

# A survey on simple derivations and their isotropy groups

Daniel Levcovitz

Universidade de São Paulo, USP

e-mail: `lev@icmc.usp.br`

90th Ribenboim Birthday, October, 2018.

## Summary

- 1 ***d*-simplicity**
  - Applications to Commutative Algebra
- 2 **The result of Shamsuddin**
- 3 **The isotropy group of a derivations**
  - Simple Shamsuddin derivations
- 4 **Derivations of the polynomial ring  $K[X, Y]$**
- 5 **References**

## $d$ -simplicity

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra and  $d$  a  $K$ -derivation of  $A$ .

$$(d(a + b) = d(a) + d(b); d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b); d(K) = 0).$$

An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is a  $d$ -ideal (or  $d$ -stable ) if  $d(I) \subseteq I$ . The algebra  $A$  is  $d$ -simple if  $(0) \text{ e } A$  are the only  $d$ -ideals of  $A$ .

## **d**-simplicity

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra and  $d$  a  $K$ -derivation of  $A$ .

$$(d(a + b) = d(a) + d(b); d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b); d(K) = 0).$$

An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is a *d*-ideal (or *d*-stable ) if  $d(I) \subseteq I$ . The algebra  $A$  is *d*-simple if  $(0) \text{ e } A$  are the only *d*-ideals of  $A$ .

## $d$ -simplicity

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra and  $d$  a  $K$ -derivation of  $A$ .

$$(d(a + b) = d(a) + d(b); d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b); d(K) = 0).$$

An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is a  $d$ -ideal (or  $d$ -stable ) if  $d(I) \subseteq I$ . The algebra  $A$  is  $d$ -simple if  $(0) \text{ e } A$  are the only  $d$ -ideals of  $A$ .

## $\mathcal{D}$ -simplicity

Let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$  be a family (finite or not) of derivations de  $A$ . The ring  $A$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -simple (or  $\mathcal{D}$ -stable) if it is  $d$ -simple for all derivation  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Finally,  $A$  is differentially simple when it is  $\mathcal{D}$ -simple for  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der}(A)$ .

## $\mathcal{D}$ -simplicity

Let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$  be a family (finite or not) of derivations of  $A$ . The ring  $A$  is  **$\mathcal{D}$ -simple** (or  $\mathcal{D}$ -stable) if it is  $d$ -simple for all derivation  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Finally,  $A$  is **differentially simple** when it is  $\mathcal{D}$ -simple for  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der}(A)$ .

## $\mathcal{D}$ -simplicity

Let  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$  be a family (finite or not) of derivations of  $A$ . The ring  $A$  is  **$\mathcal{D}$ -simple** (or  $\mathcal{D}$ -stable) if it is  $d$ -simple for all derivation  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Finally,  $A$  is **differentially simple** when it is  $\mathcal{D}$ -simple for  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der}(A)$ .



## Example 1

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X]$  is  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X}$ -simple.

## Example 1

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X]$  is  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X}$ -simple.

## Example 2

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d' = X \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X]$  is NOT  $d'$ -simple.

In fact,  $(X)$  is a  $d'$ -ideal, since

$$d'(X) = X \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(X) = X \in (X).$$

## Example 2

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d' = X \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X]$  is NOT  $d'$ -simple.

In fact,  $(X)$  is a  $d'$ -ideal, since

$$d'(X) = X \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(X) = X \in (X).$$

## Example 2

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d' = X \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X]$  is NOT  $d'$ -simple.

In fact,  $(X)$  is a  $d'$ -ideal, since

$$d'(X) = X \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(X) = X \in (X).$$

## Example 3

$$A := K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X, Y]$  is NOT *d*-simple.

$(Y)$  is a *d*-ideal.

## Example 3

$$A := K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X, Y]$  is NOT  $d$ -simple.

$(Y)$  is a  $d$ -ideal.

## Example 3

$$A := K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$K[X, Y]$  is NOT  $d$ -simple.

$(Y)$  is a  $d$ -ideal.



## Example 4 (Bergman e Lequain)

$$A := K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

$K[X, Y]$  is  $d$ -simple.

## Example 4 (Bergman e Lequain)

$$A := K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

$K[X, Y]$  is  $d$ -simple.

