpha$ -diperfect if and only if D has no induced subgraph Cwhose underlying undirected graph is a chordless odd circuit of length  $\geq 5$ , say with vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2k+1}$  (in order) such that each of  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6, v_8, \ldots, v_{2k}$  is a source or a sink. (?)

**44** (page 1170). Is  $\vartheta(C_n) = \Theta(C_n)$  for each odd n?

**45** (page 1170). Can Haemers' bound  $\eta(G)$  on the Shannon capacity of a graph G be computed in polynomial time?

46 (page 1187). Is every t-perfect graph strongly t-perfect?

Here a graph is *t-perfect* if its stable set polytope is determined by the nonnegativity, edge, and odd circuit constraints. It is *strongly t-perfect* if this system is totally dual integral.

47 (page 1195). T-perfection is closed under taking induced subgraphs and under contracting all edges in  $\delta(v)$  where v is a vertex not contained in a triangle. What are the minimally non-t-perfect graphs under this operation?

**48** (page 1242). For any k, let f(k) be the smallest number such that in any f(k)-connected undirected graph, for any choice of distinct vertices  $s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_k, t_k$  there exist vertex-disjoint  $s_1 - t_1, \ldots, s_k - t_k$  paths. Thomassen [1980] conjectures that f(k) = 2k + 2 for  $k \ge 2$ .

**49** (page 1242). For any k, let g(k) be the smallest number such that in any g(k)-edge-connected undirected graph, for any choice of vertices  $s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_k, t_k$  there exist edge-disjoint  $s_1-t_1, \ldots, s_k-t_k$  paths. Thomassen [1980] conjectures that g(k) = k if k is odd and g(k) = k + 1 if k is even. **50** (page 1243). What is the complexity of the k arc-disjoint paths problem in directed planar graphs, for any fixed  $k \ge 2$ ? This is even unknown for k = 2, also if we restrict ourselves to two opposite nets.

**51** (page 1274). Karzanov [1991] conjectures that if the nets in a multiflow problem form two disjoint triangles and if the capacities and demands are integer and satisfy the Euler condition, then the existence of a fractional multiflow implies the existence of a half-integer multiflow.

**52** (page 1274). The previous conjecture implies that for each graph H = (T, R) without three disjoint edges, there is an integer k such that for each graph G = (V, E) with  $V \supseteq T$  and any  $c : E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $d : R \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ , if there is a feasible multiflow, then there exists a  $\frac{1}{k}$ -integer multiflow.

**53** (page 1276). Okamura [1998] conjectures the following. Let G = (V, E) be an *l*-edge-connected graph (for some *l*). Let H = (T, R) be a 'demand' graph, with  $T \subseteq V$ , such that  $d_R(U) \leq l$  for each  $U \subseteq V$ . Then the edge-disjoint paths problem has a half-integer solution.

54 (page 1293). Is each Mader matroid a gammoid?

55 (page 1294). Is each Mader matroid linear?

**56** (page 1299). Is the undirected edge-disjoint paths problem for planar graphs polynomial-time solvable if all terminals are on the outer boundary? Is it NP-complete?

**57** (page 1310). Is the integer multiflow problem polynomial-time solvable if the graph and the nets form a planar graph such that the nets are spanned by a fixed number of faces?

**58** (page 1310). Pfeiffer [1990] raised the question if the edge-disjoint paths problem has a half-integer solution if the graph G + H (the union of the supply graph and the demand graph) is embeddable in the torus and there exists a quarter-integer solution.

**59** (page 1320). Let G = (V, E) be a planar bipartite graph and let q be a vertex on the outer boundary. Do there exist disjoint cuts  $C_1, \ldots, C_p$  such that any pair s, t of vertices with s and t on the outer boundary, or with s = q, is separated by  $dist_G(s, t)$  cuts?

**60** (page 1345). Fu and Goddyn [1999] asked: Is the class of graphs for which the incidence vectors of cuts form a Hilbert base, closed under taking minors?

**61** (page 1382). Füredi, Kahn, and Seymour [1993] conjecture that for each hypergraph  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  and each  $w : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , there exists a matching  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  such that

(21) 
$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{M}} \left( |F| - 1 + \frac{1}{|F|} \right) w(F) \ge \nu_w^*(H),$$

where  $\nu_w^*(H)$  is the maximum weight  $w^{\mathsf{T}}y$  of a fractional matching  $y: \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**62** (pages 1387,1408). Seymour [1981a] conjectures:

(22) (?) a binary hypergraph is ideal if and only if it has no  $\mathcal{O}(K_5)$ ,  $b(\mathcal{O}(K_5))$ , or  $F_7$  minor. (?)

