## PROOF OF ZORN'S LEMMA

## DANIEL V. TAUSK

By a partially ordered set we mean a set X endowed with a partial order, i.e., a binary relation  $\leq$  that is reflexive  $(x \leq x, \text{ for all } x \in X)$ , antisymmetric  $(x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq x \text{ imply } x = y, \text{ for all } x, y \in X)$  and transitive  $(x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq z \text{ imply } x \leq z, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X)$ . A subset of a partially ordered set X will always be considered partially ordered by the restriction of the partial order of X. As usual, we write x < y if both  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ . Given a subset S of X, we say that  $x \in X$  is an upper bound (resp., strict upper bound) for S if  $y \leq x$  (resp., y < x) for all  $y \in S$ . We say that the partial order  $\leq$  is total if  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and that X is wellordered by  $\leq$  if every nonempty subset S of X has a smallest element, i.e., an element  $x \in S$  with  $x \leq y$ , for all  $y \in S$ . Obviously, if X is well-ordered then it is also totally ordered. In a well-ordered set, one can do proofs by transfinite induction: given a subset P of X, to prove that P = X, show that for all  $x \in X$ , if  $(\cdot, x) \subset P$ , then  $x \in P$ , where

$$(\cdot, x) = \{ y \in X : y < x \}.$$

A subset S of X is called an *initial part* of X if for every  $x \in S$  we have  $(\cdot, x) \subset S$ . Obviously, an initial part of an initial part of X is again an initial part of X. If X is well-ordered, then an initial part S of X is either X itself or it is equal to  $(\cdot, x)$ , for some (automatically unique)  $x \in X$ . Namely, x is the smallest element of  $X \setminus S$ . The following result is an immediate consequence of this observation.

**Lemma 1.** If X is well-ordered and if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are initial parts of X, then either  $S_1 \subset S_2$  or  $S_2 \subset S_1$ .

Given partially ordered sets X and Y, we call a map  $f : X \to Y$  an *order-isomorphism* if f is bijective and

$$x_1 \le x_2 \Longleftrightarrow f(x_1) \le f(x_2),$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that X and Y are *order-isomorphic* if there exists an order isomorphism from X onto Y.

**Lemma 2.** Given well-ordered sets X and Y, then there exists at most one map  $f : X \to Y$  whose image f[X] is an initial part of Y and such that  $f : X \to f[X]$  is an order-isomorphism.

Date: March 19th, 2018.

*Proof.* If f is such a map, then it is easy to see that, for all  $x \in X$ , f(x) equals the smallest element of  $Y \setminus f[(\cdot, x)]$ . It then follows by transfinite induction that if f and g are two such maps then f(x) = g(x), for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 3.** Given well-ordered sets X and Y, then either X is order-isomorphic to an initial part of Y or Y is order-isomorphic to an initial part of X.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of all maps that are order-isomorphisms from an initial part of X onto an initial part of Y. It follows directly from Lemmas 1 and 2 that  $\mathcal{F}$  is totally ordered by inclusion, i.e., that for  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  we have that either  $f_2$  extends  $f_1$  or  $f_1$  extends  $f_2$ . Thus, taking f to be the union of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have that  $f : X' \to Y'$  is an order-isomorphism from an initial part X' of X onto an initial part Y' of Y. If either X' = X or Y' = Y, we are done. Otherwise, let x be the smallest element of  $X \setminus X'$  and y be the smallest element of  $Y \setminus Y'$ . We now obtain  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  properly extending f by setting g(x) = y, which yields a contradiction.

An element x of a partially ordered set X is called *maximal* if for every  $y \in X$  it is not the case that x < y.

**Theorem 4** (Zorn). Let X be a partially ordered set such that every totally ordered subset of X has an upper bound in X. Then X has a maximal element.

To prove Theorem 4, we start by fixing a map  $\phi : \wp(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to X$  such that  $\phi(S) \in S$ , for every nonempty subset S of X (i.e., a *choice function* for X). We need an auxiliary definition.

**Definition 5.** A subset S of X will be called *special* (with respect to the choice function  $\phi$ ) if S is well-ordered by the (restriction of the) partial order of X and if, for every  $x \in S$ , we have  $x = \phi(U)$ , where U is the set of strict upper bounds in X of the set  $(\cdot, x) \cap S$ . (Note that U is nonempty, since  $x \in U$ .)

**Lemma 6.** If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are special subsets of X, then either  $S_1$  is an initial part of  $S_2$  or  $S_2$  is an initial part of  $S_1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3, either  $S_1$  is order-isomorphic to an initial part of  $S_2$  or  $S_2$  is order-isomorphic to an initial part of  $S_1$ . Assume, for instance, that we have a map  $f: S_1 \to f[S_1]$  that is an order-isomorphism onto an initial part  $f[S_1]$  of  $S_2$ . It suffices to show that f must be the inclusion map. We proceed by transfinite induction. Let  $x \in S_1$  and assume that f(y) = y for all  $y \in (\cdot, x) \cap S_1$ . It follows that  $f[(\cdot, x) \cap S_1] = (\cdot, x) \cap S_1$  is an initial part of  $f[S_1]$  and that f(x) is the smallest element in  $f[S_1]$  not in  $(\cdot, x) \cap S_1$ . Since  $f[S_1]$  is an initial part of  $S_2$ , we obtain that  $(\cdot, x) \cap S_1$  is an initial part of  $S_2$  and that f(x) is the smallest element in  $S_2$  not in  $(\cdot, x) \cap S_1$ . Thus:

(1) 
$$(\cdot, x) \cap S_1 = (\cdot, f(x)) \cap S_2.$$

The fact that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are special now implies that both f(x) and x equal  $\phi(U)$ , where U is the set of strict upper bounds in X of the set on either side of equality (1).

Proof of Theorem 4. Let S denote the union of all special subsets of X. It follows easily from Lemma 6 that every special subset of X is an initial part of S and then that S is itself special. Let U denote the set of strict upper bounds of S in X. If U were nonempty, then setting  $x = \phi(U)$  we would obtain that  $S \cup \{x\}$  is a special subset of X properly containing S. Thus S has no strict upper bounds and hence any upper bound of S must be a maximal element of X.

*Remark.* We have actually proven Theorem 4 under the weaker assumption that every *well-ordered* subset of X has an upper bound in X.