## The result of Seidenberg

Let  $A := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an affine domain.

### Theorem

(Seidenberg, 1967)

$A$  is differentially simple  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is regular.

BUT, Hart (1975) showed that when  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is differentially simple, it may not exist a single derivation  $d$  such that  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is  $d$ -simple.

For example

$$A := \frac{\mathbb{Q}[X, Y, Z]}{(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1)}$$

## The result of Seidenberg

Let  $A := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an affine domain.

### Theorem

(Seidenberg, 1967)

$A$  is differentially simple  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is regular.

BUT, Hart (1975) showed that when  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is differentially simple, it may not exist a single derivation  $d$  such that  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is  $d$ -simple.

For example

$$A := \frac{\mathbb{Q}[X, Y, Z]}{(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1)}$$

## The result of Seidenberg

Let  $A := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an affine domain.

### Theorem

(Seidenberg, 1967)

$A$  is differentially simple  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is regular.

BUT, Hart (1975) showed that when  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is differentially simple, it may not exist a single derivation  $d$  such that  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is  $d$ -simple.

For example

$$A := \frac{\mathbb{Q}[X, Y, Z]}{(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1)}$$

## The result of Hart

Let  $A := S^{-1}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  be a domain essentially of finite type.

### Theorem

(Hart, 1975)

*A admits a simple derivation  $d \Leftrightarrow A$  is regular.*

## The result of Hart

Let  $A := S^{-1}(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$  be a domain essentially of finite type.

### Theorem

(Hart, 1975)

$A$  admits a simple derivation  $d \Leftrightarrow A$  is regular.

## The result of Shamsuddin

Let  $A$  be any commutative ring that contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $d$  be a derivation of  $A$ . Given any polynomial  $f(Y) \in A[Y]$ , we can extend  $d$  to a derivation of  $A[Y]$  putting:

$$d(Y) := f(Y).$$

We are interested when  $f(Y)$  has degree one, that is:

$$d(Y) = aY + b, \quad a, b \in A, \quad a \neq 0.$$



## The result of Shamsuddin

Let  $A$  be any commutative ring that contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $d$  be a derivation of  $A$ . Given any polynomial  $f(Y) \in A[Y]$ , we can extend  $d$  to a derivation of  $A[Y]$  putting:

$$d(Y) := f(Y).$$

We are interested when  $f(Y)$  has degree one, that is:

$$d(Y) = aY + b, \quad a, b \in A, \quad a \neq 0.$$

## The result of Shamsuddin

### Theorem (Shamsuddin, 1977 )

Let  $d$  be a simple derivation of  $A$ . Put

$$d(Y) = aY + b, a, b \in A.$$

Then,

$A[Y]$  is  $d$ -simple



The diff. equation  $d(r) = ar + b$  does not have a solution in  $A$

This differential equation over  $A$  is called the ODE associated to the derivation  $d$ .

## The result of Shamsuddin

### Theorem (Shamsuddin, 1977 )

Let  $d$  be a simple derivation of  $A$ . Put

$$d(Y) = aY + b, a, b \in A.$$

Then,

$A[Y]$  is  $d$ -simple



The diff. equation  $d(r) = ar + b$  does not have a solution in  $A$

This differential equation over  $A$  is called the ODE associated to the derivation  $d$ .

## The result of Shamsuddin

### Theorem (Shamsuddin, 1977 )

Let  $d$  be a simple derivation of  $A$ . Put

$$d(Y) = aY + b, a, b \in A.$$

Then,

$A[Y]$  is  $d$ -simple



The diff. equation  $d(r) = ar + b$  does not have a solution in  $A$

This differential equation over  $A$  is called the ODE associated to the derivation  $d$ .

