# The Sum of Signless Laplacian UFRGS Eigenvalues of a Graph

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL Guilherme Porto guilherme.porto@ufrgs.br Vilmar Trevisan

trevisan@mat.ufrgs.br

#### Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph on *n* vertices and e(G) edges. The sequence degree of *G* is denoted by

## $d(G) = \{d_1(G) \ge d_2(G) \ge \cdots \ge d_n(G)\}.$

Let A be the adjacency matrix of G and D be the diagonal matrix of the row-sums of A, i.e., the degrees of G. Consider the following matrix representations of a graph:

#### Grone's Inequality for signless Laplacian

The signless Laplacian version of Grone's inequality could be stated as: Grone's Inequality for signless Laplacian

Let G be a simple connected graph on  $n \ge 3$  vertices. Then

$$S_k^+ = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i(G) \ge 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k d_i(G).$$

#### Laplacian Matrix L(G)

L(G) = D - A.Spec(L(G)) = { $\mu_1(G) \ge \mu_2(G) \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n(G)$ }.

#### Signless Laplacian Matrix Q(G)

Q(G) = A + D. $Spec(Q(G)) = \{q_1(G) \ge q_2(G) \ge \cdots \ge q_n(G)\}.$ 

Consider M(G) as a matrix representation of a graph G of order n and let k be a natural number such that  $1 \le k \le n$ . A general question related to G and M(G) can be raised: "How large can the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of M(G) be?" In this work we study how to solve this problem for L(G) and Q(G).

### Grone's Inequality for Laplacian

In 1994, Grone and Merris, [7], proved that  $\mu_1(G) \ge d_1(G) + 1$  with equality if and only if there exists a vertex of G with degree n - 1. Based on Schur's Inequality, Grone, in [6], proved a more general bound for the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues related with the sum of the vertex degrees. i=1 i=1

Motivated by Grone's inequality, we studied the signless Laplacian version of the inequality.

The case k = 1 has been proved in the literature.

▶ de Lima and Oliveira, [5], proved that inequality is true for k = 2. Equality holds if and only if *G* is one of the following graphs: the complete graph  $K_3$  or a star  $S_n$ .

For  $k \ge 3$ , de Lima and Oliveira showed a counterexample such that inequality is not true when G is the  $S_n$  plus one edge.

## Brouwer's Conjecture for signless Laplacian

Motivated by the Brouwer's conjecture, F. Ashraf et al., [2], proposed a signless Laplacian version for this inequality.

Brouwer's Conjecture for signless Laplacian

$$S_k^+ = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i(G) \le e(G) + \binom{k+1}{2}$$
, for  $k = 1, ..., n$ .

In [2], the authors proved that conjecture is true for: k = 1, 2, n - 1 and n.

$$S_k(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i(G) \ge 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k d_i(G)$$

#### **Grone-Merris Inequality**

Grone and Merris, [7], conjectured that for a graph G with n vertices, the following holds:

$$S_k(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i(G) \le \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{d}_i(G), \text{ for } k = 1, ..., n.$$

where  $\overline{d}_i(G) = |\{v \in V : d_v \ge i\}|.$ Recently, it was proved by Hua Bai, in [3].

#### Brouwer's Conjecture for Laplacian

As a variation on the Grone–Merris conjecture, Brouwer, [1], conjectured that for a graph *G* with *n* vertices, the following holds:

- regular graphs.
- graphs with at most 10 vertices.
- Yang and You, [4], showed that the conjecture is true for:
- connected graphs with sufficiently large k.
- unicyclic, bicyclic graphs.
- ► tricyclic graphs when  $k \neq 3$ .

## Future Work

State a signless Laplacian version of the Grone-Merris inequality.
 Prove the signless Laplacian version of Brouwer's Conjecture for other classes of graphs. In particular, to split graphs and cographs.
 Find classes of graphs in wich the signless Laplacian version of Grone's inequality is true.

#### References

[1] A. E. Brouwer, W. H. Haemers. Spectra of Graphs, Springer, New York (2012).
[2] F. Ashraf, G.R. Omidi, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie. On the sum of signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph. Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 4539–4546.
[3] H. Bai, The Grone–Merris conjecture, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011) 4463–4474.

$$S_k(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i(G) \le e(G) + \binom{k+1}{2}$$
, for  $k = 1, ..., n$ .

The conjecture is known to be true for:

- ▶ k = 1, 2, n 1 and n.
- ► trees, unicyclic and bicyclic graphs.
- regular graphs.
- split graphs (graphs whose vertex set can be partitioned into a clique and an independent set).
- cographs (graphs with no path on 4 vertices as an induced subgraph).
- ► graphs with at most 10 vertices.

ACO 2016

- [4] J. Yang, L. You. On a conjecture for the signless Laplacian eigenvalues. Linear Algebra and its Applications 446 (2014) 115–132.
- [5] L. de Lima, C. Oliveira. A lower bound for the sum of the two largest signless Laplacian eigenvalues. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.0323 (2014).
- [6] R. Grone. Eigenvalues and degree sequences of graphs, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 39 (1995) 133–136.
- [7] R. Grone and R. Merris. The Laplacian spectrum of a graph II. SIAM J. Discrete Math. 7 (1994) 221–229.

Support:

