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A space is completely regular iff its topology is induced by a uniformity.

Using uniformities, CJ Knight (a student of CH Dowker) first proved:

THEOREM. The box product of completely regular spaces is completely regular.

This suggested the Uniform Box Product Topology.

A space is completely regular iff its topology is induced by a uniformity.

Induces means $\mathrm{G} \subseteq \mathrm{X}$ is open iff $\forall x \in \mathrm{G}$, iff $\mathrm{g} \in \mathbf{D}$ with $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}) \subseteq \mathrm{G}$

A completely regular space is induced by a totally bounded uniformity one such comes from any Cech-Stone compactification - let $\mathbf{F}$ be the set of finite open covers $R$ of X .
$\forall R \in \mathbf{F}$, let $\mathrm{D}_{R}=\cup\left\{\mathrm{R}^{2}: \mathrm{R} \in R\right\}$.
Then $\mathbf{D}=\left\{\mathrm{D}_{R}: R \in \mathbf{F}\right\}$ is a uniformity inducing X .

## DEFINITION.

Suppose $\mathbf{D} \subseteq X^{2}$ is a base for a diagonal uniformity on a space $X$.

Let $\Pi$ represent the set product $\prod^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{X}$.

For $\mathrm{D} \in \mathbf{D}$, let $\underline{\mathrm{D}}=\left\{\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle \in \prod^{2}: \forall \alpha \in \kappa,\langle\mathrm{x}(\alpha), \mathrm{y}(\alpha)\rangle \in \mathrm{D}\right\}$.
$\underline{\mathbf{D}}=\{\underline{\mathrm{D}}: \mathrm{D} \in \mathbf{D}\}$ is a uniformity on $\prod$ inducing the uniform box topology on $\Pi$.
$\underline{\mathrm{D}}$-balls are $\underline{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{x})=\{\mathrm{y}:\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle \in \underline{\mathrm{D}}\}$.

As each $\underline{D}(x)=\prod_{\alpha \in \kappa} D(x(\alpha))$,
Tychonov topology $\subseteq$ uniform box topology $\subseteq$ box topology.

Henceforth, assume $\Pi^{\kappa} \mathrm{X}$ has the uniform box topology


## PROPOSITION (SWilliams).

1. Suppose $X$ is a metrizable space. Then $\prod^{K} X$ is metrizable.
2. Suppose $\mathbf{D}$ is a complete uniformity on a space $X$. Then $D$ is a complete uniformity on $\prod^{\kappa} \mathrm{X}$.

EXAMPLE (S Williams). Is $\prod^{\omega} \mathbf{R}$ not connected.
Sketch. When points are in the same component iff the are finitely far apart.

Henceforth, assume the uniformity on $\mathbf{X}$ is totally bounded.

THEOREM1 (S Williams). Suppose (X,D) is a connected space X . Then $\prod^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{X}$ is connected.

Sketch. For each finite partition $P$ of $\prod$,
let $\prod_{P}=\{\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{P}$ is constant : for each $\mathrm{P} \in P\}$.
$\prod_{P}$ is homeomorphic to the connected finite product $\prod^{\mid \mathrm{P\mid}} \mathrm{X}$.

As $\prod_{P} \cap \prod_{P}$, contains the constants, so
$\mathrm{Z}=\cup\left\{\prod_{\mathbf{P}}: \mathbf{P}\right.$ is a finite partition of I$\}$ is connected.
$\mathbf{D}$ is totally bounded, so Z is dense in $\prod^{\kappa} \mathrm{X}$. So $\prod^{\kappa} \mathrm{X}$ is connected.

As it is in box products, the chief questions we ask in uniform box products hover around normality.

## DEFINITION.

A space X is pseudo-normal provided closed sets, one of which is countable, can be separated by disjoint open sets.

THEOREM (Van Douwen). The box product of compact spaces is pseudo-normal.

THEOREM2 (J Bell - S Williams). Suppose X is locally compact $\sigma$-compact countable closure space. Then $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ is pseudo-normal.

## DEFINITION.

A space is countable closure space provided the closure of countable sets is countable. (Juhasz and Weiss called these $\omega$-fan spaces.)