## The example of Bergam-Lequain, bis.

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$$d(Y) := 1 + XY$$

$$A[Y] = K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

## The example of Bergam-Lequain, bis.

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$$d(Y) := 1 + XY$$

$$A[Y] = K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

## The example of Bergam-Lequain, bis.

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$$d(Y) := 1 + XY$$

$$A[Y] = K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

## The example of Bergam-Lequain, bis.

$$A := K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$$d(Y) := 1 + XY$$

$$A[Y] = K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$



## The example of Bergamn-Lequain, bis.

Shamsuddin:

$$k[X, Y] \text{ is } \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right) - \text{simple}$$



The ODE  $r' = Xr + 1$  has no solution in  $k[X]$

## The example of Bergamn-Lequain, bis.

Shamsuddin:

$$k[X, Y] \text{ is } \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (1 + XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right) - \text{simple}$$



The ODE  $r' = Xr + 1$  has no solution in  $k[X]$

## Other example of simple "Shamsuddin" derivations

Coutinho (Collier) (1999):

$$R := K[X, Y, Z]$$

$$d := \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (a(X)Y + b(X))\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} + (c(X)Z + d(X))\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}$$

## Shamsuddin derivations

A derivations of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is a **Shamsuddin derivation** if it has the following form:

$$d = \partial_1 + (a_2(X_1)X_2 + b_2(X_1))\partial_2 + \cdots + (a_n(X_1)X_n + b_n(X_1))\partial_n$$

where

$$a_j(X_1), b_j(X_1) \in K[X_1], j = 2, \dots, n.$$

## Shamsuddin derivations

A derivations of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is a **Shamsuddin derivation** if it has the following form:

$$d = \partial_1 + (a_2(X_1)X_2 + b_2(X_1))\partial_2 + \cdots + (a_n(X_1)X_n + b_n(X_1))\partial_n$$

where

$$a_j(X_1), b_j(X_1) \in K[X_1], j = 2, \dots, n.$$

## Shamsuddin derivations that are NOT simple

Not all Shamsuddin derivations are simple:

### Example

$$K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

The ideal  $(Y)$  is *d*-stable.

### Example

$$K[X, Y, Z]$$

$$d = \partial_X + (XY + 1)\partial_Y + (XZ + 1)\partial_Z$$

The ideal  $I = (Y - Z)$  is *d*-stable,  $d(Y - Z) = X(Y - Z)$

## Shamsuddin derivations that are NOT simple

Not all Shamsuddin derivations are simple:

### Example

$$K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

The ideal  $(Y)$  is  $d$ -stable.

### Example

$$K[X, Y, Z]$$

$$d = \partial_X + (XY + 1)\partial_Y + (XZ + 1)\partial_Z$$

The ideal  $I = (Y - Z)$  is  $d$ -stable,  $d(Y - Z) = X(Y - Z)$

## Shamsuddin derivations that are NOT simple

Not all Shamsuddin derivations are simple:

### Example

$$K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (XY) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

The ideal  $(Y)$  is  $d$ -stable.

### Example

$$K[X, Y, Z]$$

$$d = \partial_X + (XY + 1)\partial_Y + (XZ + 1)\partial_Z$$

The ideal  $I = (Y - Z)$  is  $d$ -stable,  $d(Y - Z) = X(Y - Z)$



## Questions

**Question A:** When a Shamsuddin derivation is simple?

**Question B:** Are there simple derivations that are NOT Shamsuddin derivations?

## Questions

**Question A:** When a Shamsuddin derivation is simple?

**Question B:** Are there simple derivations that are NOT Shamsuddin derivations?

## A result of Lequain, 2008

### Theorem

*It is possible to decide (effectively) when a Shamsuddin derivation*

$$d = \partial_1 + (a_2(X_1)X_2 + b_2(X_1))\partial_2 + \cdots + (a_n(X_1)X_n + b_n(X_1))\partial_n$$

*is simple or not.*

*One has to compute some invariants of the polynomials  $a_i(X_1), b_i(X_1) \in K[X_1]$ .*

## A result of Lequain, 2008

### Theorem

*It is possible to decide (effectively) when a Shamsuddin derivation*

$$d = \partial_1 + (a_2(X_1)X_2 + b_2(X_1))\partial_2 + \cdots + (a_n(X_1)X_n + b_n(X_1))\partial_n$$

*is simple or not.*

*One has to compute some invariants of the polynomials  $a_i(X_1), b_i(X_1) \in K[X_1]$ .*

## Simple derivations that are not Shamsuddin

### Example

(Archer, 1981) (based in Shamsuddin)

$$A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

$$d = \partial_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (1 + X_{i-1}X_i)\partial_i$$

