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#### Abstract

Groups with triality, which arose in the papers of Glauberman and Doro, are naturally connected with Moufang loops. In this paper, we describe all possible, in a sense, groups with triality associated with a given Moufang loop. We also introduce several universal groups with triality and discuss their properties.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

With every loop $L$ there are naturally associated several groups: the group $\operatorname{Mlt}(L)$ of permutations of $L$ generated by the operators $L_{x}$ and $R_{x}$ of left and right multiplication by $x$ in $L$, the stabilizer $\mathcal{J}(L)$ in $M l t(L)$ of the identity $1 \in L$, the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$, the group of inner automorphisms $\operatorname{Inn}(L)=\mathcal{J}(L) \cap \operatorname{Aut}(L)$. In the case of Moufang loops Glauberman [5] noted that if $L$ has trivial nucleus then the group $\operatorname{Mlt}(L)$ admits a natural action of the symmetric group $S_{3}=\left\langle\sigma, r \mid \sigma^{2}=\rho^{3}=(\sigma \rho)^{2}=1\right\rangle$ so that $L_{x}^{\sigma}=R_{x}^{-1}, L_{x}^{\rho}=R_{x}, R_{x}^{\rho}=L_{x}^{-1} R_{x}^{-1}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}(L)=\left\{x \in \operatorname{Mlt}(L) \mid x^{\sigma}=x\right\}$, $\operatorname{Inn}(L)=\left\{x \in \mathcal{J}(L) \mid x^{\rho}=x\right\}$, and the group $\operatorname{Mlt}(L)$ in this case satisfies the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{-1} x^{\sigma}\right)\left(x^{-1} x^{\sigma}\right)^{\rho}\left(x^{-1} x^{\sigma}\right)^{\rho^{2}}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \operatorname{Mlt}(L)$.
Subsequently, Doro [6] called a group $G$ that admits an action of $S_{3}$ satisfying (1) a group with triality and showed that $G=H M^{\rho^{2}}$, where $H=\left\{x \in G \mid x^{\sigma}=x\right\}$ and $M=\left\{x^{-1} x^{\sigma} \mid x \in G\right\}$; moreover, $\left(M^{\rho^{2}}, \star\right)$ is a Moufang loop with multiplication $x \star y=z$ iff $x y=h z$ for $h \in H$. He also showed that every Moufang loop can be obtained in this way from a suitable group with triality. This approach made it possible to solve problems about Moufang loops using the well developed theory of groups. For example Liebeck [4] using the classification of finite simple groups proved that every non-associative finite Moufang loop is isomorphic to a Paige loop $M(q)$.

The Moufang loops first appeared in the papers of R. Moufang about projective planes. The above theorem of Doro can be easily (and beautifully) proved [10] using the relation between Moufang loops and projective planes (or 3-nets).

The authors of this paper have used the connection of Moufang loops and groups with triality in the study of subloops of the simple Paige loops $M(q)$. As a consequence, an analog of Lagrange's theorem was proved for finite Moufang loops [12] and the maximal subloops of $M(q)$ were described [13]. In the cited papers we used the fact that for a given group with triality $G$ the set $M=\left\{x^{-1} x^{\sigma} \mid x \in G\right\}$ is a Moufang loop with respect to the multiplication $m . n=m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}$. This observation gives a (third) simple proof of Doro's theorem (see Theorem 1 of the present paper).

A group with triality corresponding to a given Moufang loop $M$ is not uniquely determined. Doro [6] defined by generators and defining relations a universal group
with triality $\mathcal{D}(M)$ such that every other group with triality $G$ corresponding to $M$ and satisfying $\left[G, S_{3}\right]=G$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{D}(M)$.

In turn, Mikheev [7] constructed by an arbitrary Moufang loop $M$ a group with triality $\mathcal{W}(M)$ using the group of pseudoautomorphisms of $M$. Unfortunately, his paper does not contain proofs which are very non-trivial. In this article, we present a proof of Mikheev's theorem and show that his group with triality $\mathcal{W}(M)$ possesses universal properties dual to those of Doro's group $\mathcal{D}(M)$. In doing so we construct by an arbitrary Moufang loop $M$ a corresponding universal group with triality $\mathcal{U}(M)$ which contains $\mathcal{D}(M)$ and covers $\mathcal{W}(M)$.

There exists another important group with triality $\mathcal{E}(M)$ associated with every Moufang loop $M$, which is in a sense minimal among all such groups with triality. Moreover, this group $\mathcal{E}(M)$ always covers $\operatorname{Mlt}(M)$ and can be viewed as a natural generalization of Glauberman's triality to all Moufang loops. We show that $\operatorname{Mlt}(M)$ is a group with triality if and only if it coincides with $\mathcal{E}(M)$.

In the final section we have included some open problems about groups with triality and Moufang loop which in our view are of certain interest and importance.

## 2 Moufang loops and groups with triality

Introduce some notation. $C_{P}(Q)$ is the centralizer of $Q$ in $P$. For $x, y$ in a group $G$, we put $[x, y]=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y, x^{y}=y^{-1} x y, x^{-y}=\left(x^{-1}\right)^{y}$.

A loop $(Q,$.$) is called a Moufang loop if, for all x, y, z \in Q$, one (hence, any) of the following identities holds:

$$
(x \cdot y) \cdot(z \cdot x)=(x \cdot(y \cdot z)) \cdot x, \quad((x \cdot y) \cdot x) \cdot z=x \cdot(y \cdot(x \cdot z)), \quad x \cdot(y \cdot(z \cdot y))=((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \cdot y .
$$

For basic properties of Moufang loops, see [1]. We use the notation $\llbracket x, y \rrbracket=x^{-1} \cdot y^{-1} \cdot x . y$ instead of $[x, y]$ to denote the commutator in $Q$. By definition, the nucleus $N u c(Q)$ is the set $\{a \in Q \mid a .(x . y)=(a . x) . y\}$. The nucleus is a normal subgroup of $Q$. Also, denote $C(Q)=\{c \in Q \mid x c=c x \forall x \in Q\}$.

A group $G$ possessing automorphisms $\rho$ and $\sigma$ such that $\rho^{3}=\sigma^{2}=(\rho \sigma)^{2}=1$ is called a group with triality (relative to $\rho$ and $\sigma$ ) if the following relation holds for every $x$ in $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, \sigma] \cdot[x, \sigma]^{\rho} \cdot[x, \sigma]^{\rho^{2}}=1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x, \sigma]=x^{-1} x^{\sigma}$. Denote $S=\langle\rho, \sigma\rangle$. The relation (2) does not depend on a particular choice of the generators $\rho$ and $\sigma$ of $S$ (see [6]) and we will thus speak of a group with triality $S$. If $G$ is a group with triality $S$ then, for $g \in G$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(g)=g^{-1} g^{\sigma}, \quad \phi(g)=g^{-\rho} g^{\rho^{2}}, \quad \eta(g)=g g^{-\rho \sigma} g^{\rho^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, put $M=\xi(G)$ and $H=C_{G}(\sigma)$. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\sigma}=m^{-1} \in M \quad \text { for all } \quad m \in M \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Doro showed in [6] that the set $M^{\rho^{2}}$ is a right transversal of $H$ in $G$ and can be turned to a Moufang loop $\left(M^{\rho^{2}}, \star\right)$ by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \star n=k \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad m n=h k, \quad \text { for } \quad h \in H \quad m, n, k \in M^{\rho^{2}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Theorem 1 below we give a new proof of this fact.
Let $G$ be a group with triality $S$. $S$-invariant subgroups of $G$ are called $S$-subgroups. A homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ of groups with triality $G$ and $H$ is called an $S$-homomorphism if $\varphi \alpha=\alpha \varphi$ for all $\alpha \in S$. Denote by $Z_{S}(G)$ the $S$-center of $G$, which is by definition the maximal normal $S$-subgroup of $G$ on which $S$ acts trivially. The following dual properties hold:

Lemma 1 For every group $G$ with triality $S$, we have
(i) $[[G, S], S]=[G, S]$ is the $S$-subgroup of $G$ generated by $M$,
(ii) $Z_{S}\left(G / Z_{S}(G)\right)=1$ and $Z_{S}(G)=C_{G}([G, S] S)$.

Proof. (i) $[G, S]$ is generated by $[G, \sigma]=M,[G, \rho \sigma]=M^{\rho},[G, \sigma \rho]=M^{\rho^{2}},[G, \rho]$, and $\left[G, \rho^{2}\right]=[G, \rho]^{\sigma}$. Note that $[G, \rho] \subseteq M M^{\rho}$, since $g^{-1} g^{\rho}=\left(g^{-1} g^{\sigma}\right)\left(\left(g^{\rho \sigma}\right)^{-1}\left(g^{\rho \sigma}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\rho} \in$ $M M^{\rho}$. Hence $[G, S]$ is generated by $M$ as an $S$-subgroup.

We also have $\left[M^{\rho^{2}}, \sigma\right]=M$, since $m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{\rho^{2} \sigma}=m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho}=m$ for all $m \in M$ by (4) and (2). Hence the inclusion $[G, S] \subseteq[[G, S], S]$.
(ii) Clearly, $C_{G}([G, S] S) \subseteq Z_{S}(G)$. For every $N \geqq G$ with trivial $S$-action, we have $[N, G, S]=1$ and $[S, N, G]=1$. By the Three Subgroup Lemma, $N$ centralizes $[G, S]$ and we have the reverse inclusion.