## LEMMA (J Bell - S Williams).

1. Spaces with at most countably many limit points are countable closure spaces. (Ex. Fort Spaces)
2. Scattered linear ordered spaces. (Ex. Ordinal spaces)
3. countable closure is hereditary.
4. countable closure is finitely productive.
5. A locally compact $\sigma$-compact countable closure space is
scattered and zero-dimensional.

## I repeat.

## THEOREM2 (J Bell - S Williams). Suppose X is locally

compact $\sigma$-compact countable closure space. Then $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ is
pseudo-normal.

## Sketch proof of Theorem 2.

Suppose $\mathrm{C}=\left\{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega\right\}$ is closed in $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{G}$ is open in $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{C} \subseteq \mathrm{G}$.

We construct, by recursion, a cellular family $Q$ of clopen sets in $\Pi^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{C} \subseteq \cup Q \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\cup Q) \subseteq \mathrm{G}$.
$\exists \mathrm{P}_{1} \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{G}$.
Let $Q_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{c}): \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{C}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{c}) \subseteq \mathrm{G}\right\}$.
Let $R_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{c}):\right.$ both $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{c}) \cap \mathrm{G} \neq \emptyset$ holds $\}$.

As $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{x} \in \prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}\right\}$ is a clopen partition, is $\cup Q_{1}$ clopen and contained in G.

Do it again for each $\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{R}_{1}$.
Let n be smallest integer such that $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in R_{1}$. Find $\mathrm{P}_{2} \in \mathbf{D}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ refines $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $P_{2}\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{G}$.

Let $Q_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \in R_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{x}) \subseteq \mathrm{G}\right\}$.

Let $R_{2}=\left\{\mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \in R_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{x}) \cap \mathrm{G} \neq \emptyset\right\}$.

As $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{x} \in \prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}\right\}$ is a clopen partition, $\cup\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)$ is clopen and contained in
G.

So we continue in this fashion building a tree

$$
\left(\cup\left\{Q_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega\right\}\right) \cup\left(\cup\left\{R_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega\right\}\right)
$$

Let $\mathrm{H}=\cup\left\{\cup Q_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega\right\}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{C}=\left\{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{H}$.
We claim H is closed.
Suppose y is a limit point of H not in H .
Then $\forall \mathrm{n} \in \omega, \exists \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in R_{\mathrm{n}}$.
Let $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \backslash \mathrm{G}$.

As $P_{n}$ is a partition of $\prod^{\omega} X, y \in P_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)$.
Therefore, $\mathrm{y} \in \cap_{\mathrm{n} \in \omega} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.
Now we apply ...

LEMMA. Suppose $<\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega>$ is a sequence in $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ and
$\left\{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{D}$ are such that

1. $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.
2. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ refines $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

Then either $\cap_{n \in \omega} D_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)=\varnothing$ or
the sequence $<\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega>$ converges to $\mathrm{z} \in \cap_{\mathrm{n}} \in \omega \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.

COROLLARY (J Bell - S Williams). Suppose X is an ordinal
space. Then $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ is pseudo-normal.

COROLLARY (J Bell - S Williams). $\Pi^{\omega}\left(\omega_{1}+1\right)$ is pseudonormal.

OPEN PROBLEM. (S Williams-2001) Is $\prod^{\omega}\left(\omega_{1}+1\right)$ normal?

THEOREM (K Kunen). [CH] The box product of compact spaces scattered spaces is paracompact.

THEOREM3 (J Bell). Suppose P is the Tychonov product of countably many Fort spaces. Then $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{P}$ is a proximal space, (and hence is collectionwise-normal and countably paracompact).

We consider the proximal game (J Bell) played on a uniform
space. (I present the simpler zero-dimensional version. For the full version

Player 1 has $2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \subseteq \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ and Player 2 has $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}+1) \in 4 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}))$ )

Suppose ( $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{D}$ ) is a uniform space.
Round1. Player A chooses $\mathrm{D}_{1} \in \mathbf{D}$.
Player B chooses $x(1) \in X$.
Round $(\mathrm{n}+1)$. Player A chooses $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \subseteq \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$.
Player B chooses $x(n+1) \in D_{n-1}(x(n))$

Player A wins if the sequence $<\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}): \mathrm{n} \in \omega>$ converges; otherwise Player B wins.