### Example

(Maciejewski, Moulin-Ollagnier, Nowicki, 2001). They studied when a derivation of the form

$$d = \partial_X + (Y^2 - p(X))\partial_Y$$

## Simple derivations that are not Shamsuddin

### Example

(Archer, 1981) (based in Shamsuddin)

$$A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

$$d = \partial_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (1 + X_{i-1}X_i)\partial_i$$

### Example

(Maciejewski, Moulin-Ollagnier, Nowicki, 2001). They studied when a derivation of the form

$$d = \partial_X + (Y^2 - p(X))\partial_Y$$

## Simple derivations that are not Shamsuddin

### Example

(Archer, 1981) (based in Shamsuddin)

$$A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

$$d = \partial_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (1 + X_{i-1}X_i)\partial_i$$

### Example

(Maciejewski, Moulin-Ollagnier, Nowicki, 2001). They studied when a derivation of the form

$$d = \partial_X + (Y^2 - p(X))\partial_Y$$

## Observation and Problem

**Observation:** Archer showed that there always exists a base of the free module of derivations

$$\text{Der}_K(K[X_1, \dots, X_n])$$

formed by simple derivations.

**Totally open problem:** Classify simple derivations of polynomials rings.



## Observation and Problem

**Observation:** Archer showed that there always exists a base of the free module of derivations

$$\text{Der}_K(K[X_1, \dots, X_n])$$

formed by simple derivations.

**Totally open problem:** Classify simple derivations of polynomials rings.

## Observation and Problem

**Observation:** Archer showed that there always exists a base of the free module of derivations

$$\text{Der}_K(K[X_1, \dots, X_n])$$

formed by simple derivations.

**Totally open problem:** Classify simple derivations of polynomials rings.

## Definition

Let  $d$  be a  $K$ -derivation of a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ . Consider the full group  $\text{Aut}_K(A)$  of  $K$ -automorphisms of  $A$ .

The **isotropy group of  $d$**  is the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_K(A)$  defined by

$$\text{Aut}(A)_d = \{\rho \in \text{Aut}_K(A) \mid \rho d \rho^{-1} = d\}.$$

Note that the isotropy group is just the stabilizer subgroup of the action by conjugation:

$$\text{Aut}_K(A) \times \text{Der}_K(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_K(A)$$

$$(\rho, d) \mapsto \rho d \rho^{-1}.$$

## Definition

Let  $d$  be a  $K$ -derivation of a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ . Consider the full group  $\text{Aut}_K(A)$  of  $K$ -automorphisms of  $A$ .

The **isotropy group of  $d$**  is the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_K(A)$  defined by

$$\text{Aut}(A)_d = \{\rho \in \text{Aut}_K(A) \mid \rho d \rho^{-1} = d\}.$$

Note that the isotropy group is just the stabilizer subgroup of the action by conjugation:

$$\text{Aut}_K(A) \times \text{Der}_K(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_K(A)$$

$$(\rho, d) \mapsto \rho d \rho^{-1}.$$

## Definition

Let  $d$  be a  $K$ -derivation of a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ . Consider the full group  $\text{Aut}_K(A)$  of  $K$ -automorphisms of  $A$ .

The **isotropy group of  $d$**  is the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_K(A)$  defined by

$$\text{Aut}(A)_d = \{\rho \in \text{Aut}_K(A) \mid \rho d \rho^{-1} = d\}.$$

Note that the isotropy group is just the stabilizer subgroup of the action by conjugation:

$$\text{Aut}_K(A) \times \text{Der}_K(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_K(A)$$

$$(\rho, d) \mapsto \rho d \rho^{-1}.$$

## Easy example

$$A = K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$$\text{Aut}(K[X])_d = \{\rho : X \mapsto a + X, a \in K\}$$

This is an infinite group.

## Easy example

$$A = K[X]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

$$\text{Aut}(K[X])_d = \{\rho : X \mapsto a + X, a \in K\}$$

This is an infinite group.

## Baltazar's thesis

He considered the polynomial ring  $K[X, Y]$ .

### Example

$$A = K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

He computed:

$$\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d = \{\rho : (X, Y) \mapsto (X + p(Y), aY + b)\},$$

where  $a, b \in K, a \neq 0$

This is an infinite group.



