

Topological games and Alster spaces

Rodrigo Roque Dias

coauthor: Leandro F. Aurichi

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo

roque@ime.usp.br

STW 2013,

São Sebastião, Brazil

In honour of Ofelia T. Alas

Topological games

The Rothberger game

Definition (Rothberger 1938)

A topological space X is *Rothberger* if, for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ with $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition (Galvin 1978)

The *Rothberger game* in a topological space X is played according to the following rules: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses an open cover \mathcal{U}_n of X , and then Two chooses $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$; the play is won by Two if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise One is the winner.

Theorem (Pawlikowski 1994)

Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) \Rightarrow One \nrightarrow Rothberger(X) \Leftrightarrow X is Rothberger.

Topological games

The Rothberger game

Definition (Rothberger 1938)

A topological space X is *Rothberger* if, for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ with $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition (Galvin 1978)

The *Rothberger game* in a topological space X is played according to the following rules: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses an open cover \mathcal{U}_n of X , and then Two chooses $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$; the play is won by Two if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise One is the winner.

Theorem (Pawlikowski 1994)

Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) \Rightarrow One \nmid Rothberger(X) $\Leftrightarrow X$ is Rothberger.

Topological games

The Rothberger game

Definition (Rothberger 1938)

A topological space X is *Rothberger* if, for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$ with $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition (Galvin 1978)

The *Rothberger game* in a topological space X is played according to the following rules: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses an open cover \mathcal{U}_n of X , and then Two chooses $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$; the play is won by Two if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise One is the winner.

Theorem (Pawlikowski 1994)

Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) \Rightarrow One \nmid Rothberger(X) \Leftrightarrow X is Rothberger.

Topological games

The Menger game

Definition (Hurewicz 1926)

A topological space X is *Menger* if, for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of X , there is a sequence $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ with $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\aleph_0}$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition (Telgársky 1984)

The *Menger game* in a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses an open cover \mathcal{U}_n of X , and then Two chooses a finite subset \mathcal{F}_n of \mathcal{U}_n ; Two wins the play if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is a cover of X , otherwise One is the winner.

Theorem (Hurewicz 1926)

Two \uparrow Menger(X) \Rightarrow One \nmid Menger(X) $\Leftrightarrow X$ is Menger.

Topological games

The Menger game

Definition (Hurewicz 1926)

A topological space X is *Menger* if, for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of X , there is a sequence $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ with $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{<\aleph_0}$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition (Telgársky 1984)

The *Menger game* in a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses an open cover \mathcal{U}_n of X , and then Two chooses a finite subset \mathcal{F}_n of \mathcal{U}_n ; Two wins the play if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is a cover of X , otherwise One is the winner.

Theorem (Hurewicz 1926)

Two \uparrow Menger(X) \Rightarrow One \nmid Menger(X) $\Leftrightarrow X$ is Menger.

Topological games

The Menger game

Definition (Hurewicz 1926)

A topological space X is *Menger* if, for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of open covers of X , there is a sequence $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ with $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{U}_n]^{< \aleph_0}$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition (Telgársky 1984)

The *Menger game* in a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses an open cover \mathcal{U}_n of X , and then Two chooses a finite subset \mathcal{F}_n of \mathcal{U}_n ; Two wins the play if $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n$ is a cover of X , otherwise One is the winner.

Theorem (Hurewicz 1926)

Two \uparrow Menger(X) \Rightarrow One \nrightarrow Menger(X) \Leftrightarrow X is Menger.

Topological games

The point-open game

Definition (Galvin 1978)

The *point-open game* in a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One picks a point $x_n \in X$, and then Two chooses an open set $U_n \subseteq X$ with $x_n \in U_n$; the play is won by One if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise Two is the winner.

Theorem (Galvin 1978)

- One \uparrow Rothberger(X) \Leftrightarrow Two \uparrow point-open(X);
- Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) \Leftrightarrow One \uparrow point-open(X).

Topological games

The point-open game

Definition (Galvin 1978)

The *point-open game* in a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One picks a point $x_n \in X$, and then Two chooses an open set $U_n \subseteq X$ with $x_n \in U_n$; the play is won by One if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise Two is the winner.

Theorem (Galvin 1978)

- One \uparrow Rothberger(X) \Leftrightarrow Two \uparrow point-open(X);
- Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) \Leftrightarrow One \uparrow point-open(X).

Topological games

What about the Menger game?

Topological games

What about the Menger game?

Theorem (Telgársky 1983-84)

Let X be a regular space. TFAE:

- (a) Two \uparrow Menger(X);
- (b) One \uparrow compact-open(X);
- (c) One \uparrow compact- G_δ (X).

