List of Problems

This list was assembled during the Workshop on Combinatorics, Algorithms, and Applications (http://www.ime.usp.br/ yoshi/pronex/Workshop/), held in Ubatuba, 1-5 September, 2003.

1. (Cornuéjols) A $0, \pm 1$ matrix is *totally unimodular* if all its square submatrices have determinant equal to $0, \pm 1$ or -1.

A $0, \pm 1$ matrix A is minimally non-totally unimodular if it is not totally unimodular, but all its proper submatrices are. (So A must be square.)

A $0, \pm 1$ matrix H is an *umbalanced hole matrix* if it is minimally non-totally unimodular and it has exactly two non-zero entries per row and per column.

Prove or disprove that, for every minimally non-totally unimodular matrix A, there exists a totally unimodular matrix U such that UA is an unbalanced hole matrix.

2. (Spinrad) [Forbiden Subgraph Recognition] The goal is to show that, for every graph F which is not a subset of P_4 , F-free induced subgraph recognition is as hard as triangle-free recognition.

The rule is that you must find a reduction from triangle-free graph recognition on G to F-free recognition on G' which runs in $O(n^2)$ time, and such that G' has O(n) vertices.

This has been proved for many graphs F, e.g. all graphs on 5 vertices. The two open problems are:

- (a) Show that C_4 -free recognition is as hard as triangle-free recognition. (You will also get credit if you have a C_4 -free recognition algorithm which is faster than matrix multiplication.)
- (b) Show that, for every graph F with at least 6 vertices, F-free recognition is as hard as triangle-free recognition.

All subgraphs referred to are induced subgraphs.

3. (de Figueiredo, Meidanis and Mello) [Edge-colouring of chordal graphs] A graph G = (V, E) is overful if $|E| > \Delta \lfloor \frac{|V|}{2} \rfloor$, where Δ is the maximum vertex degree.

A graph G is subgraph overful if it contains a sugraph H such that $\Delta(H) = \Delta(G)$ and H is overful.

A graph G is *neighbourhood overful* if it contains a vertex v with degree $d(v) = \Delta$ such that N[v] is overful. Clearly neighbourhood overful graphs are Class 2 (need $\Delta + 1$ colours to be edge-coloured).

Conjecture 1 For chordal graphs, Class 2 = neighbourhood overful.

Conjecture 2 Every chordal graph with Δ odd is Class 1.

(Note that Conjecture 1 implies Conjecture 2.)

4. (**Reed**) Prove or disprove:

If G is a cubic connected graph with n vertices then G has a dominating set D with $|D| \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

5. (Konstadinidis) Let n be a non-negative integer. A proper colouring of \mathbb{R}^n is a colouring of each point of \mathbb{R}^n in which no two points at distance one have the same color.

The chromatic number of \mathbb{R}^n is the number

 $\tilde{\chi}(n) = \min\{k : \text{there is a proper colouring of } R^n \text{ with } k \text{ colors}\}.$

Clearly $\tilde{\chi}(n)$ is a non-decreasing function.

Conjecture 3 $\tilde{\chi}(n)$ is a scrictly increasing function.

In other words, if you increase the dimension by one, then you will need to use at least one more colour to get the job done.

6. (Mandel) Find a good characterization/algorithm for the following problem:

Graph formulation: Given a bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E)$, when can one order the elements on each side, $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m\}$, so that all edges "go to the right": $(a_i, b_j) \in E$ implies $i \leq j$?

Matrix formulation: Given a (binary) matrix A, do there exist permutation matrices P and Q such that PAQ is 0 below the main diagonal? $(PAQ_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ implies } i \leq j)$

7. (Duffus) [Partition into union-free classes; H. Abbott and D. Hanson ~ 1970] Let f(n) be the minimum k such that there exists a partition $\mathcal{P}([n]) = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{C}_k$ ($\mathcal{P}([n])$) is the power set of [n]) where each \mathcal{C}_i is (non-trivial) union-free, that is, if $A, B \in \mathcal{C}_i, A \cup B \notin \mathcal{C}_i$ (unless $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$).

Upper bound:

(Abbott and Hanson): $f(n) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$.

Partition $\mathcal{P}([n])$ according to cardinality:

- $C_0: 0, 1, 3, 7, \dots$ element subsets;
- C_1 : 2-, 5-, 11-, 23-, ... element subsets;
- C_2 : 4-, 9-, 19-, 39-, ... element subsets;
- ...

Lower bounds:

(Erdős and Shelah): $\frac{n}{4} \leq f(n)$.

Just by considering the $n^2/4$ sets of the form $\{i, i + 1, ..., j - 1, j\}$, where $i \le n/2 < j$, they showed n/4 classes are needed.

(Aigner, Duffus, Kleitman ~ 1990): $\frac{\ln 2}{2}n \approx .35n \leq f(n)$.

Problem: Improve the bounds on f(n).

Conjecture 4 $f(n) \approx \frac{n}{2}$.

8. (Lins) Consider a rectangle of size $A \times B$ and another of size $a \times b$, $a \leq A$ and $b \leq B$, a, b, A, B positive numbers.

What is the maximum number of $a \times b$ -rectangles that can be packed into the $A \times B$ -rectangle?

Obs: Consider only "orthogonal" packings, that is, those where all the $a \times b$ -rectangle have their sizes parallel to the ones of the big $A \times B$ -rectangle.

Can anyone prove or disprove that this problem is NP-hard?

9. (Kohayakawa and Moreira) Given integers a and b and a finite set F, an (a, b)-regular family of subsets of F is a family C such that |X| = a, for all $X \in C$, and $deg(x) = \{X \in C : x \in X\} = b$, for all $x \in F$.

We define $\alpha(F, \mathcal{C}) = \min\{r \in N : \exists X_i \in \mathcal{C}, 1 \leq i \leq r, \text{ such that } \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i = F\}.$

Given a positive integer a, and an integer $n \ge a$, we define $\alpha(a, n) = \max\{\alpha(F, \mathcal{C}) : |F| = n$ and \mathcal{C} is an (a, b)-regular family for some $b\}$, and $f(a) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a}{n} \alpha(a, n)$.

We know that f(2) = 4/3 and that, for any a,

$$\ln a - \ln \ln a \leq f(a) \leq H_a = \sum_{k=1}^{a} \frac{1}{k} = \ln a + \gamma + O(\frac{1}{a}).$$

Problems:

- (a) Compute f(3) and f(4) (and ideally f(a) for any a).
- (b) Decide whether $H_a f(a)$ is bounded.
- 10. (do Lago) (LCS-query problem) Let A be an alphabet, that is, a set of *letters*. Any finite sequence $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ of letters is called a *word*. A word u is called a *factor* of w if there are $0 < i \leq j \leq k$ such that $u = w_i w_{i+1} \cdots w_j$.

The number k = |w| is called the *length* of the word $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$. A word u is called a *subsequence* of w (or a subword of w) if there are $0 \le l \le k$ and $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_l \le k$ such that $w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \cdots w_{i_l}$.

Let LCS(u, v) be the length of a longest common subsequence of u and v, that is, the largest l such that there is a word w of length l and w is a subsequence of u and of v.

The number LCS(u, v) can be computed in $O(n^2)$ for n = max(|u|, |v|) by standard dynamic programming.

There are $O(n^2)$ factors of u.

Problem: Given two words u and v of length up to n, we can preprocess them in such a way that queries to compute LCS(x, y), for x a factor of u and y a factor of v, can be answered in O(1). The preprocessing can be done in $O(n^4)$ time. Can we do it in $O(n^2)$ time?