

## Homeomorphisms of the annulus with a transitive lift

Salvador Addas-Zanata · Fábio Armando Tal

Received: 16 April 2009 / Accepted: 10 November 2009 / Published online: 12 December 2009  
© Springer-Verlag 2009

**Abstract** Let  $f$  be a homeomorphism of the closed annulus  $A$  that preserves the orientation, the boundary components and that has a lift  $\tilde{f}$  to the infinite strip  $\tilde{A}$  which is transitive. We show that, if the rotation numbers of both boundary components of  $A$  are strictly positive, then there exists a closed nonempty unbounded set  $B^- \subset \tilde{A}$  such that  $B^-$  is bounded to the right, the projection of  $B^-$  to  $A$  is dense,  $B^- - (1, 0) \subset B^-$  and  $\tilde{f}(B^-) \subset B^-$ . Moreover, if  $p_1$  is the projection on the first coordinate of  $\tilde{A}$ , then there exists  $d > 0$  such that, for any  $\tilde{z} \in B^-$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_1(\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{z})) - p_1(\tilde{z})}{n} < -d.$$

In particular, using a result of Franks, we show that the rotation set of any homeomorphism of the annulus that preserves orientation, boundary components, which has a transitive lift without fixed points in the boundary is an interval with 0 in its interior.

**Keywords** Closed connected sets · Transitivity · Periodic orbits · Compactification

### 1 Introduction and statements of the main results

In this paper we consider homeomorphisms of the closed annulus  $A = S^1 \times [0, 1]$  ( $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ), which preserve orientation and the boundary components. Any lift of  $f$  to the universal cover of the annulus  $\tilde{A} = \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ , is denoted by  $\tilde{f}$ , a homeomorphism which satisfies

---

S. Addas-Zanata and F. A. Tal are partially supported by CNPq, Grants: 304803/2006-5 and 304360/05-8, respectively.

S. Addas-Zanata (✉) · F. A. Tal

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1010,  
Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, SP 05508-090, Brazil  
e-mail: sazanata@ime.usp.br

F. A. Tal

e-mail: fabiotal@ime.usp.br

$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x} + 1, \tilde{y}) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + (1, 0)$  for all  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \tilde{A}$ . We study properties of such homeomorphisms when they have a particular lift  $\tilde{f}$  which is transitive.

Our results do not assume the existence of invariant measures of any type for  $f$ , yet the importance of studying consequences of transitivity for such mappings is underlined by the results of [3] and [5], as will be explained below.

In order to motivate our hypotheses a little more let us remember that, for any homeomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow A$  which preserves orientation and the boundary components and for any Borel probability  $f$ -invariant measure  $\mu$ , an invariant called the rotation number of  $\mu$ , is defined as follows.

Let  $p_1 : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the projection on the first coordinate and let  $p : \tilde{A} \rightarrow A$  be the covering mapping. Given  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$ , the displacement function  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\phi(x, y) = p_1 \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - \tilde{x}, \quad (1)$$

for any  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in p^{-1}(x, y)$ . The rotation number of  $\mu$  is then given by

$$\rho(\mu) = \int_A \phi(x, y) d\mu.$$

The importance of this definition becomes clear by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, which states that, for  $\mu$  almost every  $(x, y) \in A$  and for any  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in p^{-1}(x, y)$ ,

$$\rho(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ f^i(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_1 \circ \tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - \tilde{x}}{n},$$

exists and

$$\int_A \rho(x, y) d\mu = \rho(\mu).$$

Moreover, if  $f$  is ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ , then  $\rho(x, y)$  is constant  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

Following the usual definition (see [2]), we refer to the set of area, orientation and boundary components preserving homeomorphisms of the annulus, which satisfy  $\rho(Leb) = 0$  for a certain fixed lift  $\tilde{f}$ , as the set of rotationless homeomorphisms. Every time we say that  $f$  is a rotationless homeomorphism, a special lift  $\tilde{f}$  is fixed and used to define  $\phi$ .

In [3] it is proved that the transitivity of  $\tilde{f}$  holds for a residual subset of rotationless homeomorphisms of the annulus and the results in [5] suggest (as explained to us by S. Crovisier) that the same statement holds in the  $C^1$  topology.

Our original motivation in this setting was to study a conjecture posed by P. Boyland, which will be explained below.