## Baltazar's thesis

He considered the polynomial ring  $K[X, Y]$ .

### Example

$$A = K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

He computed:

$$\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d = \{\rho : (X, Y) \mapsto (X + p(Y), aY + b)\},$$

where  $a, b \in K, a \neq 0$

This is an infinite group.

## Baltazar's thesis

He considered the polynomial ring  $K[X, Y]$ .

### Example

$$A = K[X, Y]$$

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}$$

He computed:

$$\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d = \{\rho : (X, Y) \mapsto (X + p(Y), aY + b)\},$$

where  $a, b \in K, a \neq 0$

This is an infinite group.

## Baltazar's theorem

### Theorem

*(Baltazar, 2014) Let  $d$  be a Shamsuddin derivation of  $K[X, Y]$ . Suppose that  $d$  is simple. Then its isotropy group  $\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d$  is trivial.*

Then Baltazar and Pan (his supervisor) conjectured:

**Conjecture (Baltazar and Pan):** If  $d$  is a simple derivation of an affine  $K$ -algebra, then its isotropy group is finite.

Not true even for  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  if  $n \geq 3$ .

## Baltazar's theorem

### Theorem

*(Baltazar, 2014) Let  $d$  be a Shamsuddin derivation of  $K[X, Y]$ . Suppose that  $d$  is simple. Then its isotropy group  $\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d$  is trivial.*

Then Baltazar and Pan (his supervisor) conjectured:

**Conjecture (Baltazar and Pan):** If  $d$  is a simple derivation of an affine  $K$ -algebra, then its isotropy group is finite.

Not true even for  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  if  $n \geq 3$ .

## Mendes and Pan theorem

### Theorem

*(Mendes, Pan, 2018) If  $d$  be a simple derivation of  $K[X, Y]$ , then its isotropy group  $\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d$  is trivial.*

## Simple Shamsuddin derivations

### Theorem

*(Bertoncello,—, 2016.) Let  $d$  be a simple Shamsuddin derivation of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then its isotropy group  $\text{Aut}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n])_d$  is trivial.*

**Remark:** The proof of this theorem is heavily based in Lequain's characterization of simple Shamsuddin derivations.

## Simple Shamsuddin derivations

### Theorem

(Bertoncello,—, 2016.) *Let  $d$  be a simple Shamsuddin derivation of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then its isotropy group  $\text{Aut}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n])_d$  is trivial.*

**Remark:** The proof of this theorem is heavily based in Lequain's characterization of simple Shamsuddin derivations.

## A new conjecture

**Conjecture (Baltazar, Bertonecello, Mendes, Pan):** Let  $d$  be a Shamsuddin derivation of the polynomial ring

$$K[X_1, \dots, X_n], n \geq 2.$$

Then  $d$  is simple if, and only if, its isotropy group is trivial.



## Definition

Let  $K[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring in two variables over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. A derivation  $d$  of  $K[X, Y]$  has  $Y$ -degree  $n$  if  $d(X) = 1$  and  $d(Y)$  has degree  $n$  as a polynomial in  $Y$  with coefficients in  $K[X]$ .

**Notation:**  $d(Y) = h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0$ .  
Then  $d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$ , where  $h_i(X) \in K[X]$ .

## Definition

Let  $K[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring in two variables over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. A derivation  $d$  of  $K[X, Y]$  has  **$Y$ -degree  $n$**  if  $d(X) = 1$  and  $d(Y)$  has degree  $n$  as a polynomial in  $Y$  with coefficients in  $K[X]$ .

**Notation:**  $d(Y) = h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0$ .  
Then  $d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$ , where  $h_i(X) \in K[X]$ .

## Definition

Let  $K[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring in two variables over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. A derivation  $d$  of  $K[X, Y]$  has  **$Y$ -degree  $n$**  if  $d(X) = 1$  and  $d(Y)$  has degree  $n$  as a polynomial in  $Y$  with coefficients in  $K[X]$ .

**Notation:**  $d(Y) = h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0$ .

Then  $d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$ , where  $h_i(X) \in K[X]$ .