Observe that $C_{G}(S) \cap M=1$, since $m=m^{\sigma}=m^{-1}$ implies $m^{2}=1$ by (4) and $m=m^{\rho}$ implies $m^{3}=1$ by (2), i.e., $m=1$ for every $m \in C_{G}(S) \cap M$. Now, let $N$ be the full preimage of $Z_{S}\left(G / Z_{S}(G)\right)$ in $G$. By (i), $[N, S]$ is generated by $[N, \sigma]$ as an
$S$-subgroup. Since $[N, \sigma] \subseteq Z_{S}(G) \subseteq C_{G}(S)$ and $[N, \sigma] \subseteq M$, we have $[N, S]=1$ as is required.

Lemma 2 Let $G$ be a group with triality $S, M=[G, \sigma]$, and $H=C_{G}(\sigma)$. We have
(i) $m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}=n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho} \in M \quad \forall m, n \in M$;
(ii) $\left[m, m^{\rho}\right]=\left[m, m^{\rho^{2}}\right]=\left[m^{\rho}, m^{\rho^{2}}\right]=1 \quad \forall m \in M$;
(iii) $\eta(G) \subseteq H$;
(iv) $\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right]=\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right] \in H \quad \forall m, n \in M$;
(v) $\phi(H) \subseteq M$ and $\phi(M) \subseteq H$;
(vi) For every $g \in G$, we have $g=\eta(g) \xi(g)^{\rho^{2}}$.

Proof. (i) By (2) and (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi\left(m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho^{2}}\right)=n^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{\sigma \rho} n^{\sigma \rho}=n^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho} n^{-\rho}=n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho} \in M \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m, n \in M$. Moreover, (2) also implies
$n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)^{\rho}\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)^{\rho^{2}}=n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho} m m^{\rho^{2}} n^{-1}=1$.
Conjugating this equality by $n$, we obtain $n^{-1} n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho} n m^{\rho^{2}}=n^{\rho} m n^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho} n m^{\rho^{2}}=1$ for all $m, n \in M$. Replacing $m$ by $m^{-1}$, we have $n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}=m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}$.
(ii) For every $m \in M$, we have $m m^{\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}=1$ by (2). Using (4), we obtain $1=m^{\sigma} m^{\rho \sigma} m^{\rho^{2} \sigma}=m^{-1} m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho}$. Replacing $m$ by $m^{-1}$, we have $m m^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho}=m m^{\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}$; therefore, $\left[m^{\rho}, m^{\rho^{2}}\right]=1$. It remain to act by $\rho$ to obtain the other two relations.
(iii) $\eta(g)^{\sigma}=g^{\sigma} g^{-\rho} g^{\sigma \rho}=g\left(g^{-1} g^{\sigma}\right)\left(g^{-1} g^{\sigma}\right)^{\rho}=g\left(g^{-1} g^{\sigma}\right)^{-\rho^{2}}=g g^{-\rho \sigma} g^{\rho^{2}}=\eta(g)$.
(iv) Let $m, n \in M$. Then, by item (i), we have
$n^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-1} n^{-\rho}=m^{\rho} n m^{\rho^{2}}$. Applying $\rho^{2}$ to this equality, we have
$n^{-\rho} m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1}=m n^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho}$. This, together with (2), implies
$n^{-\rho} m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{\rho} n^{\rho^{2}}=m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho} n^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho}$; hence,
$m^{\rho^{2}} n^{-\rho} m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{\rho}=m^{-\rho} n^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho} n^{-\rho^{2}}$, i.e., $\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right]=\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]$. We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho^{2}}\right)=m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho^{2}} n^{-\sigma} m^{-\sigma} m^{-\rho} n^{-\rho}=m^{\rho^{2}} n^{-\rho} m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{\rho}=\left[m^{-r^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right] \in H \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (4), (2), and (iii).
(v) Let $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. Then (4) and (ii) imply
$\phi(m)^{\sigma}=m^{-\rho \sigma} m^{\rho^{2} \sigma}=m^{\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho}=m^{-\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}=\phi(m) \in H$.
$\xi\left(\phi(h)^{\rho^{2}}\right)=\xi\left(h^{-1} h^{\rho}\right)=h^{-\rho} h h^{-\sigma} h^{\sigma \rho^{2}}=h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}}=\phi(h) \in M$.
(vi) This follows from (3).

Theorem 1 Let $G$ be a group with triality and put $M=\{[g, \sigma] \mid g \in G\}$ as above. Then the set $M$ is a Moufang loop with respect to the multiplication law

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \cdot n=m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}=n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho} \quad \forall \quad m, n \in M . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, this Moufang loop ( $M,$. ) is isomorphic to Doro's loop $\left(M^{\rho^{2}}, \star\right)$ with multiplication given by (5).

Proof. First, note that (8) correctly defines an operation on $M$ in view of (i) of Lemma 2. Also, the identity of $G$ is the identity of $M$ and taking inverses or powers of elements is the same whether considered in $G$ or $M$, which follows from (2) and (ii) of Lemma 2. We need to prove the Moufang identity ((m.n).m). $k=m .(n .(m . k))$. For $m, n, k \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((m \cdot n) \cdot m) \cdot k=\left(\left(m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}\right) \cdot m\right) \cdot k=\left(\left(m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\rho} m\left(m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\rho^{2}}\right) \cdot k= \\
& \left(m n^{-\rho}\left(m^{\rho^{2}} m m^{\rho}\right) n^{-\rho^{2}} m\right) \cdot k=(m n m) \cdot k=(m n m)^{-\rho} k(m n m)^{-\rho^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (2) and (i-ii) of Lemma 2. On the other hand,
$m \cdot(n \cdot(m \cdot k))=m \cdot\left(n \cdot\left(m^{-\rho} k m^{-\rho^{2}}\right)\right)=$
$m \cdot\left(n^{-\rho} m^{-\rho} k m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-\rho^{2}}\right)=(m n m)^{-\rho} k(m n m)^{-\rho^{2}}$. Hence, $M$ is a Moufang loop.
By (vi) of Lemma 2, for every $g \in G$, we have $g=\eta(g) \xi(g)^{\rho^{2}}$; hence, $G=H M^{\rho^{2}}$. Moreover, $M^{\rho^{2}}$ is a right transversal of $H$ in $G$. Indeed, if $H m^{\rho^{2}}=H n^{\rho^{2}}$ for $m, n \in M$ then $\left(m^{\rho^{2}} n^{-r^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}=m^{\rho^{2}} n^{-r^{2}}$, which implies $m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho}=n^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-\rho}$. Hence, $m=n$ by (2). Furthermore, for $m, n \in M$, we have

$$
m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho^{2}}=\eta\left(m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho^{2}}\right) \xi\left(m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\rho^{2}}=\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right]\left(m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}\right)^{\rho^{2}}
$$

by (6) and (7). Hence, $m^{\rho^{2}} \star n^{\rho^{2}}=\left(m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}\right)^{\rho^{2}}$ and $(M,.) \cong\left(M^{\rho^{2}}, \star\right)$, where the isomorphism is the map $m \mapsto m^{\rho^{2}}$.

We henceforth denote by $\mathcal{M}(G)$ the Moufang loop ( $M,$. ) constructed as in the above lemma from a given group with triality $G$. Conversely, given an arbitrary Moufang loop $Q$ there exist groups with triality $G$ such that $\mathcal{N}(G) \cong Q$. One such group $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ was constructed by Doro [6] and is defined in terms of abstract generators $P_{(x)}, L_{(x)}, R_{(x)}$ indexed by elements of $Q$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}(Q)= & =\left\{P_{(x)}, L_{(x)}, R_{(x)}, x \in Q \mid P_{(1)}=L_{(1)}=R_{(1)}=1, P_{(x)} L_{(x)} R_{(x)}=1,\right. \\
& P_{(x)} P_{(y)} P_{(x)}=P_{(x . y . x)}, L_{(x)} L_{(y)} L_{(x)}=L_{(x . y . x)}, R_{(x)} R_{(y)} R_{(x)}=R_{(x . y . x)},  \tag{9}\\
& P_{\left(y^{-1} . x\right)}=L_{(y)} P_{(x)} R_{(y)}, L_{\left(y^{-1} . x\right)}=R_{(y)} L_{(x)} P_{(y)}, R_{\left(y^{-1} . x\right)}=P_{(y)} R_{(x)} L_{(y)}, \\
& \left.P_{\left(x \cdot y^{-1}\right)}=R_{(y)} P_{(x)} L_{(y)}, \quad L_{\left(x \cdot y^{-1}\right)}=P_{(y)} L_{(x)} R_{(y)}, R_{\left(x . y^{-1}\right)}=L_{(y)} R_{(x)} P_{(y)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

with the action of $\rho$ and $\sigma$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{(x)} & \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto} L_{(x)}  \tag{10}\\
P_{(x)} & \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto} R_{(x)} \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto} P_{(x)}^{-1}, \\
L_{(x)} & \stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto} R_{(x)}, \\
\hline(x), & R_{(x)}, \\
\longmapsto & L_{(x)}^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