**Boyland's conjecture** Given an area, orientation and boundary components preserving homeomorphism of the annulus  $f$ , by a result of Franks (see [6]), if there are two  $f$ -invariant probability measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  with  $\rho(\mu_1) < \rho(\mu_2)$ , then for every rational  $\rho(\mu_1) < \frac{p}{q} < \rho(\mu_2)$ , there exists a  $q$ -periodic orbit for  $f$  with this rotation number. So, suppose  $f$  is rotationless and there exists a measure with positive rotation number. By a classical result (a version of the Conley-Zehnder theorem for the annulus) there must be fixed points with zero rotation number. The question is: Is it true that in the above situation there must be orbits with negative rotation number?

The above is a very difficult problem, which we did not solve in full generality. We considered the following situation. Suppose  $f$  is an orientation and boundary components

preserving homeomorphism of the annulus which has a transitive lift  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  (one with a dense orbit). We denote the set of such mappings by  $\text{Hom}_+^{\text{trans}}(A)$ . So every time we say  $f \in \text{Hom}_+^{\text{trans}}(A)$  and refer to a lift  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$ , we are always considering a transitive lift (maybe  $f$  has more than one transitive lift, we choose any of them and fix it).

A fundamental set for our theorems is the following:

**Definition**  $B^-$  is the union of all unbounded connected components of

$$B = \bigcap_{n \leq 0} \tilde{f}^n([-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1]),$$

which a priori, may be empty. Clearly,  $B^- \cap \partial \tilde{A} = \emptyset$  and  $\tilde{f}(B^-) \subset B^-$ .

**Theorem 1** *Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_+^{\text{trans}}(A)$  and suppose that the rotation numbers of  $(f, \tilde{f})$  restricted to both boundary components of the annulus are strictly positive. Then the closed set  $B^-$  defined above is not empty and intersects  $\{0\} \times [0, 1]$ .*

The above result is essentially due to Birkhoff, see [4]. We decided to present a proof because our setting is different, but the main idea is the same.

In the next theorem, we consider the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $B^-$ , defined as

$$\omega(B^-) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{\left( \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^i(B^-) \right)}.$$

**Theorem 2** *Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1, the set  $B^-$  has no  $\omega$ -limit, that is,  $\omega(B^-) = \emptyset$ .*

Thus, iterates of  $B^-$  by  $\tilde{f}$  converge to the left end of  $\tilde{A}$ . The properties of  $B^-$  allow us to extend this theorem and obtain a stronger result:

**Theorem 3** *Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1, there exists a real number  $\rho^+(B^-) < 0$  such that, if  $\tilde{z} \in B^-$ , then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_1 \tilde{f}^n(\tilde{z}) - p_1(\tilde{z})}{n} \leq \rho^+(B^-) < 0. \quad (2)$$

The last theorem shows that all points in  $B^-$  have a “minimum negative velocity” in the strip  $\tilde{A}$ .

As  $\tilde{f}$  has a dense orbit, so does  $f$  and thus every point in the annulus  $A$  is non-wandering for  $f$ . In this way, theorem 3 together with Franks version of the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem from [7] implies the following:

**Corollary 4** *Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_+^{\text{trans}}(A)$ . If  $\tilde{f}$  does not have fixed points in the boundary of  $\tilde{A}$ , then the rotation set  $\rho(\tilde{f}) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : \omega = \int_A \phi d\mu, \text{for some } f\text{-invariant Borel probability measure } \mu\}$  is a closed interval with 0 in its interior and all its rational points are realized by periodic orbits.*

The above corollary implies that Boyland’s Conjecture is true for rotationless homeomorphisms of the annulus which have no fixed points in the boundary and have a transitive lift. In a preprint we prove that it is true for  $C^r$ -generic ( $r \geq 16$ ) rotationless diffeomorphisms of the annulus  $f$  such that  $\tilde{f}$  has no fixed points in  $\partial \tilde{A}$ , see [1].

Another important consequence of Theorem 3 is that, even though there are points with rotation number in  $\rho^+(B^-)$ ,  $0$ , they do not belong to  $B^-$ . In particular, if such points have unstable manifolds unbounded to the left, they must also be unbounded to the right.

Our next result, which is harder than Theorems 1, 2 and 3, give more information on the structure of  $B^-$ .