## Definition

Let  $K[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring in two variables over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. A derivation  $d$  of  $K[X, Y]$  has  **$Y$ -degree  $n$**  if  $d(X) = 1$  and  $d(Y)$  has degree  $n$  as a polynomial in  $Y$  with coefficients in  $K[X]$ .

**Notation:**  $d(Y) = h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0$ .  
Then  $d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_n Y^n + h_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$ , where  $h_i(X) \in K[X]$ .

## Definition

A **quadratic derivation** has  $Y$ -degree 2:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_2 Y^2 + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}.$$

A **cubic derivation** has  $Y$ -degree 3:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_3 Y^3 + h_2 Y^2 + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}.$$

## Definition

A **quadratic derivation** has  $Y$ -degree 2:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_2 Y^2 + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}.$$

A **cubic derivation** has  $Y$ -degree 3:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_3 Y^3 + h_2 Y^2 + h_1 Y + h_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}.$$

## Theorem

### Theorem

*(Bertoncello,—, 2016.) Let  $d$  be a derivation of the polynomial ring in two variables  $K[X, Y]$  of  $Y$ -degree  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\rho \in (K[X, Y])_d$  be in the isotropy group of  $d$ . Then,*

- (i)**  $\rho(X) = X + \alpha$ , ( $\alpha \in K$ ) and  $\rho(Y) = b_0 + b_1 Y$  with  $b_0 \in K[X]$ ,  $b_1 \in K^*$  and satisfies  $b_1^{n-1} = 1$ .
- (ii)** If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$  and  $b_1 = 1$ , then  $b_0 = 0$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .
- (iii)** If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $h_0 \neq 0$  and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .
- (iv)** If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $d$  is simple and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .

## Theorem

### Theorem

*(Bertoncello,—, 2016.) Let  $d$  be a derivation of the polynomial ring in two variables  $K[X, Y]$  of  $Y$ -degree  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\rho \in (K[X, Y])_d$  be in the isotropy group of  $d$ . Then,*

- (i)  $\rho(X) = X + \alpha$ , ( $\alpha \in K$ ) and  $\rho(Y) = b_0 + b_1 Y$  with  $b_0 \in K[X]$ ,  $b_1 \in K^*$  and satisfies  $b_1^{n-1} = 1$ .*
- (ii) If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$  and  $b_1 = 1$ , then  $b_0 = 0$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .*
- (iii) If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $h_0 \neq 0$  and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .*
- (iv) If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $d$  is simple and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .*



## Theorem

### Theorem

*(Bertencello,—, 2016.) Let  $d$  be a derivation of the polynomial ring in two variables  $K[X, Y]$  of  $Y$ -degree  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\rho \in (K[X, Y])_d$  be in the isotropy group of  $d$ . Then,*

- (i)**  $\rho(X) = X + \alpha$ , ( $\alpha \in K$ ) and  $\rho(Y) = b_0 + b_1 Y$  with  $b_0 \in K[X]$ ,  $b_1 \in K^*$  and satisfies  $b_1^{n-1} = 1$ .
- (ii)** If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$  and  $b_1 = 1$ , then  $b_0 = 0$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .
- (iii)** If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $h_0 \neq 0$  and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .
- (iv)** If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $d$  is simple and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .

## Theorem

### Theorem

(Bertencello,—, 2016.) Let  $d$  be a derivation of the polynomial ring in two variables  $K[X, Y]$  of  $Y$ -degree  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\rho \in (K[X, Y])_d$  be in the isotropy group of  $d$ . Then,

- (i)  $\rho(X) = X + \alpha$ , ( $\alpha \in K$ ) and  $\rho(Y) = b_0 + b_1 Y$  with  $b_0 \in K[X]$ ,  $b_1 \in K^*$  and satisfies  $b_1^{n-1} = 1$ .
- (ii) If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$  and  $b_1 = 1$ , then  $b_0 = 0$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .
- (iii) If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $h_0 \neq 0$  and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .
- (iv) If  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ ,  $d$  is simple and  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $b_1 = 1$ . In this case  $\rho = id$ .

