A CHANGE OF VARIABLE FOR THE RIEMANN INTEGRAL ON THE REAL LINE

Oswaldo Rio Branco de Oliveira

http://www.ime.usp.br/~oliveira oliveira@ime.usp.br Universidade de São Paulo - Brasil

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELLA CAMPANIA "L. VANVITELLI" CASERTA, ITALY REAL ANALYSIS EXCHANGE – NSF

THE VANVITELLI SYMPOSIUM

The 45th Summer Symposium in Real Analysis and Applications

June 19 2023 — June 23 2023

SOME COMMENTS

We show a *Change of Variable for the Riemann Integral on the Real Line* that has the following attributes.

- (1) It is not a particular case of the influential *general* version of H. Kestelman.
- (2) It does not require the integrability or the continuity of the derivative of the substitution map.
- (3) It expands the scope of the Change of Variable Theorem. Including those usually found in textbooks.

SOME VERSIONS OF THE CHANGE OF VARIABLE FORMULA

(Spivak, Calculus) If f and g' are continuous, then

$$\int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(u) \, du = \int_a^b f(g(x))g'(x) \, dx \, .$$

(Apostol, Análisis Matemático, translated) If g has continuous derivative on [c, d], and f is continuous on g([c, d]), then

$$\int_{g(c)}^{g(d)} f(x) \, dx = \int_{c}^{d} f[g(t)]g'(t) \, dt$$

(Lang, Undergraduate Analysis) Let J_1, J_2 be intervals each having more than one point, and let $f : J_1 \to J_2$ and $g : J_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Assume that f is differentiable, and that f' is continuous. Then for any $a, b \in J_1$ we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(f(x))f'(x) \, dx = \int_{f(a)}^{f(b)} g(u) \, du$$

(Knapp, Basic Real Analysis) Let f be integrable on [a, b]. Let φ be a continuous strictly increasing function from an interval [A, B] onto [a, b], suppose that the inverse function $\varphi^{-1} : [a, b] \to [A, B]$ is continuous, and suppose finally that φ is differentiable on (A, B) with φ' uniformly continuous. Then the product $(f \circ \varphi)\varphi'$ is integrable on [A, B], and

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = \int_A^B f[\varphi(y)] \varphi'(y) \, dy \, .$$

Remark 1. The hypotheses " $\varphi : [A, B] \to [a, b]$ is continuous, strictly increasing, and onto" imply φ^{-1} continuous. Thus, φ is bicontinuous. Remark 2. The hypothesis " φ' uniformly continuous on (a, b)" implies that the derivative φ' is bounded and integrable over [a, b].

- - - - - - - - -

(Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis) Suppose f is integrable on [a, b]. Suppose φ is a strictly increasing continuous function that maps an interval [A, B] onto [a, b]. Assume φ' is integrable on [A, B]. Then

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = \int_A^B f(\varphi(y)) \varphi'(y) \, dy \, .$$

Remark 1. The hypotheses " $\varphi : [A, B] \to [a, b]$ is continuous, strictly increasing, and onto" imply φ^{-1} continuous. Thus, φ is bicontinuous. Remark 2. Rudin proves the Change of Variable Formula for the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral. Then, he cites the correspondent formula for the Riemann Integral as a particular case.

("General Version") (H. Kestelman, 1961) Let $h : [c,d] \to \mathbb{R}$ be integrable. Let us fix an arbitrary point $a \in [c,d]$. Given $x \in [c,d]$, we write

$$g(x) = \int_a^x h(t) \, dt$$

Let $f: g([c,d]) \to \mathbb{R}$ be integrable. Then, $(f \circ g)h$ is integrable on [c,d] and

$$\int_{g(c)}^{g(d)} f(x) \, dx = \int_c^d f[g(t)]h(t) \, dt.$$

Remark 1. Kestelman's proof uses the concept measure zero.

Remark 2. Davies simplifies Kestelman's and avoids measure zero.

Remark 3. Some articles on the theme are: Sarkhel and Výborný (RAEX, 1996 – 97), Bagby (RAEX, 2001 – 2002), and Torchinsky (RAEX, 2020).

Remark 4. Torchinsky's new book *A Modern View of the Riemann Integral* (2022) discuss much of the substitution formulas.

A TABLE OF HYPOTHESIS FOR THE FORMULA

	main function	the change of variable function
Apostol	continuous	continuous derivative
Lang	continuous	continuous derivative
Spivak	continuous	continuous derivative
Knapp	integrable	<pre>{ bicontinuous uniformly cont. derivative on the interior</pre>
Rudin	integrable	<pre>{ bicontinuous integrable derivative</pre>
Kestelman	integrable	an integral function

Notations and Definitions

We adopt the following type of partitions, for the Riemann integral,

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ a = x_0 \leq \cdots \leq x_n = b \}.$$

The *norm* of \mathcal{X} is written as $|\mathcal{X}|$.

Given a bounded $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, we indicate the inferior and the superior Riemann sums of f with respect to the partition \mathcal{X} by, respectively,

$$s(f, \mathcal{X})$$
 and $S(f, \mathcal{X})$.

Given a real map $\varphi : [\alpha, \beta] \to \mathbb{R}$, we say that φ is monotone if it is increasing (not necessarily strictly), decreasing (not necessarily strictly), or constant. We say that φ is piecewise monotone if there exists a finite sequence $\{\alpha = t_0 < \cdots < t_N = \beta\}$ such that φ is monotone in each open sub-interval (t_j, t_{j+1}) for every $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$.

THE THEOREM

Theorem (A Generalized Change of Variable Theorem). Let us consider

 $f : [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ integrable and $\varphi : [\alpha, \beta] \longrightarrow [a, b]$

surjective, increasing (not necessarily strictly increasing) and continuous. Suppose φ differentiable on the open interval (α, β) . The following are true.

- If φ' is integrable on [α, β], then the map (f ∘ φ)φ' is integrable on [α, β].
- If the product $(f\circ \varphi) \varphi'$ is integrable on $[\alpha,\beta]$, then we have the formula

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t) dt.$$

Proof. We split the proof into eight small steps.

- 1. The hypothesis that φ is continuous is superfluous. The proof is a Calculus 101 exercise.
- 2. The function φ is uniformly continuous. It is trivial.
- 3. We have $\varphi' \ge 0$ on the open interval (α, β) . It is true, since φ is increasing.
- 4. To integrate φ' , we may define $\varphi'(\alpha)$ and $\varphi'(\beta)$ arbitrarily. No comment!

5. Given $\mathcal{T} = \{ \alpha = t_0 \leq \cdots \leq t_n = \beta \}$ a partition of $[\alpha, \beta]$, it follows that $\varphi(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{X} = \{ a = x_0 \leq \cdots \leq x_n = b \}$ is a partition of [a, b].

That is, we have $x_i = \varphi(t_i)$ for each i = 0, ..., n. The mean-value theorem yields a point $\overline{t_i} \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ satisfying

$$\Delta x_i = \varphi(t_i) - \varphi(t_{i-1}) = \varphi'(\overline{t_i}) \Delta t_i.$$



6. If $|\mathcal{T}| \to 0$, then $|\mathcal{X}| \to 0$.

It follows from the uniform continuity of φ .

7. If φ' is integrable, then $(f \circ \varphi)\varphi'$ is integrable.

Let τ_i be arbitrary in $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. Since φ is increasing, $\varphi(\tau_i) \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$. In what follows, for simplicity, we omit the summation index. Let us investigate the Riemann sum [remember $\Delta x_i = \varphi'(\bar{t}_i) \Delta t_i$]

$$\sum f(\varphi(\tau_i))\varphi'(\tau_i)\Delta t_i = \sum f(\varphi(\tau_i))\Delta x_i + \sum f(\varphi(\tau_i))[\varphi'(\tau_i)) - \varphi'(\overline{t_i})]\Delta t_i.$$

If $|\mathcal{T}| \to 0$, then $|\mathcal{X}| \to 0$ and the first sum on the right goes to $\int_a^b f dx$. Let M be a constant such that $|f| \le M$ (obviously, f is bounded). Then,

$$\left|\sum f(arphi(au_i)) ig[arphi'(au_i)) - arphi'(\overline{t_i})ig] \Delta t_i
ight| \leq M ig[S(arphi',\mathcal{T}) - s(arphi',\mathcal{T})ig] \stackrel{|\mathcal{T}| o 0}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Thus, $(f \circ \varphi)\varphi'$ is integrable. The value of its integral equals the one of f. Hence, we have

$$\sum f(\varphi(\overline{t_i}))\varphi'(\overline{t_i})\Delta t_i = \sum f(\overline{x_i})\Delta x_i.$$

If $|\mathcal{T}| \to 0$, the left hand side goes to the integral of $(f \circ \varphi)\varphi'$. If $|\mathcal{T}| \to 0$, then $|\mathcal{X}| \to 0$ and the right side goes to the integral of f. The proof of the theorem is complete \Box

A COROLLARY

Corollary. Keeping all the other hypotheses of the theorem, suppose that the continuous and onto $\varphi : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow [a, b]$ satisfies one of the following conditions.