This group satisfies $[\mathcal{D}(Q), S]=\mathcal{D}(Q)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}(Q)) \cong Q$. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ is a universal projective object in the following sense: if $G$ is any group with triality such that $\mathcal{M}(G) \cong$ $Q$ and $G=[G, S]$ then there exists an $S$-epimorphism $\tau: \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{(x)} \stackrel{\tau}{\longmapsto} x, \quad L_{(x)} \stackrel{\tau}{\longmapsto} x^{\rho}, \quad R_{(x)} \stackrel{\tau}{\longmapsto} x^{\rho^{2}}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is identified with $\mathcal{M}(G) \subseteq G$ (see [6]).
A pseudoautomorphism of a Moufang loop $(Q,$.$) is a bijection A: Q \rightarrow Q$ with the property that there exists an element $a \in Q$ such that

$$
x A \cdot(y A . a)=(x . y) A \cdot a \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in Q
$$

This element $a$ is called a right companion of $A$. In general, a right companion of $A$ is not unique. Similarly, an element $b$ such that

$$
(b \cdot x A) \cdot y A=b \cdot(x \cdot y) A \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in Q
$$

is a left companion of $A$. The following properties are well known:
Lemma 3 Let $A$ be a pseudoautomorphism of a Moufang loop $Q$ with right companion a then
(i) $x^{n} A=(x A)^{n}$ for all $x \in Q, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
(ii) $a^{-1}$ is a left companion of $A$,
(iii) $(x . y . x) A=x A . y A . x A$ for all $x, y \in Q$,
(iv) all the right companions of $A$ form the coset $N a=a N$, where $N=N u c(Q)$.

Proof. See [9, 3].
The set of pairs $(A, a)$, where $A$ is a pseudoautomorphism of $Q$ with right companion $a$ is a group with respect to the operation

$$
(A, a)(B, b)=(A B, a B \cdot b)
$$

This group is denoted by $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$.

With arbitrary elements $x, y$ of a Moufang loop $Q$, there are associated the bijections $L_{x}, R_{x}, T_{x}, P_{x} L_{x, y}, R_{x, y}$ of $Q$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y L_{x}=x . y, \quad y R_{x}=y \cdot x, \quad T_{x}=L_{x}^{-1} R_{x}, \quad P_{x}=L_{x}^{-1} R_{x}^{-1},  \tag{12}\\
L_{x, y}=L_{x} L_{y} L_{y x}^{-1}, \quad R_{x, y}=R_{x} R_{y} R_{x y}^{-1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, we have $z L_{x, y}=(y \cdot x)^{-1} \cdot(y \cdot(x \cdot z))$ and $z R_{x, y}=((z \cdot x) \cdot y) \cdot(x \cdot y)^{-1}$. It is known (see [1]) that $\left(T_{x}, x^{-3}\right)$ and $\left(R_{x, y}, \llbracket x, y \rrbracket\right)$ belong to $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$. We give a new proof of these facts below (see Lemma 4) using groups with triality. Denote by $\operatorname{PsInn}(Q)$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$ generated by the elements $\left(T_{x}, x^{-3}\right)$ and $\left(R_{x, y}, \llbracket x, y \rrbracket\right)$ for all $x, y$ in $Q$.

Some properties of Moufang loops can be proven using their "enveloping" group with triality:

Lemma 4 Let $M$ be any Moufang loop and let $G$ be a group with triality $S=\langle\rho, \sigma\rangle$ such that $\mathcal{N}(G)=M$. Put $H=C_{G}(\sigma)$. Then we have:
(i) $\llbracket m, n \rrbracket=\left[n^{-1}, m^{\rho^{2}}\right]\left[m, n^{-\rho}\right]$ for all $m, n \in M$.
(ii) For every $h \in H$, the mapping $T_{h}: M \rightarrow M$ defined by $m T_{h}=h^{-1} m h$ is a pseudoautomorphism of $M$ with right companion $\phi(h)$. Moreover, the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \mapsto\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a homomorphism from $H$ to $\operatorname{PsAut}(M)$ whose kernel is the $S$-center $Z_{S}(G)$.
(iii) Let $m \in M$ and $T_{m}=L_{m}^{-1} R_{m}$. Then $n T_{m}=m^{-1}$.n. $m$ is a pseudoautomorphism of $M$ with right companion $m^{-3}$. Moreover, $T_{m}=T_{h}$ where $h=\phi(m) \in H$.
(iv) Let $m, n \in M$. Then $R_{m, n}$ is a pseudoautomorphism of $M$ with right companion $\llbracket m, n \rrbracket$. Moreover, $R_{m, n}=T_{h}$ where $h=\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]=\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right] \in H$. Similarly, $L_{m, n}$ is a pseudoautomorphism with right companion $\llbracket m^{-1}, n^{-1} \rrbracket$ and $L_{m, n}=T_{h}$ where $h=\left[m^{-\rho}, n^{\rho^{2}}\right]=\left[m^{\rho^{2}}, n^{-\rho}\right] \in H$.
(v) $R_{x, y}=L_{x^{-1}, y^{-1}}=R_{y, x}^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in M$

Proof. (i) Let $m, n \in M$. By (iv) of Lemma 2, we have
$\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]=\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right]$. Acting by $\rho^{2}$ on both sides, we obtain
$\left[m, n^{-\rho}\right]=\left[m^{-\rho}, n\right]$. Hence, (2) implies
$\left[n^{-1}, m^{\rho^{2}}\right]\left[m, n^{-\rho}\right]=\left[n^{-1}, m^{\rho^{2}}\right]\left[m^{-\rho}, n\right]=n m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1}\left(m^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho}\right) n^{-1} m^{-\rho} n=$
$n m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1} m^{-1} n^{-1} m^{-\rho} n$. On the other hand,
$\llbracket m, n \rrbracket=\left(m^{-1} \cdot n^{-1}\right) \cdot(m \cdot n)=\left(n^{\rho^{2}} m^{-1} n^{\rho}\right) \cdot\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)=$
$\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)^{-\rho^{2}}\left(n^{\rho^{2}} m^{-1} n^{\rho}\right)\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)^{-\rho}=n m^{-\rho^{2}}\left(n^{\rho} n^{\rho^{2}}\right) m^{-1}\left(n^{\rho} n^{\rho^{2}}\right) m^{-\rho} n=$ $n m^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1} m^{-1} n^{-1} m^{-\rho} n$.
(ii) By (v) of Lemma 2, $\phi(h) \in M$ and $M T_{h}=M$ for every $h \in H$. For $m \in M$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m T_{h} \cdot \phi(h)=\left(h^{-1} m h\right) \cdot \phi(h)=h^{-\rho} h h^{-1} m h h^{-1} h^{\rho^{2}}=h^{-\rho} m h^{\rho^{2}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $m, n \in M,(m . n) T_{h} \cdot \phi(h)=h^{-\rho} m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}} h^{\rho^{2}}$. On the other hand $\left(m T_{h}\right) \cdot\left(n T_{h} \cdot \phi(h)\right)=\left(h^{-1} m h\right) \cdot\left(h^{-\rho} n h^{\rho^{2}}\right)=h^{-\rho} m^{-\rho} h^{\rho} h^{-\rho} n h^{\rho^{2}} h^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho^{2}} h^{\rho^{2}}=$ $h^{-\rho} m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}} h^{\rho^{2}}$. It follows that $\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right) \in \operatorname{PsAut}(M)$. By (14), we also have $\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right)\left(T_{k}, \phi(k)\right)=\left(T_{h} T_{k}, \phi(h) T_{k} \cdot \phi(k)\right)=\left(T_{h k}, k^{-\rho} h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}} k^{\rho^{2}}\right)=\left(T_{h k}, \phi(h k)\right)$ for all $h, k \in H$. Consequently, (13) is a homomorphism of $H$ to $\operatorname{PsAut}(M)$.
Obviously, $h \in H$ is in its kernel iff $h$ centralizes both $M$ and $S$, i.e. $h \in Z_{S}(G)$.
(iii) For $m, n \in M$, we have
$n T_{m}=m^{-1} \cdot n \cdot m=\left(m^{\rho} n m^{\rho^{2}}\right) \cdot m=m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{\rho} n m^{\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho}=$
$\left(m^{-\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-1} n\left(m^{-\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=n T_{\phi(m)}$ by (ii) of Lemma 2.
Now, by item (ii), $T_{\phi(m)}$ is a pseudoautomorphism with right companion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\phi(m))=\left(m^{-\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\rho}\left(m^{-\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\rho^{2}}=m^{-1} m^{\rho^{2}} m^{-1} m^{\rho}=m^{-3} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) For $m, n, k \in M$ we have

$$
k R_{m, n}=((k \cdot m) \cdot n) \cdot(m \cdot n)^{-1}=\left(\left(m^{-\rho^{2}} k m^{-\rho}\right) \cdot n\right) \cdot\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)^{-1}=
$$

$$
\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)^{\rho^{2}}\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho^{2}} k m^{-\rho} n^{-\rho}\right)\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} m n^{-\rho}\right)^{\rho}=n^{-\rho} m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho} m^{-\rho^{2}} k m^{-\rho} n^{\rho^{2}} m^{\rho} n^{-\rho^{2}}=
$$

$$
\left[n^{\rho}, m^{-\rho^{2}}\right] k\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]=k T_{h} \text { by (iv) of Lemma 2, where } h=\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right] \text {. Therefore, by }
$$ item (ii), $R_{m, n}$ is a pseudoautomorphism with right companion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]\right)=\left[n^{-1}, m^{\rho^{2}}\right]\left[m, n^{-\rho}\right]=\llbracket m, n \rrbracket \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by item (i). The assertion about $L_{m, n}$ may be proved similarly.
(v) The equality $R_{x, y}=L_{x^{-1}, y^{-1}}$ follows from item (iv). Since $R_{y, x}=T_{h}$, where $h=$ [ $\left.y^{\rho}, x^{-\rho^{2}}\right]$, we have $R_{y, x}^{-1}=T_{h^{-1}}$. However, $h^{-1}=\left[x^{-\rho^{2}}, y^{\rho}\right]=\left[x^{\rho}, y^{-\rho^{2}}\right]$ by (iv) of Lemma 2. Therefore, $R_{y, x}^{-1}=R_{x, y}$ by item (iv).

Note that the transformation $T_{x}$ of $M$ is defined for $x \in M$ and $x \in H$. In the former case $T_{x}$ acts by conjugation in $M$ and in the latter by conjugation in $G$. Henceforth it should be clear from the context which of the two actions is considered.

Let $(Q,$.$) be a Moufang loop. Following Mikheev [7], define a binary operation on$ the Cartesian product $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q) \times Q$ by the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(A, a), x][(B, b), y]=[(A, a)(B, b)(C, c), x B . y], \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
(C, c)=\left(R_{b, x B}, \llbracket b, x B \rrbracket\right)\left(R_{x B, y}, \llbracket x B, y \rrbracket\right) .
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ the groupoid $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q) \times Q$ with the binary operation (17). It was announced in $[7]$ that $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ is in fact a group with triality (the extended group of pseudoautomorphisms of $Q$ ) with the action of the triality automorphisms $\rho$ and $\sigma$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[(A, a), x] \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto}[(A, a), a]\left[\left(T_{x}, x^{-3}\right), x^{-2}\right],} \\
& {[(A, a), x] \stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto}\left[(A, a)\left(T_{x}, x^{-3}\right), x^{-1}\right],} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and that the loop $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{W}(Q))$ is isomorphic to $Q$. We present a proof of these facts and then establish some properties of $\mathcal{W}(Q)$. However a direct verification of associativity of the product (17) is technically intractable. We chose an alternative way of showing this. The following abstract result will be needed:

Lemma 5 Let $P$ be a group and $Q$ a groupoid. Let $H$ and $M$ be subsets of $P$ such that $P=H M$. Then a map $\psi: P \rightarrow Q$ is a homomorphism if and only if

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { (i) } & \psi(m n) & =\psi(m) \psi(n), & \\
\text { (ii) } & \psi(h k)=\psi(h) \psi(k), \\
(i i i) & \psi(m h) & =\psi(m) \psi(h), & \\
\text { (iv) } & \psi(h m)=\psi(h) \psi(m),
\end{array}
$$

for all $h, k \in H, m, n \in M$. In particular, $\operatorname{Im} \psi$ is a group, whenever ( $i-i v$ ) hold.
Proof. Let $h, k \in H, m, n \in M$. There exist $k_{1} \in H, m_{1} \in M$ such that $m k=k_{1} m_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi(h m k n)=\psi\left(h k_{1} m_{1} n\right) \stackrel{(i v)}{=} \psi\left(h k_{1}\right) \psi\left(m_{1} n\right) \stackrel{(i),(i i)}{=} \psi(h) \psi\left(k_{1}\right) \psi\left(m_{1}\right) \psi(n) \stackrel{(i v)}{=} \\
& \psi(h) \psi\left(k_{1} m_{1}\right) \psi(n)=\psi(h) \psi(m k) \psi(n) \stackrel{(i i i)}{=} \psi(h) \psi(m) \psi(k) \psi(n) \stackrel{(i v)}{=} \psi(h m) \psi(k n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2 Let $G$ be a group with triality $S=\langle\rho, \sigma\rangle$ and $H=C_{G}(\sigma)$. Let $M=$ $\mathcal{M}(G)$ be the corresponding Moufang loop and let $\mathcal{W}(M)=M \times P s A u t(M)$ be the above groupoid. Then the map $\tau: G \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(M)$ defined by

$$
\tau(g)=\left[\left(T_{\eta(g)}, \phi(\eta(g))\right), \xi(g)\right] \quad \text { for all } \quad g \in G
$$

is an $S$-homomorphism, where $\eta(g)=g g^{-\rho \sigma} g^{\rho^{2}} \in H, \phi(h)=h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}}$, and the action of $S$ on $\mathcal{W}(M)$ is given by (18). In particular, $\operatorname{Im} \tau$ is a group with triality. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(\operatorname{Im} \tau) \cong M$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \tau=Z_{S}(G)$.

Proof. First, we show that the map $\tau$ satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv) of Lemma 5, with respect to the decomposition $G=H M^{\rho^{2}}$. This will imply that $\operatorname{Im} \tau$ is a group.
(i) Let $m, n \in M$, then $m \cdot n=m^{-\rho} n m^{-\rho^{2}}$. By definition
$\tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=\left[\left(T_{\eta\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)}, \phi\left(\eta\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)\right)\right), \xi\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)\right]$. We have
$\xi\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{\rho^{2} \sigma}=m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho}=m$,
$\eta\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=m^{\rho^{2}} m^{-\sigma} m^{\rho}=m^{\rho^{2}} m m^{\rho}=1$, and $\phi\left(\eta\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)\right)=1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=[(1,1), m] . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\tau\left(n^{\rho^{2}}\right)=[(1,1), n]$. Thus, (17) implies $\tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right) \tau\left(n^{\rho^{2}}\right)=[(1,1), m][(1,1), n]=\left[\left(R_{m, n}, \llbracket m, n \rrbracket\right), m . n\right]$.
On the other hand, (6) and (7) imply $\tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho^{2}}\right)=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), m . n\right]$, where
$h=\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right] \in H$; and, by (16) and (iv) of Lemma 2, we have
$\phi(h)=\phi\left(\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]\right)=\llbracket m, n \rrbracket$. Hence,
$\left[\left(R_{m, n}, \llbracket m, n \rrbracket\right), m . n\right]=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), m . n\right]$ by (iv) of Lemma 4
(ii) If $h, k \in H$ then $\eta(h)=h h^{-\rho^{2}} h^{\rho^{2}}=h$ and $\xi(h)=1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(h)=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), 1\right] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\tau(k)=\left[\left(T_{k}, \phi(k)\right), 1\right]$ and $\tau(h k)=\left[\left(T_{h k}, \phi(h k)\right), 1\right]$. By (17), we have
$\tau(h) \tau(k)=\left[\left(T_{h} T_{k}, \phi(h)^{k} \cdot \phi(k), 1\right]\right.$. However, $T_{h} T_{k}=T_{h k}$ and
$\phi(h)^{k} \cdot \phi(k)=\left(k^{-1} h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}} k\right) \cdot\left(k_{-\rho} k^{\rho^{2}}\right)=\left(k^{-\rho} k^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\rho^{2}} k^{-1} \phi(h) k\left(k^{-\rho} k^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\rho}=$
$k^{-\rho} k k^{-1} \phi(h) k k^{-1} k^{\rho^{2}}=k^{-\rho} \phi(h) k^{\rho^{2}}$. On the other hand,
$\phi(h k)=(h k)^{-\rho}(h k)^{\rho^{2}}=k^{-\rho} \phi(h) k^{\rho^{2}}$.
(iii) Let $m \in M$ and $h \in H$. Then $\tau\left(h m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), m\right]$,
since $\eta\left(h m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=h$ and $\xi\left(h m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=m$. On the other hand, we have
$\tau(h) \tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right)=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), 1\right][(1,1), m]=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), m\right]$ by (19) and (17).
(vi) For $m \in M$ and $h \in H$, we have
$\xi\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h\right)=h^{-1} m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{\rho^{2} \sigma} h=h^{-1} m^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho} h=h^{-1} m h$.