**Theorem 5** *Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1,  $p(B^-)$  is dense in  $A$ .*

So, there exists a dense subset of  $A$ , such that for any point  $z$  in this set and for all  $\tilde{z} \in p^{-1}(z)$ , expression (2) holds. Clearly, it is still possible that the rotation number of  $(f, \tilde{f})$  at  $z$  does not exist.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

As we already said, this is a simple result, which is not new. We decided to present a proof because our setting is a little bit different from the classical one.

In order to introduce the set  $B^-$  and show some of its properties, we will sometimes make use of the already mentioned left and right compactification of  $\tilde{A} = \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ , denoted by  $L$ ,  $R$ -compactification, that is, we compactify the infinite strip adding two points,  $L$  (left end) and  $R$  (right end), getting a closed disk, denoted by  $\hat{A}$ . Clearly  $\tilde{f}$  induces a homeomorphism  $\hat{f} : \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ , such that  $\hat{f}(L) = L$  and  $\hat{f}(R) = R$ .

Given a real number  $a$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} V_a &= \{a\} \times [0, 1], \\ V_a^- &= ]-\infty, a] \times [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad V_a^+ = [a, +\infty[ \times [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the corresponding sets on  $\hat{A}$  by  $\hat{V}_a$ ,  $\hat{V}_a^-$  and  $\hat{V}_a^+$ . We will also denote the sets  $V_0$ ,  $V_0^-$  and  $V_0^+$  simply by  $V$ ,  $V^-$  and  $V^+$ , respectively.

If we consider the closed set,

$$\hat{B} = \bigcap_{n \leq 0} \hat{f}^n(\hat{V}^-),$$

we get that,  $\hat{f}(\hat{B}) \subset \hat{B}$  and  $L \in \hat{B}$ . Denote by  $\hat{B}^-$  the connected component of  $\hat{B}$  which contains  $L$ , and by  $B^-$  the corresponding set on the strip.

**Lemma 6** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be an orientation and boundary components preserving homeomorphism, and let  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  be a fixed lift of  $f$ . Suppose that for every  $a < 0$  there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\tilde{f}^n(V) \cap V_a^- \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\hat{B}^- \cap \hat{V} \neq \emptyset$  (equivalently for the strip:  $B^- \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ).*

*Remark* As  $f \in Hom_+^{trans}(A)$ , clearly  $(f, \tilde{f})$  satisfy the lemma hypotheses.

*Proof* The proof of this result in a different context appears in Le Calvez [8] and even in Birkhoff's paper [4], as we already said.

Given  $N > 0$ , choose a sufficiently small  $a < 0$  such that

$$n = \inf\{i > 0 : \tilde{f}^{-i}(V_a^-) \cap V \neq \emptyset\} > N.$$

The above is true because as  $|a|$  becomes larger, it takes more time for an iterate of  $V$  to hit  $V_a^-$ .

From the definition of  $n$  we get that:  $\tilde{f}^{-i}(V_a) \subset V^-$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\tilde{f}^{-n}(V_a^-) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that there exists a simple continuous arc  $\Gamma_N \subset \tilde{f}^{-n}(V_a^-) \cap V^-$ , such that  $\widehat{\Gamma}_N$  connects  $L$  to  $\widehat{V}$  (one endpoint of  $\widehat{\Gamma}_N$  is  $L$  and the other is in  $\widehat{V}$ ). For this arc, if  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we get:  $\tilde{f}^i(\Gamma_N) \subset \tilde{f}^{-n+i}(V_a^-) \subset V^-$ . So,

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_N \subset \bigcap_{i=0}^n \tilde{f}^{-i}(\widehat{V}^-),$$

which implies, by taking the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow n \rightarrow \infty$ , that  $\widehat{\Gamma}_N$  has a convergent subsequence in the Hausdorff topology to a compact connected set  $\widehat{\Gamma} \subset \widehat{A}$ , which connects  $L$  to  $\widehat{V}$ . From its choice, it is clear that  $\widehat{\Gamma} \subset \widehat{B}^-$  and thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

So, from Lemma 6 we know that  $B^- \subset \widetilde{A}$  is a closed set, limited to the right ( $B^- \subset V^-$ ), whose connected components (which may be unique) are all unbounded, and at least one of them intersects  $V$ . An important point here is that, as the rotation numbers in the boundary components of the annulus are both positive,  $B$  and thus  $B^-$ , do not intersect  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$  (because  $\tilde{f}(B) \subset B \subset V^-$ ). This will be used in a fundamental way to prove our theorems.