(a) φ is monotone.

- (b) φ is piecewise monotone.
- (c) φ is piecewise monotone in $[\alpha + \epsilon, \beta]$, for each $0 < \epsilon < \beta \alpha$.
- (d) φ' has a finite number of zeros.

(e) φ' has a finite number of zeros in $[\alpha + \epsilon, \beta)$, for each $0 < \epsilon < \beta - \alpha$.

Then, the following two claims are true.

- If φ' is integrable, then $(f \circ \varphi)\varphi'$ also does.
- If $(f \circ \varphi) \varphi'$ is integrable, then

$$\int_{\varphi(\alpha)}^{\varphi(\beta)} f(x) dx = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t) dt.$$

TWO EXAMPLES

Example 1. Consider

$$f(x) = x$$
 where $x \in [0, 1]$, and $\varphi(t) = \sqrt{t}$ where $t \in [0, 1]$.

Evidently, f is integrable. Moreover, $\varphi : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is surjective, increasing, and continuous. The derivative φ' is defined on the open interval (0,1) and

$$arphi'(t) = rac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}$$

So, φ' is not bounded and thus not integrable on (0, 1). However,

$$f(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t) = rac{\sqrt{t}}{2\sqrt{t}} = rac{1}{2}$$

is integrable. From the above theorem we find

$$\int_0^1 x \, dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} dt. \quad \Box$$

Since φ' is not integrable, Kestelman's version does not apply to Example 1.

Example 2 [an example for the Corollary, items (c) and (e)]. Consider



Figure 1: The graph of φ .

Clearly, f is integrable while φ is continuous and oscillates near zero. We have

$$arphi'(t) = \sinrac{1}{t} - rac{1}{t}\cosrac{1}{t}.$$

Hence, φ' is unbounded and not integrable on $[0, 2/\pi]$. Now, take $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, φ' has infinite zeros on $[0, \epsilon]$. Conversely, φ' has a finite number of zeros on $[\epsilon, 2/\pi]$, and φ is piecewise monotone on $[\epsilon, 2/\pi]$.

Near zero (thus, on $[0,2/\pi]$), we have the integrability of

$$(f \circ \varphi)(t) \varphi'(t) = t^3 \left(\sin^3 \frac{1}{t} \right) \left(\sin \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right).$$

By the Corollary, either item (c) or item (e), we find

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} x^3 \, dx = \int_0^{\frac{2}{\pi}} [\varphi(t)]^3 \varphi'(t) \, dt.$$

From which follows

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} x^3 \, dx = \frac{\varphi^4(t)}{4} \Big|_0^{\frac{2}{\pi}} = \frac{\pi^4}{64}. \quad \Box$$

Since φ' is not integrable, Kestelman's version does not apply to Example 2.

REFERENCES

- 1. T. M. Apostol, Análisis Matemático, segunda edición, Ed. Reverté, 1977.
- 2. R. J. Bagby, The substitution theorem for Riemann integrals, Real Anal. Exch., **27**(1), pp. 309-314, (2001-2002).
- 3. R. Davies, An Elementary Proof of the Theorem on Change of Variable in Riemann Integration, Math. Gaz., **45** (1961), No. 351, pp. 23-25.
- 4. O. de Oliveira, Change of Variable for the Riemann Integral on the Real Line, preprint.
- 5. H. Kestelman, Change of Variable in Riemann Integration, Math. Gaz., **45** (1961), No. 351, pp. 17-23.
- 6. A. W. Knapp, Basic Real Analysis, Birkhäuser, 2005.
- 7. S. Lang, Undergraduate Analysis, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1997.
- 8. W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1976.
- D. N. Sarkhel, R. Výborný, A Change of Variables Theorem for the Riemann Integral, Real Anal. Exch., 22(1) (1996-97), pp. 390-395.
- 10. M. Spivak, Calculus, 4th ed., Publish or Perish, 2008.
- 11. A. Torchinsky, Change of Variable Formulas for Riemann Integrals, Real Anal. Exch., **45**(1) (2020), pp. 151-172
- 12. A. Torchinsky, A Modern View of the Riemann Integral, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2309, Springer, 2022.

GRAZIE A TUTTI! GRAZIE A CASERTA! GRAZIE A REAL ANALYSIS EXCHANGE!