Denote $n=h^{-1} m h=m T_{h}$ and $l=\phi(h)$. Then we also have
$\eta\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h\right)=m^{\rho^{2}} h\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h\right)^{-\sigma \rho^{2}}\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h\right)^{\rho^{2}}=$
$m^{\rho^{2}} h h^{-\rho^{2}} m m^{\rho} h^{\rho^{2}}=m^{\rho^{2}} h h^{-\rho^{2}} m^{-\rho^{2}} h^{\rho^{2}}=m^{\rho^{2}} h n^{-\rho^{2}}$.
Hence, $\tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h\right)=\left[\left(T_{m^{\rho^{2}} h n^{-\rho^{2}}}, \phi\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h n^{-\rho^{2}}\right)\right), n\right]$.
On the other hand, by (17) and (iv) of Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right) \tau(h)=[(1,1), m]\left[\left(T_{h}, l\right), 1\right]=\left[\left(T_{h}, l\right)\left(R_{l, n}, \llbracket l, n \rrbracket\right), n\right]= \\
& {\left[\left(T_{h} T_{\left[l \rho, n^{-\rho^{2}},\right.}, l R_{l, n} . \llbracket l, n \rrbracket\right), n\right]=\left[\left(T_{h\left[\rho^{\prime}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]}, l . \llbracket l, n \rrbracket\right), n\right]=} \\
& {\left[\left(T_{h\left[l^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]}, n^{-1} . l . n\right), n\right] . \text { However, }} \\
& h\left[l^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]=h\left(h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\rho}\left(h^{-1} m h\right)^{\rho^{2}}\left(h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\rho} n^{-\rho^{2}}= \\
& h h^{-1} h^{\rho^{2}} h^{-\rho^{2}} m^{\rho^{2}} h^{\rho^{2}} h^{-\rho^{2}} h n^{-\rho^{2}}=m^{\rho^{2}} h n^{-\rho^{2}} \text {. Hence, } \\
& \phi\left(h\left[l^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]\right)=\phi\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h n^{-\rho^{2}}\right) \text {. Furthermore, } \\
& \phi\left(h\left[l^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]\right)=\left[l^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]^{-\rho} h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}}\left[l, n^{-\rho}\right]= \\
& {\left[n^{-1}, l^{\rho^{2}}\right] l\left[l, n^{-\rho}\right]=n l^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1} l^{\rho^{2}} l k^{-1} n^{\rho} l n^{-\rho}=} \\
& n l^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1} l^{\rho^{2}} n^{\rho} n^{\rho^{2}}\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} l n^{-\rho}\right)=n l^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1} l^{\rho^{2}} n^{-1}(l . n)= \\
& n l^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1} n^{\rho}\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} l n^{-\rho}\right)^{\rho^{2}}(l . n)=\left(n^{-\rho^{2}} l n^{-\rho}\right)^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1}(l . n)^{\rho^{2}}(l . n)= \\
& (l . n)^{-\rho^{2}} n^{-1}(l . n)^{-\rho}=n^{-1} \text { l.n. Therefore, } \tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}}\right) \tau(h)=\tau\left(m^{\rho^{2}} h\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\tau$ is a group homomorphism. We now show that $\tau \rho=\rho \tau$ and $\tau \sigma=\sigma \tau$, where the action of $\rho$ and $\sigma$ is defined by (18). For all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(\sigma\left(h m^{\rho^{2}}\right)\right)=\tau\left(h m^{-\rho}\right)=\tau(h) \tau\left(m^{-\rho}\right)=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), 1\right]\left[\left(T_{m}, m^{-3}\right), m^{-1}\right]= \\
& {\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right)\left(T_{m}, m^{-3}\right), m^{-1}\right], \text { where we have used (20), the relations }} \\
& \eta\left(m^{-\rho}\right)=m^{-\rho} m^{-\rho} m^{-1}=m^{-\rho} m^{-\rho^{2}}=\phi(m), \\
& \xi\left(m^{-\rho}\right)=m^{\rho} m^{-\rho \sigma}=m^{\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}=m^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as (15), (17), and (iii) of Lemma 4 from which it follows that
$\tau\left(m^{-\rho}\right)=\left[\left(T_{m}, m^{-3}\right), m^{-1}\right]$. On the other hand, we have by (18)
$\sigma\left(\tau\left(h m^{\rho^{2}}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h), m\right)\right]\right)=$
$\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right)\left(T_{m}, m^{-3}\right), m^{-1}\right]$. Therefore, $\tau \sigma=\sigma \tau$. We also have
$\tau\left(\rho\left(h m^{\rho^{2}}\right)\right)=\tau\left(h^{\rho}\right) \tau(m)=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), \phi(h)\right]\left[\left(T_{\phi(m)}, m^{-3}\right), m^{-2}\right]$, since
$\eta\left(h^{\rho}\right)=h^{\rho} h^{-\rho^{2} \sigma} h=h^{\rho} h^{-\rho} h=h$,
$\xi\left(h^{\rho}\right)=h^{-\rho} h^{\rho \sigma}=h^{-\rho} h^{\rho^{2}}=\phi(h)$, and
$\eta(m)=m m^{-\sigma \rho^{2}} m^{\rho^{2}}=\left(m m^{\rho^{2}}\right) m^{\rho^{2}}=m^{-\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}=\phi(m)$,
$\xi(m)=m^{-1} m^{\sigma}=m^{-2}$. On the other hand, (18) implies
$\rho\left(\tau\left(h m^{\rho^{2}}\right)\right)=\rho\left(\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), m\right]\right)=\left[\left(T_{h}, \phi(h)\right), \phi(h)\right]\left[\left(T_{m}, m^{-3}\right), m^{-2}\right]$. However,
$T_{m}=T_{\phi(m)}$ by (iii) of Lemma (4). Hence, $\tau$ is an $S$-homomorphism and $\operatorname{Im} \tau$ is a group with triality. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(\operatorname{Im} \tau)=\tau(M) \cong M$.

It remains to find $\operatorname{Ker} \tau$. We have
$\left[\left(T_{\eta(g)}, \phi(\eta(g))\right), \xi(g)\right]=1$ if and only if $\xi(g)=1$ and $\left(T_{\eta(g)}, \phi(\eta(g))\right)=(1,1)$, i.e., $g \in H$ and $\left(T_{g}, \phi(g)\right)=(1,1)$. However,
$\phi(g)=g^{-\rho} g^{\rho^{2}}=1$ if and only if $\rho$ centralizes $g$ and
$T_{g}=1$ if and only if $g$ centralizes $M$. Hence, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \tau=C_{G}(\sigma) \cap C_{G}(\rho) \cap C_{G}(M)=C_{G}(S) \cap C_{G}\left(M \cup M^{\rho}\right)=C_{G}(S[G, S])=Z_{S}(G)
$$

by (i) of Lemma 1.
Our aim is to show that $\mathcal{W}(M)$ is an epimorphic image of some group with triality under the $S$-homomorphism $\tau$. Starting with an arbitrary Moufang loop ( $Q,$. ) consider the action of $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$ on $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ defined on the generators as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(P_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)} & =P_{(x A)}, \\
\left(L_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)} & =R_{(a)} L_{(x A)} R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)},  \tag{21}\\
\left(R_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)} & =L_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} R_{(x A)} L_{(a)}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in Q$ and $(A, a) \in \operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$.
Lemma 6 The relations (21) define an action of $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$ by automorphisms on $\mathcal{D}(Q)$.
Proof. We need to show that the action of $(A, a) \in \operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$ preserves all the defining relations in (9). For all $x, y \in Q$, using these relations and Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(P_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}\left(L_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}\left(R_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}=P_{(x A)} R_{(a)} L_{(x A)}\left(R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} L_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}\right) R_{(x A)} L_{(a)}= \\
& P_{(x A)} R_{(a)}\left(L_{(x A)} P_{(a)} R_{(x A)}\right) L_{(a)}=P_{(x A)}\left(R_{(a)} P_{\left(x^{-1} A \cdot a\right)} L_{(a)}\right)=P_{(x A)} P_{\left(x^{-1} A\right)}=1 . \\
& {\left(L_{(x)}\right)}^{(A, a)}\left(L_{(y)}\right)^{(A, a)}\left(L_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}=R_{(a)} L_{(x A)}\left(R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} R_{(a)}\right) L_{(y A)}\left(R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} R_{(a)}\right) L_{(x A)} R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}= \\
& R_{(a)}\left(L_{(x A)} L_{(y A)} L_{(x A)}\right) R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}=R_{(a)} L_{(x A . y A . x A)} R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}= \\
& R_{(a)} L_{((x \cdot y \cdot x) A)} R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}=\left(L_{(x \cdot y \cdot x)}\right)^{(A, a)} . \\
& \left(L_{(y)}\right)^{(A, a)}\left(P_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}\left(R_{(y)}\right)^{(A, a)}=R_{(a)} L_{(y A)}\left(R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} P_{(x A)} L_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}\right) R_{(y A)} L_{(a)}= \\
& R_{(a)}\left(L_{(y A)} P_{(x A . a)} R_{(y A))} L_{(a)}=R_{(a)} P_{\left(y^{-1} A .(x A . a)\right)} L_{(a)}=R_{(a)} P_{\left(\left(y^{-1} . x\right) A . a\right)} L_{(a)}=\right. \\
& P_{\left(\left(y^{-1} . x\right) A\right)}=\left(P_{\left(y^{-1} x\right)}\right)^{(A, a)} \text {. } \\
& \left(L_{(y)}\right)^{(A, a)}\left(R_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}\left(P_{(y)}\right)^{(A, a)}=R_{(a)} L_{(y A)}\left(R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} L_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}\right) R_{(x A)} L_{(a)} P_{(y A)}= \\
& R_{(a)} L_{(y A)}\left(P_{(a)} R_{(x A)} L_{(a)}\right) P_{(y A)}=R_{(a)}\left(L_{(y A)} R_{\left(a^{-1} . x A\right)} P_{(y A)}\right)=R_{(a)} R_{\left(\left(a^{-1} . x A\right) . y^{-1} A\right)}= \\
& R_{(a)} R_{\left(a^{-1} \cdot\left(x \cdot y^{-1}\right) A\right)}=\left(R_{(a)} P_{(a)}\right) R_{\left(\left(x \cdot y^{-1}\right) A\right)} L_{(a)}=L_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} R_{\left(\left(x \cdot y^{-1}\right) A\right)} L_{(a)}=\left(R_{\left(x \cdot y^{-1}\right)}\right)^{(A, a)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining identities can be proved similarly and are therefore omitted. Hence ( $A, a$ ) induces an endomorphism of $\mathcal{D}(M)$. We also have for $(A, a),(B, b) \in \operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(P_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}\right)^{(B, b)}=\left(P_{(x A)}\right)^{(B, b)}=P_{(x A B)}=\left(P_{(x)}\right)^{(A B, a B . b)}=\left(P_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)(B, b)}, \\
& \left(\left(L_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)}\right)^{(B, b)}=\left(R_{(a)} L_{(x A)} R_{\left(a^{-1}\right)}\right)^{(B, b)}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\left(b^{-1}\right)} R_{(a B)}\left(L_{(b)} R_{(b)}\right) L_{(x A B)}\left(R_{\left(b^{-1}\right)} L_{\left(b^{-1}\right)}\right) R_{\left(a^{-1} B\right)} L_{(b)}= \\
& \left(L_{\left(b^{-1}\right)} R_{(a B)} P_{\left(b^{-1}\right)}\right) L_{(x A B)}\left(P_{(b)} R_{\left(a^{-1} B\right)} L_{(b)}\right)=R_{(a B . b)} L_{(x A B)} R_{\left(b^{-1} . a^{-1} B\right)}= \\
& \left(L_{(x)}\right)^{(A B, a B . b)}=\left(L_{(x)}\right)^{(A, a)(B, b)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The identity for $R_{(x)}$ is proved similarly. Therefore, we have a group action of $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$ on $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ by automorphisms.

Denote by $\mathcal{U}(Q)$ the semidirect product $\operatorname{PsAut}(Q) \mathcal{D}(Q)$ and extend the action of $\rho$ and $\sigma$ on $\mathcal{U}(Q)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(A, a) D \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto}(A, a) R_{(a)} D^{\rho},  \tag{22}\\
(A, a) D \stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto}(A, a) D^{\sigma} .
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $(A, a) \in \operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$.
Lemma 7 The group $\mathcal{U}(Q)$ is a group with triality $S=\langle\rho, \sigma\rangle$ given by (22). Moreover, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}(Q)) \cong Q$ and the mapping $\tau: \mathcal{U}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(Q)$ defined in Theorem 2 is an $S$ epimorphism.

Proof. First, show that $\rho$ and $\sigma$ are automorphisms. Given $(A, a),(B, b) \in \operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$ and $D, E \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((A, a) D)^{\rho}((B, b) E)^{\rho}=(A, a) R_{(a)} D^{\rho}(B, b) R_{(b)} E^{\rho}= \\
& (A, a)(B, b)\left(R_{(a)}\right)^{(B, b)} D^{\rho(B, b)} R_{(b)} E^{\rho} \text {. On the other hand, } \\
& ((A, a) D(B, b) E)^{\rho}=\left((A, a)(B, b) D^{(B, b)} E\right)^{\rho}=(A, a)(B, b) R_{(a B . b)} D^{(B, b) \rho} E^{\rho} . \text { However, } \\
& R_{(a B . b)} D^{(B, b) \rho}=L_{\left(b^{-1}\right)} R(a B) P_{\left(b^{-1}\right)} D^{\rho}(B, b)^{\rho}=\left(L_{\left(b^{-1}\right)} R(a B) L_{(b)} R_{(b)} D^{\rho}(B, b) R_{(b)}=\right. \\
& \left(R_{(a)}\right)^{(B, b)} D^{\rho(B, b)} R_{(b)} \text {. Hence, } \rho \text { is an automorphism. We also have } \\
& ((A, a) D)^{\sigma}((B, b) E)^{\sigma}=(A, a) D^{\sigma}(B, b) E^{\sigma}=(A, a)(B, b) D^{\sigma(B, b)} E^{\sigma}= \\
& (A, a)(B, b) D^{(B, b) \sigma} E^{\sigma}=\left((A, a)(B, b) D^{(B, b)} E\right)^{\sigma}=((A, a) D(B, b) E)^{\sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\sigma$ is an automorphism. Now, obviously, $\sigma^{2}=1$. Furthermore, $((A, a) D)^{\rho^{3}}=\left((A, a) R_{(a)} D^{\rho}\right)^{\rho^{2}}=\left((A, a) R_{(a)} P_{(a)} D^{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\rho}=$ $(A, a) R_{(a)} P_{(a)} L_{(a)} D=(A, a) D$, and $((A, a) D)^{(\rho \sigma)^{2}}=\left((A, a) L_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} D^{\rho \sigma}\right)^{\rho \sigma}=(A, a) L_{\left(a^{-1}\right)} L_{(a)} D=(A, a) D$. Note that $[(A, a) D, \sigma]=(1,1)[D, \sigma]$, which implies that $\mathcal{U}(Q)$ is a group with triality $\langle\rho, \sigma\rangle$, since $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ is. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}(Q))=\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}(Q)) \cong Q$. The latter isomorphism is the map $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}(Q)) \ni P_{(x)} \mapsto x \in Q$. Hence we may identify $Q$ with its image in $\mathcal{U}(Q)$. Under this identification, the action of a pseudoautomorphism $A$ with companion $a$ on $Q$ corresponds to the mapping $T_{(A, a)}$ (the conjugation by $(A, a)$ in $\left.\mathcal{U}(q)\right)$, which is a pseudoautomorphism of $Q$ with companion $P_{(a)}$ in view of the first relation in (21).

It is now easy to see that the mapping $\tau: \mathcal{U}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(Q)$ defined in Theorem 2 is surjective. Indeed, the elements $[(1,1), x]$ of $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ always lie in $\operatorname{Im} \tau$ and we show that so do the elements $[(A, a), 1]$ for arbitrary $(A, a) \in \operatorname{PsAut}(Q)$. By the above identification, it is sufficient to show that the element $\left[\left(T_{(A, a)}, P_{(a)}\right), 1\right]$ lies in $\operatorname{Im} \tau$. However, this is exactly the image under $\tau$ of $(A, a)$ viewed as an element of $\mathcal{U}(Q)$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi((A, a))=1, \\
& \eta((A, a))=(A, a) \xi((A, a))^{-\rho^{2}}=(A, a), \\
& \phi((A, a))=[(A, a), \rho]^{\rho}=R_{(a)}^{\rho}=P_{(a)} ; \text { hence, } \\
& \tau((A, a))=\left[\left(T_{(A, a)}, P_{(a)}\right), 1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The important properties of Mikheev's group $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ dual to those of Doro's group $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ are explained in the following assertion:

Corollary 1 For every Moufang loop $Q$, the set $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ with multiplication (17) is a group with triality such that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{W}(Q)) \cong Q$ and $Z_{S}(\mathcal{W}(Q))=1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ is a universal injective object in the following sense: if $G$ is any group with triality such that $\mathcal{M}(G) \cong Q$ and $Z_{S}(G)=1$ then there exists an $S$-monomorphism $\tau: G \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(Q)$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Lemmas 7 and 1.
Introduce yet another group with triality associated with any Moufang loop $Q$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ the image of $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ in $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ under $\tau$ from Theorem 2. We have $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{E}(Q)) \cong Q$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ is the set $\operatorname{PsInn}(Q) \times Q$ with multiplication (17) (the extended group of inner pseudoautomorphisms). In particular, $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ is generated by the elements of $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ of the form

$$
\left[\left(T_{m}, m^{-3}\right), 1\right], \quad\left[\left(R_{m, n}, \llbracket m, n \rrbracket\right), 1\right], \quad[(1,1), m]
$$

for all $m, n \in Q$. Moreover, this group satisfies $Z_{S}(\mathcal{E}(Q))=1$ and $[\mathcal{E}(Q), S]=\mathcal{E}(Q)$. Hence, $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ is the absolutely minimal group with triality corresponding to $Q$ in the sense that it has neither proper $S$-subgroups nor $S$-factor groups $G$ satisfying $\mathcal{N}(G)=Q$. Observe that the above homomorphism $\tau: \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(Q)$ coincides with the homomorphism (11). We also have

$$
\mathcal{E}(Q) \cong \mathcal{D}(Q) / Z_{S}(\mathcal{D}(Q)) \cong[\mathcal{W}(Q), S] .
$$

Furthermore, the centralizer $C_{S}(\mathcal{E}(Q))$ coincides with $\operatorname{Inn}(Q)$ since it consists of the elements of form $[(A, 1), 1]$, where $A$ is an (inner) pseudoautomorphism with companion 1, i.e. an automorphism.