### 3 Preliminaries for the proof of Theorem 2

#### 3.1 The $\omega$ -limit set of $B^-$

Here we examine some properties of the set

$$\omega(\widehat{B}^-) = \overline{\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^i(\widehat{B}^-)}, \quad (3)$$

a subset of  $\widehat{A}$ , and the corresponding set  $\omega(B^-) \subset \widetilde{A}$ .

Since  $\widehat{f}(\widehat{B}^-) \subset \widehat{B}^-$ , and since  $\widehat{B}^-$  is closed, we have  $\omega(\widehat{B}^-) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}^n(\widehat{B}^-)$ , therefore  $\omega(\widehat{B}^-)$  is the intersection of a nested sequence of compact connected sets, and so it is also a compact connected set. Moreover, definition (3) implies the following lemma:

**Lemma 7**  $\omega(B^-)$  is a closed,  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant set, whose connected components are all unbounded.

*Proof* Since  $L \in \widehat{B}^-$  and  $\widehat{f}(L) = L$ , we get that  $L \in \omega(\widehat{B}^-)$ . This implies, since  $\omega(\widehat{B}^-)$  is connected, that each connected component of  $\omega(B^-)$  is unbounded. The other properties follow directly from the previous considerations.  $\square$

Of course, since  $B^-$  is closed, we also have that  $\omega(B^-) \subset B^-$ , and as such,  $\omega(B^-) \cap \mathbb{R} \times \{i\} = \emptyset$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\omega(B^-) \subset V^-$ . It is still possible that  $\omega(B^-) = \emptyset$ , and this is in fact true, as we show in the proof of theorem 2. For the moment we can make use of the fact that both  $B^-$  and  $\omega(B^-)$  have similar properties to shorten our proofs.

#### 3.2 Hypothesis satisfied by the set D

Let  $D \subset \widetilde{A}$  be a non-empty closed set with the following properties:

- $\tilde{f}(D) \subset D$ ;
- $D \subset V^-$ ;

- Every connected component of  $D$  is unbounded;
- $D \cap \mathbb{R} \times \{i\} = \emptyset$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ ;
- If  $\tilde{z} \in D$  then  $\tilde{z} - (1, 0) \in D$ .

It is easily verified that  $B^-$  has these properties, as does  $\omega(B^-)$  if it is nonempty, so every result shown for  $D$  must hold in the particular cases of interest for us.

### 3.3 A preliminary result about $p(D) \subset A$

**Lemma 8**  $\overline{p(D)} \supset S^1 \times \{0\}$ , or  $\overline{p(D)} \supset S^1 \times \{1\}$ .

*Proof* Suppose that the lemma is false. Then, there are points  $P_0 \in S^1 \times \{0\}$  and  $P_1 \in S^1 \times \{1\}$  such that  $\{P_0, P_1\} \cap \overline{p(D)} = \emptyset$ . As  $(\overline{p(D)})^c$  is an open set, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\epsilon(P_i) \cap \overline{p(D)} = \emptyset$ , for  $i = 0, 1$ . As

$$\tilde{f}(D) \subset D \quad \text{and} \quad p \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = f \circ p(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$$

we get that

$$f(p(D)) \subset p(D) \Rightarrow f(\overline{p(D)}) \subset \overline{p(D)}.$$

Since  $\tilde{f}$  is transitive, it follows that  $f$  is also transitive and so there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $f^{-N}(B_\epsilon(P_0)) \cap B_\epsilon(P_1) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, we must have that

$$f^{-N}(B_\epsilon(P_0)) \cap \overline{p(D)} = \emptyset,$$

for if this was not true, it would imply  $B_\epsilon(P_0) \cap f^N(\overline{p(D)}) \neq \emptyset$ , which, in turn, would imply  $B_\epsilon(P_0) \cap \overline{p(D)} \neq \emptyset$ , because  $f^N(\overline{p(D)}) \subset \overline{p(D)}$ , contradicting the choice of  $\epsilon > 0$ .