DEFINITION. Uniform space (X,D).
D is a proximal (or X is a proximal space) provided player A always has a winning strategy.

## PROPOSITION.

1. (J Bell) A metric space is proximal (in its canonincal uniformity) iff it is complete.
2. (J Bell) A Fort space (the one point compactification of a discrete space) is proximal.
3. (S Williams) The Long Line is proximal (use the totally bounded uniformity from its 1-point compactification).
4. (J Bell) $\omega_{1}+1$ is not proximal.

## LEMMA (J Bell).

1. Proximal is closed hereditary.
2. Proximal is preserved by countable Tychonov products and $\Sigma$-products.

## 3. Proximal spaces are Gruenhage W-spaces (and so Frechet).

4. Proximal spaces are countably paracompact, and collectionwise normal.

Sketch proof of LEMMA (3).
Here we assume the uniformity base $\mathbf{D}$ for X is symmetric and open. $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X})$ denotes
finite sequences of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{k} \in \mathbf{N}$. We view proximal strategy as a function $w: \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$
where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \in \mathrm{kw}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ is where $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the first n choices
of player B. $\sigma$ denotes the W-space game.
Fix the point x .

## Round 1.

(proximal) Player A chooses $w(\varnothing)=\mathrm{X}^{2}$.
(proximal) Player B chooses x.
(W-space) Player 1 choose $\sigma(\varnothing)=w(\mathrm{X})[\mathrm{x}]=\mathrm{X}$.
(W-space) Player 2 choose some $y_{1} \in \sigma(\emptyset)$.

## Round 2.

(proximal) Player A gets $w\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)$.
(proximal) Player B also chooses, $\mathrm{y}_{1}$.

The pair $\left\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right\rangle \in w(\mathrm{x})$ so symmetricity finds $\mathrm{x} \in w(\mathrm{x})\left[\mathrm{y}_{1}\right]$. So now Player B can choose x legally.

Player A gets $w\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{x}\right)$ from the winning strategy.
(W-space) Player 1 chooses $\sigma(\mathrm{x})=w\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{x}\right)[\mathrm{x}]$.
(W-space) Player 2 choose some $\mathrm{y}_{2} \in w\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{x}\right)[\mathrm{x}]$.

## General rounds.

$\sigma\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=w\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}\right)[\mathrm{x}]$. Because of thewinning
strategy $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{y}}^{1} 1, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{x}, \ldots$ converges, obviously to x . So to $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}$. Thus,
X is a W -space.

## Sketch proof of normal in zero-dimensional LEMMA (4).

So we can assume the members of the uniformity are squares of members of clopen partitions.