By definition, the multiplication group $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ of a Moufang loop $Q$ is the group of permutations of $Q$ generated by $L_{x}$ and $R_{x}$ for all $x \in Q$, and the inner mapping group $\mathcal{J}(Q)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ generated by $T_{x}$ and $R_{x, y}$ for all $x, y$ in $Q$. It is known (see [1]) that $\mathcal{J}(Q)=\{A \in \operatorname{Mlt}(Q) \mid 1 A=1\}$. Glauberman [5] noted that the multiplication group of a Moufang loop $Q$ with $N u c(Q)=1$ is a group with triality with respect to the action

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{x} \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto} L_{x} \stackrel{\rho}{\stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto}} R_{x} \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto} P_{x}, \\
& P_{x} \stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto} P_{x}^{-1}, \quad L_{x} \stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto} R_{x}^{-1}, \quad R_{x} \stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto} L_{x}^{-1} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Phillips [8] remarks that not every Moufang loop multiplication group has triality and discusses the question: for what other Moufang loops $Q$ does the group $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ admit Glauberman's triality (23)? We show that the group $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ is a natural generalization of the triality on $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ to all Moufang loops and that $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ has triality if and only if it coincides with $\mathcal{E}(Q)$. We will need the following fact:

Lemma 8 Let $Q$ be a Moufang loop. The subgroup $H=C_{\mathcal{D}(Q)}(\sigma)$ of $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ is generated by the elements $T_{(x)}=L_{\left(x^{-1}\right)} R_{(x)}$ and $R_{(x, y)}=R_{(x)} R_{(y)} R_{\left(y^{-1} . x^{-1}\right)}$ for all $x, y \in Q$.

Proof. First note that there exists a natural epimorphism $\mu: \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$, which act on the generators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{(x)} \stackrel{\mu}{\longleftrightarrow} P_{x}, \quad R_{(x)} \stackrel{\mu}{\longmapsto} R_{x}, \quad L_{(x)} \stackrel{\mu}{\longleftrightarrow} L_{x} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

because all the relations corresponding to those in (9) hold in $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ as well. We have
$T_{(x)}^{\sigma}=L_{\left(x^{-1}\right)}^{\sigma} R_{(x)}^{\sigma}=R_{(x)} L_{\left(x^{-1}\right)}=T_{(x)}$, since $R_{(x)}$ and $L_{(x)}$ commute. Also,
$R_{(x, y)}^{\sigma}=L_{\left(x^{-1}\right)} L_{\left(y^{-1}\right)} L_{(x . y)}=R_{(x, y)}$, which follows from $R_{(y)} P_{(x . y)} L_{(y)}=P_{(x)}$. Hence, $T_{(x)}, R_{(x, y)} \in H$.
Every $W \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$ can be expressed as a word in $R_{(x)}, L_{(x)}$. Denote by $l(W)$ the minimal length of such an expression. Prove the assertion by induction on $l(W)$, where $W \in H$. If $l(W)=0$, the claim holds. Suppose $l(W)=1$, i.e., $W$ is $L_{(x)}$ or $R_{(x)}$ for some $x \in Q$. In either case, we have $L_{(x)}=R_{\left(x^{-1}\right)}$, which implies $L_{x}=R_{x^{-1}}$ by the above homomorphism (24). Hence, $x^{2}=1$. In particular, $W^{2}=1$ and $L_{(x)}=R_{(x)}$. Acting by $\rho$ on both sides (see (10)), we obtain $R_{(x)}=P_{(x)}$. Hence, $1=P_{(x)} L_{(x)} R_{(x)}=W^{3}$ and $W=1$, a contradiction; i.e., $H$ does not contain words of length 1. Suppose $l(W)=n \geqslant 2$. Then $W=A_{(x)} B_{(y)} W_{0}$ for some $x, y \in Q$, where $A, B \in\{L, R\}$ and $l\left(W_{0}\right)=n-2$. If $A=B=L$, we have

$$
W=L_{(x)} L_{(y)} L_{\left(x^{-1} \cdot y^{-1}\right)} W_{1}=R_{\left(x^{-1}, y^{-1}\right)} W_{1},
$$

where $W_{1}=L_{(y . x)} W_{0}$. Hence, $l\left(W_{1}\right) \leqslant n-1, W_{1} \in H$, and the claim holds by induction. If $A=L$ and $B=R$, we have

$$
W=L_{(x)} R_{\left(x^{-1}\right)} R_{(x)} R_{(y)} R_{\left(y^{-1} . x^{-1}\right)} W_{1}=T_{\left(x^{-1}\right)} R_{(x, y)} W_{1},
$$

where $W_{1}=R_{\left(y^{-1} . x^{-1}\right)} W_{0}$ and $l\left(W_{1}\right) \leqslant n-1$. Again, the claim holds by induction. The remaining two cases are considered similarly.

Lemma 9 The mapping $\lambda: \mathcal{E}(Q) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ defined by

$$
[(A, a), x] \stackrel{\lambda}{\longmapsto} A R_{x}
$$

is an epimorphism. Moreover, $\lambda$ is an S-epimorphism if and only if $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ is a group with triality (23).

Proof. We could use the decomposition $\mathcal{E}(Q)=\operatorname{PsInn}(Q) Q$ and Lemma 5 to show that $\lambda$ is a homomorphism. However, we choose a different approach. We show that the epimorphism $\mu: \mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ defined in (24) can be factored through $\tau$ : $\mathcal{D}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(Q)$. To this end, we have to show that $Z_{S}(\mathcal{D}(Q))$ is contained in Ker $\mu$. Let $A \in Z_{S}(\mathcal{D}(Q))$. Then $A \in H=C_{\mathcal{D}(Q)}(\sigma)$. By Lemma $8, A$ is expressed as a word in $T_{(x)}$ and $R_{(x, y)}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(T_{(x)}\right)=\left[\left(T_{x}, x^{-3}\right), 1\right]=\left[\left(\mu\left(T_{(x)}\right), x^{-3}\right), 1\right] \text { and } \\
& \tau\left(R_{(x, y)}\right)=\left[\left(R_{x, y}, \llbracket x, y \rrbracket\right), 1\right]=\left[\left(\mu\left(R_{(x, y)}\right), \llbracket x, y \rrbracket\right), 1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\tau(A)=[(\mu(A), a), 1]$, where $a$ is a suitable companion of $\mu(A)$; and $\tau(H)=$ $C_{\mathcal{E}(Q)}(\sigma)=\operatorname{PsInn}(Q)$ (we have identified $\operatorname{PsInn}(Q)$ with its image in $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ ). On the other hand, $\tau(A)=[(1,1), 1]$, since $A \in \operatorname{ker} \tau$. Hence, $\mu(A)=1$ as is required.
Consequently, there exists a homomorphism $\lambda: \mathcal{E}(Q) \rightarrow M l t(Q)$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Take an arbitrary $[(A, a), x] \in \mathcal{E}(Q)$. We have $[(A, a), x]=[(A, a), 1][(1,1), x]$. Since $[(A, a), 1] \in \operatorname{PsInn}(Q)$, by the above discussion there exists $W \in H$ such that $\tau(W)=$ $[(A, a), 1]$ and $A=\mu(W)$. Hence,

$$
\lambda([(A, a), x])=\lambda\left(\tau(W) \tau\left(R_{(x)}\right)\right)=\mu(W) \mu\left(R_{(x)}\right)=A R_{x} .
$$

It is now clear that $\lambda$ is an $S$-homomorphism iff $\mu$ is an $S$-homomorphism, which holds iff $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ admits Glauberman's triality (23).

Observe that $K=\operatorname{Ker} \lambda$ consists of all elements of $\mathcal{E}(Q)$ of the form $[(1, a), 1]$ and, for $\lambda$ to be an $S$-homomorphism, $K$ must be $S$-invariant. However, $[(1, a), 1]^{\rho}=[(1, a), a] \notin$ $K$ unless $a=1$. Therefore, $K$ must be trivial. Hence, we have

Corollary 2 The multiplication group $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q)$ of a Moufang loop $Q$ admits Glauberman's triality (23) if and only if the natural epimorphism $\lambda: \operatorname{PsInn}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(Q)$, which acts by $\lambda:(A, a) \mapsto A$, is an isomorphism.

We put forward the following conjecture:
Conjecture 1 Let $(Q,$.$) be a Moufang loop. The kernel of the natural epimorphism$ $\lambda: \operatorname{PsInn}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(Q)$ is generated by the elements $\left(1, c^{3}\right)$ for all $c \in C(Q)$ and $(1, \llbracket m, n \rrbracket)$ for all pairs $m, n \in Q$ such that (x.m).n $=x .(m . n)$ for all $x \in Q$.

## 3 Examples

In this section we give some examples of groups with triality.
Example 1 Let $P$ be a group, let $P_{i} \cong P, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Put $Q=P_{1} \times P_{2} \times P_{3} \times P_{4}$. The symmetric group $S_{4}$ acts on $Q$ naturally. It is well known that $S_{4}=S K$, where $S=\langle\sigma=(12), \rho=(123)\rangle$ and $K=\langle a=(12)(34), b=(14)(23)\rangle$. Denote $G=K Q$.

Proposition 1 The group $G$ defined above is a group with triality with respect to the action of $S$ by conjugation and the corresponding Moufang loop $M=\mathcal{M}(G)$ is Chein's duplication $M(P, 2)$ of $P$.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$
M=\xi(G)=\left\{\left(x^{-1}, x, 1,1\right), a\left(1,1, x^{-1}, x\right) \mid x \in P\right\}
$$

and $m m^{\rho} m^{\rho^{2}}=1$ for all $m \in M$. Denote $t_{x}=\left(x^{-1}, x, 1,1\right)$ and identify $a$ with $a(1,1,1,1)$. Then we have $a\left(1,1, x^{-1}, x\right)=a . t_{x}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{x} \cdot t_{y}=t_{x y}, \quad t_{x} \cdot\left(a \cdot t_{y}\right)=a \cdot t_{x^{-1} y} \\
& \left(a \cdot t_{x}\right) \cdot t_{y}=a \cdot t_{y x},\left(a \cdot t_{x}\right) \cdot\left(a \cdot t_{y}\right)=t_{y x^{-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence ( $M,$. ) is Chein's duplication of $P$ (see [2]).