As  $f^{-N}(B_\epsilon(P_0)) \cup B_\epsilon(P_1)$  is disjoint from  $p(D)$ , this implies that there exists a simple continuous arc  $\gamma \subset (f^{-N}(B_\epsilon(P_0)) \cup B_\epsilon(P_1))^c$ , disjoint from  $\overline{p(D)}$ , such that its endpoints are in  $S^1 \times \{0\}$  and in  $S^1 \times \{1\}$ . So, if  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a connected component of  $p^{-1}(\gamma)$ , then  $\tilde{\gamma} - (i, 0) \cap D = \emptyset$  for all integers  $i > 0$ . And this contradicts the fact that the connected components of  $D$  are unbounded to the left.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose, by contradiction, that  $\omega(B^-) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies, by Lemma 8, that either  $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset p(\omega(B^-))$  or  $S^1 \times \{1\} \subset p(\omega(B^-))$ . Let us assume, without loss of generality, that  $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset p(\omega(B^-))$ .

Since the rotation number of  $\tilde{f}$  restricted to  $S^1 \times \{0\}$  is strictly positive, there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $p_1(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, 0)) > \tilde{x} + 2\sigma$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small such that for all  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \epsilon]$ ,  $p_1 \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) > \tilde{x} + \sigma$ .

As  $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset p(\omega(B^-))$ , there is a real  $a$  such that

$$\omega(B^-) \cap \{a\} \times [0, \epsilon] \neq \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

The fact that  $\omega(B^-)$  is closed implies that there must be a  $\delta \leq \epsilon$  such that  $(a, \delta) \in \omega(B^-)$ , and such that for all  $0 \leq \tilde{y} < \delta$ ,  $(a, \tilde{y}) \notin \omega(B^-)$ . In other words,  $(a, \delta)$  is the “lowest” point of  $\omega(B^-)$  in  $\{a\} \times [0, \epsilon]$ . We denote by  $v$  the segment  $\{a\} \times [0, \delta[$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the connected component of  $(\omega(B^-) \cup v)^c$  that contains  $] -\infty, a[\times\{0\}$ .

**Proposition 9** *The following inclusion holds:  $\Omega \subset \tilde{f}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof* First, note that the boundary of  $\tilde{f}(\Omega)$  is contained in  $\omega(B^-) \cup \tilde{f}(v)$ . We claim that  $\partial \tilde{f}(\Omega) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ . This follows from the two conditions below:

- 1) By the choice of  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\tilde{f}(v) \cap v = \emptyset$ ;
- 2) As  $\omega(B^-)$  is  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant and does not intersect  $v$ , we get that  $\omega(B^-) \cap \tilde{f}(v) = \tilde{f}(\omega(B^-)) \cap v = \emptyset$ ;  
So, as  $] -\infty, a[ \times \{0\} \subset \tilde{f}(] -\infty, a[ \times \{0\})$ , we get that  $\Omega \subset \tilde{f}(\Omega)$ .

□

As  $\Omega$  is open, the transitivity of  $\tilde{f}$  and the last proposition yields that it is dense in the strip. But  $\Omega \subset V^-$ , a contradiction that proves theorem 2. This same argument is used in the proof of Theorem 5.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3

**Lemma 10** *There exists an integer  $N_1 > 0$  such that  $\tilde{f}^{N_1}(B^-) \subset B^- - (1, 0)$ .*

*Proof* Theorem 2 shows that  $\omega(B^-) = \emptyset$ , so there is an integer  $N_1 > 0$  such that,  $\tilde{f}^{N_1}(B^-) \subset V_{-2}^-$ . Thus,  $\tilde{f}^{N_1}(B^-) + (1, 0) \subset V^-$  and as each connected component of  $\tilde{f}^{N_1}(B^-) + (1, 0)$  is unbounded and this set is positively invariant, it must be the case that  $\tilde{f}^{N_1}(B^-) + (1, 0) \subset B^-$ . □

As  $\tilde{f}^{N_1}(B^-) \subset B^- - (1, 0)$ , for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$\tilde{f}^{kN_1}(B^-) \subset B^- - (k, 0) \subset V_{-k}^-,$$

and so it follows that, for any point  $\tilde{z} \in B^-$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_1(\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{z})) - p_1(\tilde{z})}{n} \leq -\frac{1}{N_1},$$

and this proves Theorem 3.

In the next section we present some technical results used in the proof of Theorem 5.