Let $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ be disjoint open sets. Choose $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
Choose $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ so that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \cap \mathrm{C}_{1-\mathrm{i}}=\varnothing$.
Let $H_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{D}_{1}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}_{0} \cup \mathrm{C}_{1}\right\}$.
Let $Q_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{D}_{1}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{i} \in 2, \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \cap \mathrm{C}_{1-\mathrm{i}}=\emptyset\right\}$.
Let $R_{1}=H_{1} \backslash Q_{1}$. Remember $\left\{\mathrm{D}_{1}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}\right\}$.
For $\mathrm{R} \in R_{1}$, choose $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ such that $\mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1-\mathrm{i}}\right)$.
Choose $\mathrm{D}_{2, \mathrm{R}} \subseteq \mathrm{D}_{1}$ so that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2, \mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \cap \mathrm{C}_{1-\mathrm{i}}=\emptyset$.
Let $H_{2}=Q_{1} \cup\left\{\mathrm{D}_{2, \mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{R} \in R_{1}, \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R} \cap\left(\mathrm{C}_{0} \cup \mathrm{C}_{1}\right)\right\}$.
Let $Q_{2}=Q_{1} \cup\left\{\mathrm{D}_{2, \mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{i} \in 2, \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R} \in R_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2, \mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{x}) \cap \mathrm{C}_{1-\mathrm{i}}=\varnothing\right\}$.
Let $R_{2}=H_{2} \backslash Q_{2}$.
Continue in this fashion.
Let $H=\cup_{\mathrm{n} \in \omega} H_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then H is the union of pairwise-disjoint clopen sets.
Let $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left\{\mathrm{H} \in H: \mathrm{H} \cap \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq \emptyset\right\}$. Then $\mathrm{G}_{0} \cap \mathrm{G}_{1}=\varnothing$.
Suppose $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Then $\exists \forall \mathrm{n} \in \omega, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in R_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{C}_{1-\mathrm{i}}$ such that
$\mathrm{z} \in \cap_{\mathrm{n} \in \omega} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.
Define the sequence $<z_{n}: n \in \omega>$, by $z_{2 n}=z$ and $z_{2 n+1}=y_{2 n+1}$.
As X is proximal, $<\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n} \in \omega>$ converges to some point. As both are $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$
closed. Its limit point belongs to $\mathrm{C}_{0} \cap \mathrm{C}_{1}-$ a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathrm{C}_{0} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{1}$.

# Applications to Uniform Box Products 

THEOREM3 (J Bell). Suppose $X$ is the Tychonov product of countably many Fort spaces. Then $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ is a proximal space.

COROLLARY (J Bell - to appear in the Proc. AMS). Suppose X is the Fort spaces. Then $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ is collectionwise Hausdorff, countably paracompact, normal).

# Applications to Uniform Box Products3 

THEOREM4 (S Williams). Suppose L is the long line. Then
$\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{L}$ is proximal.

## Sketch proof of THEOREM4.

For simplicity we observe L is proximal.
Given a finite non-decreasing sequence $\mathrm{P}=<\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}>$ in $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$, we consider finite open coverings $R$ of $L$ of the form
$R=\left[0, \alpha_{1} \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{n}}\right),\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{n}}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{1} \cdot\left(2^{-\mathrm{n}}-1\right) \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{n}},\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{n}}\right),\left(\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right), \alpha_{2} \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{n}}\right)$,

$$
\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{n}}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}, \omega_{1}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{D}_{R}=\cup\left\{\mathrm{R}^{2}: \mathrm{R} \in R\right\}$. The set of all such $\mathrm{D}_{R}$ form a base for a uniformity on L .
The strategy for Player A is as follows:
If Player B chooses the point $\alpha . r \mathrm{P}$, then we add $\alpha$ to that sequence in the "right place" to get an ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )-term sequence (repeating is okay). So if the terms Player B chooses increase, then the sequence converges to the sup. If they don't then the
tems will be stuck inside some $\left[\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}+2\right]$ where the sequence will proved to be

Cauchy. As it must have a cluster point it converges
This is essentially the tool in proving $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{L}$ is a proximal space.

Suppose in Round k, Player A has chosen the non-decreasing n-sequence $\mathrm{P}=<\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}>$ in $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$, on $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{D}_{R}$ and on $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{L}, \underline{\mathrm{D}}_{\underline{R}}$.

Now Player $B$ chooses some point $x \in \prod^{\omega} \mathrm{L}$.

Say $x(n)=\alpha(n) \cdot r(n)$.

If we are at Round $k+1$, we add to the sequence $P$, the first $m \alpha(n)$ 's of the first $m$ choices of $x$. Now this forces B's choices to converge coordinate-wise in a copy of the connected $\prod^{\omega}[0,1]$ (see Theorem 1).

COROLLARY4. (P Nyikos). $\prod^{\omega} \omega_{1}$ is collectionwise-normal.

EXAMPLE (J. Hankins). Suppose X is the Fort space of cardinality $\omega_{1}$. Then $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ is not paracompact.

PROBLEM1. (Williams-2001) Is $\prod^{\omega}\left(\omega_{1}+1\right)$ normal?
PROBLEM2. (Williams-2001) Suppose X is compact first countable is $\prod^{\omega} \mathrm{X}$ normal?