## Corollaries

### Corollary

*Let  $d$  be a derivation in two variables of  $Y$ -degree  $n \geq 2$  with  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ . Let  $\mu_{n-1}(K)$  denote the cyclic group of  $n - 1$  roots of unity in  $K$ . Then*

$$\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d \hookrightarrow \mu_{n-1}(K).$$

*In particular it is a finite cyclic group.*

### Proof.

Consider the map  $\varphi : K[X, Y]_d \rightarrow \mu_{n-1}(K)$  given by  $\varphi(\rho) = b_1$  where  $\rho(Y) = b_0 + b_1 Y$ . It is a group homomorphism. By (i) of the theorem above, it is well defined; by (ii) it is injective. Then the result follows.  $\square$

## Corollaries

### Corollary

Let  $d$  be a derivation in two variables of  $Y$ -degree  $n \geq 2$  with  $h_n(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ . Let  $\mu_{n-1}(K)$  denote the cyclic group of  $n - 1$  roots of unity in  $K$ . Then

$$\text{Aut}(K[X, Y])_d \hookrightarrow \mu_{n-1}(K).$$

In particular it is a finite cyclic group.

### Proof.

Consider the map  $\varphi : K[X, Y]_d \rightarrow \mu_{n-1}(K)$  given by  $\varphi(\rho) = b_1$  where  $\rho(Y) = b_0 + b_1 Y$ . It is a group homomorphism. By (i) of the theorem above, it is well defined; by (ii) it is injective. Then the result follows.  $\square$

## Corollaries

### Corollary

- (i) *If  $d$  is a quadratic derivation in two variables with  $h_2(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ , then its isotropy group is trivial.*
- (ii) *If  $d$  is a cubic derivation in two variables with  $h_3(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ , then its isotropy group is either trivial or a group of order 2 (and both cases occur).*

## Corollaries

### Corollary

- (i) *If  $d$  is a quadratic derivation in two variables with  $h_2(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ , then its isotropy group is trivial.*
- (ii) *If  $d$  is a cubic derivation in two variables with  $h_3(X) \in K[X] \setminus K$ , then its isotropy group is either trivial or a group of order 2 (and both cases occur).*

## Examples

### Example

Let  $d$  be a cubic derivation in two variables given by:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_1 Y + h_3 Y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

Let

$$\rho : X \mapsto X, Y \mapsto -Y$$

Then,

$$K[X, Y]_d = \{\text{id}, \rho\}$$

## Examples

### Example

Let  $d$  be a cubic derivation in two variables given by:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_1 Y + h_3 Y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

Let

$$\rho : X \mapsto X, Y \mapsto -Y$$

Then,

$$K[X, Y]_d = \{\text{id}, \rho\}$$



## Examples

### Example

Let  $d$  be a cubic derivation in two variables given by:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (h_1 Y + h_3 Y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

Let

$$\rho : X \mapsto X, Y \mapsto -Y$$

Then,

$$K[X, Y]_d = \{\text{id}, \rho\}$$

.

## Example

Let  $d$  be the derivation in two variables given by:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (Y^n + pX) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

where  $n \geq 2, p \in K^*$ .

Novicki proved that  $d$  is simple.

Its isotropy group is trivial.

## Example

Let  $d$  be the derivation in two variables given by:

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (Y^n + pX) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

where  $n \geq 2, p \in K^*$ .

Novicki proved that  $d$  is simple.

Its isotropy group is trivial.

## Example

Let  $d$  be the derivation in two variables given by:






$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + (Y^n + pX) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$$

where  $n \geq 2, p \in K^*$ .




Novicki proved that  $d$  is simple.

Its isotropy group is trivial.

## References I

-  R.Baltazar, *On simple Shamsuddin derivations in two variables*, Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, to appear.
-  R.Baltazar, *Tese de Doutorado*.
-  L.N.Bertoncello and D.Levcovitz, *On the isotropy group of a simple derivation*, preprint.
-  R. Hart, *Derivations on regular local rings of finitely generated type*, J. London Math. Soc. 10(1975), 292–294.
-  Y.Lequain, *Simple Shamsuddin derivations of  $K[X; Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212(2008), 801–807.

## References II

-  L.G.Mendes and I.Pan, *On plane polynomial automorphisms commuting with simple derivations*, available from Arxiv:1604.04933.
-  A. Seidenberg, *Differential ideals in rings of finitely generated type*, Amer. J. Math. 89(1967), 22–42.
-  A. Shamsuddin, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Leeds (1977).