## 6 More on the structure of $p(D) \subset A$

Remember that  $\tilde{f}$  is transitive and it moves points in  $\partial \tilde{A}$  uniformly to the right. For the hypothesis on the set  $D$ , see Sect. 3.2.

The next lemma tells us what happens if  $p(D)$  is not dense in  $A$ .

**Lemma 11** *If  $\overline{p(D)} \neq A$ , then  $\overline{p(D)}^c$  is connected and dense in  $A$  and, moreover,  $\overline{p(D)}^c$  contains a homotopically non trivial simple closed curve in the open annulus  $S^1 \times ]0, 1[$ .*

*Proof* The transitivity of  $f$  and the inclusion  $f(\overline{p(D)}) \subset \overline{p(D)}$  imply that  $(\overline{p(D)})^c$  is dense. Let  $E$  be a connected component of  $(\overline{p(D)})^c$ . Assume by contradiction that there is no simple closed curve  $\gamma \subset E$  which is homotopically non trivial as a curve of the annulus.

In this case,  $p^{-1}(E)$  is not connected and there exists an open connected set  $E_{lift} \subset \tilde{A}$ , such that  $E_{lift} \cap (E_{lift} + (i, 0)) = \emptyset$ , for all integers  $i \neq 0$  and

$$p^{-1}(E) = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} (E_{lift} + (i, 0)).$$

As  $f$  is transitive and  $f^{-1}\left(\left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c\right) \subset \left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c$ , there exists a first  $N > 0$ , such that  $f^{-N}(E) \subset E$  (in particular, for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ ,  $f^{-i}(E) \cap E = \emptyset$ ). This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}^{-i}(E_{lift}) \cap p^{-1}(E) &= \emptyset \text{ for all } i > 0 \text{ which is not a} \\ &\text{multiple of } N \text{ and } \tilde{f}^{-N}(E_{lift}) \subset E_{lift} + (i_0, 0), \\ &\text{for some fixed integer } i_0, \text{ which implies that} \\ \tilde{f}^{-kN}(E_{lift}) &\subset E_{lift} + (k.i_0, 0), \text{ for all integers } k > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Suppose  $i_0 \geq 0$ . As  $\tilde{f}$  has a dense orbit, there exists a point  $\tilde{z} \in E_{lift} - (1, 0)$  such that  $\tilde{f}^l(\tilde{z}) \in E_{lift}$ , for some  $l > 0$ . But this means that,  $\tilde{f}^{-l}(E_{lift}) \cap (E_{lift} - (1, 0)) \neq \emptyset$ , something that contradicts (5), because we assumed that  $i_0 \geq 0$ . A similar argument implies that  $i_0$  can not be smaller than zero. Therefore, all connected components of  $\left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c$  contain a homotopically non trivial simple closed curve.

Let  $E$  be a connected component of  $\left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c$  and  $\gamma_E \subset E$  be a homotopically non trivial simple closed curve. Since  $f$  is transitive,  $f^{-1}(\gamma_E) \cap \gamma_E \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(E) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  and so, since  $f^{-1}\left(\left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c\right) \subset \left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c$ ,  $f^{-1}(E) \subset E$ . But  $E$  is open and  $f$  is transitive, so  $E$  is dense and therefore it is the only connected component of  $\left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c$ .  $\square$

So, let

$$\gamma_E \subset \left(\overline{p(D)}\right)^c \cap interior(A) \tag{6}$$

be a homotopically non trivial simple closed curve and let  $\gamma_E^- \supset S^1 \times \{0\}$  and  $\gamma_E^+ \supset S^1 \times \{1\}$  be the open connected components of  $\gamma_E^c$ . As  $\overline{p(D)} \cap \gamma_E = \emptyset$ , we obtain that  $\overline{p(D)} \subset \gamma_E^- \cup \gamma_E^+$ .