Example 2 Suppose that $Q$ is a group. Then $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)(Q \times Q)$, where $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ acts on $Q \times Q$ componentwise, and the triality automorphisms act as follows:

$$
(A, x, y) \stackrel{\rho}{\longmapsto}\left(A T_{y}, y^{-1}, y^{-1} x\right), \quad(A, x, y) \stackrel{\sigma}{\longmapsto}\left(A T_{y}, y^{-1} x, y^{-1}\right)
$$

for all $A \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q), x, y \in Q$, where $\operatorname{Inn}(Q) \ni T_{y}: x \mapsto x^{y}$. The subgroup of this group generated by the elements $\left(T_{x}, x^{-3}, 1\right)$ and $\left(1, x, x^{-1}\right)$ for all $x \in Q$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}(Q)$.

Proof. The required isomorphism is the map

$$
\mathcal{W}(Q) \ni[(A, a), x] \longmapsto(A, a x, x) \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)(Q \times Q) .
$$

All the needed properties are easily verified.
Example 3 Let $M=\mathbb{Z}_{3}=\left\langle v \mid v^{3}=1\right\rangle$ be a cyclic group of order 3. Then $\mathcal{D}(M) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{3}=b^{3}=[a, b]=1\right\rangle$, where $a=L_{(v)}, b=R_{(v)}$ and $a^{\sigma}=b^{-1}, b^{\sigma}=a^{-1}$, $a^{\rho}=b, b^{\rho}=a^{-1} b^{-1}$;

Also, $\mathcal{W}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ as follows from the previous example. There are two other basic groups with triality associated with $M$; namely $S_{3}=S$ with the $S$-action by conjugation, and $S_{3}=S$ with $\sigma$-action by conjugation and trivial $\rho$-action. These two groups are $S$-embedded into $\mathcal{W}(M)$ by Theorem 2.

## 4 Open problems and conjectures

In this concluding section we give a number of most important and interesting, in our opinion, problems in the theory of groups with triality and Moufang loops.

Conjecture $2 A$ simple (infinite) group $G$ admits non-trivial triality $S$ if and only if $G \cong D_{4}(k)$, where $k$ is a field, and $S$ is the group of graph automorphisms of $G$.

This conjecture is both important and difficult. The only encouraging fact is that the corresponding problem for simple Lie algebras with triality was solved in the affirmative (see [11]).

Conjecture 3 (G.P. Nagy, P. Vojtěchovský, [10]) Let $G$ be a simple group with triality $S=\langle\rho, \sigma\rangle$ such that $G=[G, S]$ and $Z_{S}(G)=1$. Then $C_{G}(\sigma)=\left\{x \in G \mid x^{\sigma}=x\right\}$ and $C_{G}(\rho)=\left\{x \in G \mid x^{\rho}=x\right\}$ are simple groups.

Denote by $M(q)$ the finite simple Paige loop of order $\frac{1}{d} q^{3}\left(q^{4}-1\right)$, where $q$ is a prime power and $d=(2, q-1)$. Note that this order is the product of two coprime numbers $q^{3}\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ and $\frac{1}{d}\left(q^{2}+1\right)$. Let $M$ be a finite Moufang loop. A prime $p$ is called " $b a d$ " for $M$ if there exists a composition factor of $M$ isomorphic to $M(q)$ for some $q$ such that $p$ divides $\frac{1}{d}\left(q^{2}+1\right)$. Otherwise the prime $p$ is "good" for $M$.

Conjecture 4 (Sylow's Theorem) A finite Moufang loop M contains a Sylow p-subloop if and only if $p$ is a "good" prime for $M$. Moreover, two Sylow p-subloops of $M$ are conjugate by an inner automorphism of $M$.

Define the Frattini subloop $\Phi(M)$ of a Moufang loop $M$ as the intersection of all maximal subloops of $M$, provided $M$ has maximal subloops, and $\Phi(M)=M$, otherwise. As in the case of groups, $\Phi(M)$ is the normal subloop of $M$ that consists of all nongenerating elements of $M$.

Conjecture 5 The Frattini subloop of a finite Moufang loop is nilpotent.
Define the following group:
$\widetilde{F}_{n, m}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1} \ldots, y_{n} ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \mid\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right]=1, i=1, \ldots, n\right\rangle$
and the action of $S=\langle\rho, \sigma\rangle$ on $\widetilde{F}_{n, m}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}^{\sigma}=x_{i}^{-1}, \quad y_{i}^{\sigma}=x_{i} y_{i}, \quad x_{i}^{\rho}=y_{i}, \quad y_{i}^{\rho}=x_{i}^{-1} y_{i}^{-1}, \\
& a_{j}^{\sigma}=a_{j}, \quad b_{j}^{\sigma}=c_{j}, \quad a_{j}^{\rho}=b_{j}, \quad b_{j}^{\rho}=c_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $N_{n, m}$ be the minimal normal subgroup of $\widetilde{F}_{n, m}$ such that $F_{n, m}=\widetilde{F}_{n, m} / N_{n, m}$ is a group with triality $S$. Let $F_{n}=F_{n, 0}$. It is not difficult to show that the following fact holds:

Proposition 2 The loop $M_{n}=\mathcal{M}\left(F_{n}\right)$ is a free $n$-generated Moufang loop.
Problem 1 For which primes $p$ does the group $F_{n}\left(\right.$ the loop $\left.M_{n}\right)$ have a p-torsion?
A more difficult question is to describe the structure of the Moufang loop corresponding to the group $F_{n, m}, m>0$. It is unknown (and, seemingly, non-trivial) even in the case of the group $F_{0,1}$. It is probable that the following is true:

Conjecture 6 The Moufang loop $\mathcal{M}\left(F_{0,1}\right)$ is infinitely generated.

Let $G$ be a group with triality and let $M=\mathcal{M}(G)$. Take $m, n, k \in M$. By (iv) of Lemma 4, the elements $m, n, k$ associate (i.e., $(m, n, k)=1$ ) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(m, n, k)=\left[\left[m^{\rho}, n^{\rho^{2}}\right], k\right]=1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Moufang's theorem [3, p.93] the relation $(m, n, k)=1$ implies that the subloop of $M$ generated by $m, n, k$ is a group. Therefore, we have

Proposition 3 Let $M=\mathcal{N}(G)$, where $G$ is a group with triality. If elements $m, n, k \in$ $M$ satisfy (25) then $f(x, y, z)=1$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{1}\right)$, where $G_{1}$ is the $S$-subgroup of $G$ generated by $m, n, k$.

This proposition is equivalent to Moufang's theorem. However, we have not found a short group-theoretic proof of this proposition that would not use Moufang's theorem.

Another consequence of Moufang's theorem is the fact that the loop $M_{2}=\mathcal{M}\left(F_{2}\right)$ is a free group. In this case, the group with triality $F_{2}$ is isomorphic to the $S$-subgroup in $G=M_{2} \times M_{2} \times M_{2}$ generated by the elements of the form $\left(x^{-1}, x, 1\right)$ for $x \in M_{2}$. It is easy to see that

$$
F_{2}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in G \mid x y z \in\left[M_{2}, M_{2}\right]\right\}, \quad \mathcal{M}\left(F_{2}\right)=\left\{\left(x^{-1}, x, 1\right) \mid x \in M_{2}\right\} \cong M_{2}
$$

This remark gives a simple criterion to verify relations in two variables $m, n \in M$ in an arbitrary group with triality. For example, we showed in Lemma 2 that $\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]=$ $\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right]$. Verify this using the above criteria. Let $m=\left(x^{-1}, x, 1\right)$ and $n=\left(y^{-1}, y, 1\right)$. Then

$$
m^{\rho}=\left(1, x^{-1}, x\right), \quad n^{-\rho^{2}}=\left(y^{-1}, 1, y\right), \quad m^{-\rho^{2}}=\left(x^{-1}, 1, x\right), \quad n^{\rho}=\left(1, y^{-1}, y\right)
$$

and we have $\left[m^{\rho}, n^{-\rho^{2}}\right]=(1,1,[x, y])=\left[m^{-\rho^{2}}, n^{\rho}\right]$.
Let $G$ be a group with triality and $M=\mathcal{N}(G)$. Let $N=N u c(M)$ and define the Moufang nucleus $\operatorname{Nuc}(G)$ of $G$ to be the $S$-subgroup of $G$ generated by $N$. Then $N u c(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ and $\mathcal{M}(N u c(G))=N$.

Problem 2 Describe perfect (i.e., equal to the commutator subgroup) algebraic (finite) groups with triality, with trivial Moufang nucleus.

There is hope that the perfect algebraic groups with triality with trivial Moufang nucleus over an arbitrary field have structure similar to the characteristic zero case, which is described in [11] for Lie algebras with triality and is easily extended to the algebraic groups over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.
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