**63** (page 1392). Seymour [1990b] asked the following. Suppose that  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  is a hypergraph without  $J_n$  minor  $(n \ge 3)$ . Let  $l, w : V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  be such that

(23) 
$$\tau(H^w) \cdot \tau(b(H)^l) > l^{\mathsf{T}} w$$

Is there a minor H' of H and  $l', w' : VH' \to \{0, 1\}$  such that

(24) 
$$\tau((H')^{w'}) \cdot \tau(b(H')^{l'}) > {l'}^{\mathsf{T}} w$$

and such that  $\tau((H')^{w'}) \leq \tau(H^w)$  and  $\tau(b(H')^{l'}) \leq \tau(b(H)^l)$ ?

Here, for each  $n \ge 3$ :  $J_n :=$  the hypergraph with vertex set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and edges  $\{2, \ldots, n\}, \{1, 2\}, \ldots, \{1, n\}.$ 

**64** (page 1392). Seymour [1990b] also asked the following. Let  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a nonideal hypergraph. Is the minimum of  $\tau(H')$  over all parallelizations and minors H' of H with  $\tau^*(H') < \tau(H')$  attained by a minor of H?

**65** (page 1395). Cornuéjols and Novick [1994] conjecture that there are only finitely many minimally nonideal hypergraphs H with  $r_{\min}(H) > 2$  and  $\tau(H) > 2$ .

**66** (page 1396). Ding [1993] asked whether there exists a number t such that each minimally nonideal hypergraph H satisfies  $r_{\min}(H) \leq t$  or  $\tau(H) \leq t$ .

(The above conjecture of Cornuéjols and Novick [1994] implies a positive answer to this question.)

67 (page 1396). Ding [1993] conjectures that for each fixed  $k \geq 2$ , each minor-minimal hypergraph H with  $\tau_k(H) < k \cdot \tau(H)$ , contains some  $J_n$  minor  $(n \geq 3)$  or satisfies the regularity conditions of Lehman's theorems (Theorem 78.4 and 78.5).

**68** (page 1401). Conforti and Cornuéjols [1993] conjecture:

(25) (?) a hypergraph is Mengerian if and only if it is packing. (?)

69 (page 1401). Cornuéjols, Guenin, and Margot [1998,2000] conjecture:

(26) (?) each minimally nonideal hypergraph H with  $r_{\min}(H)\tau(H) = |VH| + 1$  is minimally nonpacking. (?)

**70** (page 1401). Cornuéjols, Guenin, and Margot [1998,2000] conjecture that  $\tau(H) = 2$  for each ideal minimally nonpacking hypergraph H.

**71** (page 1404). Seymour [1981a] conjectures that  $T_{30}$  is the unique minorminimal binary ideal hypergraph H with the property  $\nu_2(H) < 2\tau(H)$ .

Here the hypergraph  $T_{30}$  arises as follows. Replace each edge of the Petersen graph by a path of length 2, making the graph G. Let  $T := VG \setminus \{v\}$ , where v is an arbitrary vertex of v of degree 3. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the collection of T-joins. Then  $T_{30} := (EG, \mathcal{E})$ .

**72** (page 1405). P.D. Seymour (personal communication 1975) conjectures that for each ideal hypergraph H there exists an integer k such that  $\nu_k(H) = k \cdot \tau(H)$  and such that  $k = 2^i$  for some i. He also asks if k = 4 would do in all cases.

**73** (page 1405). Seymour [1979a] conjectures that for each ideal hypergraph H, the g.c.d. of those k with  $\nu_k(H) = k \cdot \tau(H)$  is equal to 1 or 2.

74 (page 1409). Is the following true for binary hypergraphs H:

(27) (?)  $\nu(H^w) = \tau(H^w)$  for each  $w: V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  with w(B) even for all  $B \in b(H) \iff \frac{1}{2}\nu_2(H^w) = \tau(H^w)$  for each  $w: V \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \iff H$  has no  $\mathcal{O}(K_5), b(\mathcal{O}(K_5)), F_7$ , or  $T_{15}$  minor. (?)

Here  $T_{15}$  is the hypergraph of  $V\mathbf{P}_{10}$ -joins in the Petersen graph  $\mathbf{P}_{10}$ .

**75** (page 1421). Seymour [1981a] conjectures that for any binary matroid M:

(28) (?) M is 1-cycling  $\iff M$  is 1-flowing  $\iff M$  has no AG(3,2),  $T_{11}$ , or  $T_{11}^*$  minor. (?)

Here  $T_{11}$  is the binary matroid represented by the 11 vectors in  $\{0, 1\}^5$  with precisely 3 or 5 ones. Moreover, AG(3,2) is the matroid with 8 elements obtained from the 3-dimensional affine geometry over GF(2).