**Lemma 12** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected component of  $D$ . If  $\overline{p(D)} \neq A$ , we have:*

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } \overline{p(\Gamma)} \subset \gamma_E^-, \text{ then } \overline{p(\Gamma)} \supset S^1 \times \{0\} \\ \text{if } \overline{p(\Gamma)} \subset \gamma_E^+, \text{ then } \overline{p(\Gamma)} \supset S^1 \times \{1\} \end{cases}.$$

*Proof* First note that as  $\overline{p(\Gamma)}^c$  contains the connected and dense set  $E = \overline{p(D)}^c$ , it is also connected and dense. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\overline{p(\Gamma)} \subset \gamma_E^-$ . This implies that  $\overline{p(\Gamma)} \cap S^1 \times \{1\} = \emptyset$ . If  $\overline{p(\Gamma)}$  does not contain  $S^1 \times \{0\}$ , then there exists a simple continuous arc  $\lambda$  in the annulus, which avoids  $\overline{p(\Gamma)}$  and connects some point  $P_0 \in (S^1 \times \{0\}) \setminus \overline{p(\Gamma)}$  to some point  $P_1 \in S^1 \times \{1\}$ . This is true because  $P_0, P_1 \in \overline{p(\Gamma)}^c$ , which is an open connected set. But this means that

$$p^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset,$$

and this is a contradiction because each connected component of  $p^{-1}(\lambda)$  is compact and  $\Gamma$  is connected and unbounded to the left. So,  $\overline{p(\Gamma)} \supset S^1 \times \{0\}$ .  $\square$

## 7 Proof of Theorem 5

Assume by contradiction that  $\overline{p(B^-)} \neq A$ . From Lemma 12, we can suppose without loss of generality, that  $\overline{p(B^-)} \supset S^1 \times \{0\}$ .

Remember that  $\gamma_E$  is a homotopically non-trivial simple closed curve in  $S^1 \times [0, 1[$  contained in  $(\overline{p(B^-)})^c$  and  $\gamma_E^-$  is the connected component of  $\gamma_E^c$  which contains  $S^1 \times \{0\}$ , see expression (6). Let  $\sigma > 0$  be such that  $p_1(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, 0)) > \tilde{x} + 2\sigma$  for all  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small such that:

- $S^1 \times [0, \epsilon] \subset \gamma_E^-$ ;
- for all  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \epsilon]$ ,  $p_1 \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) > \tilde{x} + \sigma$ .

As  $\overline{p(B^-)} \supset S^1 \times \{0\}$ , there exists a real  $a$  such that

$$B^- \cap \{a\} \times [0, \epsilon] \neq \emptyset. \quad (7)$$

As we did in the proof of Theorem 2,  $B^-$  is closed, so there must be a  $\delta \leq \epsilon$  such that  $(a, \delta) \in B^-$ , and such that for all  $0 \leq \tilde{y} < \delta$ ,  $(a, \tilde{y}) \notin B^-$ , that is,  $(a, \delta)$  is the “lowest” point of  $B^-$  in  $\{a\} \times [0, \epsilon]$ . We again denote by  $v$  the segment  $\{a\} \times [0, \delta[$ .

Let  $\Gamma_1$  be the connected component of  $B^-$  that contains  $(a, \delta)$ . As  $p(\Gamma_1) \cap \gamma_E^- \neq \emptyset$ , we get that  $\overline{p(\Gamma_1)} \subset \gamma_E^-$ , something that implies the following important facts:

$$\begin{aligned} dist(p(\Gamma_1), S^1 \times \{1\}) &> 0 \\ dist(\Gamma_1, \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}) &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of theorem 2, let us consider the connected component of  $(\Gamma_1 \cup v)^c$  which contains  $] -\infty, a[\times\{0\}$ , denoted  $\Omega$ . Clearly,  $\Omega \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$  and if we define

$$\Omega_1 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}(\Omega),$$

we get that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Omega_1) \subset \Omega_1$ . Moreover, the following is true:

**Proposition 13**  $\Omega_1$  is contained in  $p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$ .

*Proof* First note that, as the boundary of  $\Omega$  is contained in  $\Gamma_1 \cup v$ , for all integers  $i > 0$  we have:

$$\partial \left( \tilde{f}^{-i}(\Omega) \right) \subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1 \cup v) = \left( \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}(v) \right) \quad (8)$$

Clearly  $\Omega_1$  is an open set. Let us show that it is connected. Each set of the form  $\tilde{f}^{-i}(\Omega)$  is connected because  $\tilde{f}$  is a homeomorphism. Also, since  $\tilde{f}^{-i}(]-\infty, a[\times\{0\}) \subset ]-\infty, a[\times\{0\}$ , we have  $\tilde{f}^{-i}(\Omega) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ . But  $\Omega$  is also open and connected, so  $\Omega_1$  must be connected.

For all integers  $i > 0$ , as  $\tilde{f}^{-i}(\Omega)$  is connected, intersects  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  and is disjoint from  $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$ , if we show that  $(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1)) \cup (\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}(v)) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$ , then expression (8) implies that  $\tilde{f}^{-i}(\Omega) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$ , which gives:  $\Omega_1 \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$  and the proof is complete.

Let us analyze first what happens to  $\tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1)$ , for all integers  $n > 0$ . Fixed an integer  $n > 0$ , there exists an integer  $k = k(n) > 0$ , such that  $\tilde{f}^k(\tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1) - (k, 0)) \subset V^-$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . As  $\Gamma_1 - (k, 0) \subset B^-$ , we get that  $\tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1) - (k, 0)$  is also a subset of  $B^-$ , so  $(\tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1) - (k, 0)) \cap p^{-1}(\gamma_E) = \emptyset$ , which implies that  $\tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1) \cap p^{-1}(\gamma_E) = \emptyset$ . Lemma 12 implies that  $\overline{p(\Gamma_1)} \supset S^1 \times \{0\}$ , so we obtain that  $\tilde{f}^{-n}(\Gamma_1) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$ .

We are left to deal with  $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}^{-n}(v)$ . Let us show that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(v) \subset \Omega$ . From the choice of  $v$ ,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(v) \cap v = \emptyset$ . Also, from the definition of  $v = \{a\} \times [0, \delta[$ , we get that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(v) \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$  because  $v \cap B^- = \emptyset$ . Finally, the following inclusions

$$\Omega \supset ]-\infty, a[\times\{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad ]-\infty, a[\times\{0\} \supset \tilde{f}^{-1}(]-\infty, a[\times\{0\})$$

imply that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(v) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$  and so  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(v) \subset \Omega \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$ .

So,  $\tilde{f}^{-2}(v) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(\Omega)$ , whose boundary,  $\partial(\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Omega))$ , is contained in  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Gamma_1) \cup \tilde{f}^{-1}(v) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$ . As above,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Omega)$  is connected, intersects  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  and is disjoint from  $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$ , so we get that

$$\tilde{f}^{-2}(v) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(\Omega) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-).$$

Thus,  $\tilde{f}^{-3}(v) \subset \tilde{f}^{-2}(\Omega)$  and an analogous argument implies that  $\tilde{f}^{-3}(v) \subset \tilde{f}^{-2}(\Omega) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-)$ . An induction shows that

$$\tilde{f}^{-n}(v) \subset \tilde{f}^{-n+1}(\Omega) \subset p^{-1}(\gamma_E^-) \text{ for all integers } n \geq 1,$$

and the proposition is proved.  $\square$

Since  $\Omega_1$  is open and  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(\Omega_1) \subset \Omega_1$ , we must have, by the transitivity of  $\tilde{f}$ , that  $\Omega_1$  is dense. But this contradicts the proposition.

**Acknowledgments** We would like to thank Professor Patrice Le Calvez for telling us to study the set  $B^-$  and the referee for his careful reading of the paper and all his suggestions.

## References

1. Addas-Zanata, S., Tal, F.A.: On generic rotationless diffeomorphisms of the annulus. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. (2009, to be published)
2. Alpern, S., Prasad, V.: Typical recurrence for lifts of meanrotation zero annulus homeomorphisms. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **23**, 477–481 (1991)
3. Alpern, S., Prasad, V.: Typical transitivity for lifts of rotationless annulus or torus homeomorphisms. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **27**, 79–81 (1995)
4. Birkhoff, G.D.: Nouvelles Recherches sur les systèmes dynamiques. Collect. Math. Pap. **2**, 530–661 (1935)
5. Bonatti, C., Crovisier, S.: Réurrence et généricité. Invent. Math. **158**, 33–104 (2004)
6. Franks, J.: Recurrence and fixed points of surface homeomorphisms. Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **8\***, 99–107 (1988)
7. Franks, J.: Generalizations of the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem. Ann. Math. **128**, 139–151 (1988)
8. Le Calvez, P.: Propriétés dynamiques des régions d’instabilité. Ann. Sci. Ecol. Norm. Sup. **20**, 443–464 (1987)