Question (Telgársky 1984)

Is Two \uparrow compact-open equivalent to One \uparrow Menger?

Or, equivalently:

Does the Menger property imply Two \nrightarrow compact-open?

Topological games

What about the Menger game?

Theorem (Telgársky 1983-84)

Let X be a regular space. TFAE:

- (a) $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}(X)$;
- (b) $\text{One} \uparrow \text{compact-open}(X)$;
- (c) $\text{One} \uparrow \text{compact-}G_\delta(X)$.

Question (Telgársky 1984)

Is $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{compact-open}$ equivalent to $\text{One} \uparrow \text{Menger}$?

Or, equivalently:

Does the Menger property imply $\text{Two} \not\uparrow \text{compact-open}$?

Topological games

What about the Menger game?

Theorem (Telgársky 1983-84)

Let X be a regular space. TFAE:

- (a) $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}(X)$;
- (b) $\text{One} \uparrow \text{compact-open}(X)$;
- (c) $\text{One} \uparrow \text{compact-}G_\delta(X)$.

Question (Telgársky 1984)

Is $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{compact-open}$ equivalent to $\text{One} \uparrow \text{Menger}$?

Or, equivalently:

Does the Menger property imply $\text{Two} \not\uparrow \text{compact-open}$?

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Definition

An open cover \mathcal{U} of a topological space X is a k -cover ($\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{K}_X$) if every compact subset of X is included in an element of \mathcal{U} .

Definition

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ on a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{K}_X$, and then Two chooses $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$; Two wins the play if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise One is the winner.

Proposition (Telgársky 1983, Galvin 1978)

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ and the compact-open game are dual.

Corollary

If Two $\not\ll$ compact-open(X), then $S_1(\mathcal{K}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ holds — i.e., for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of k -covers of X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ with $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$.

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Definition

An open cover \mathcal{U} of a topological space X is a k -cover ($\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{K}_X$) if every compact subset of X is included in an element of \mathcal{U} .

Definition

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ on a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{K}_X$, and then Two chooses $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$; Two wins the play if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise One is the winner.

Proposition (Telgársky 1983, Galvin 1978)

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ and the compact-open game are dual.

Corollary

If Two $\not\chi$ compact-open(X), then $S_1(\mathcal{K}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ holds — i.e., for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of k -covers of X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ with $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$.

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Definition

An open cover \mathcal{U} of a topological space X is a k -cover ($\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{K}_X$) if every compact subset of X is included in an element of \mathcal{U} .

Definition

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ on a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{K}_X$, and then Two chooses $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$; Two wins the play if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise One is the winner.

Proposition (Telgársky 1983, Galvin 1978)

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ and the compact-open game are dual.

Corollary

If Two $\not\chi$ compact-open(X), then $S_1(\mathcal{K}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ holds — i.e., for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of k -covers of X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ with $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$.

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Definition

An open cover \mathcal{U} of a topological space X is a k -cover ($\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{K}_X$) if every compact subset of X is included in an element of \mathcal{U} .

Definition

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ on a topological space X is played as follows: in each inning $n \in \omega$, One chooses $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{K}_X$, and then Two chooses $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$; Two wins the play if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$, otherwise One is the winner.

Proposition (Telgársky 1983, Galvin 1978)

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ and the compact-open game are dual.

Corollary

If Two $\not\ll$ compact-open(X), then $S_1(\mathcal{K}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ holds — i.e., for every sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of k -covers of X , there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ with $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n$.

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Corollary

Two \nrightarrow compact-open $\Rightarrow S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow$ Menger.

Question (Telgársky 1984)

Does the Menger property imply Two \nrightarrow compact-open?

We will now partially answer this question in the negative by giving consistent examples of Menger regular spaces that do not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Corollary

Two \nrightarrow compact-open $\Rightarrow S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow$ Menger.

Question (Telgársky 1984)

Does the Menger property imply Two \nrightarrow compact-open?

We will now partially answer this question in the negative by giving consistent examples of Menger regular spaces that do not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Corollary

Two \nrightarrow compact-open $\Rightarrow S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}) \Rightarrow$ Menger.

Question (Telgársky 1984)

Does the Menger property imply Two \nrightarrow compact-open?

We will now partially answer this question in the negative by giving consistent examples of Menger regular spaces that do not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Lemma

Let X be a topological space such that every compact subspace of X has an isolated point. Then X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ if and only if X is Rothberger.

Example

If $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{d}$, then any non-Rothberger subspace of \mathbb{R} of size $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ is Menger but does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Example

Any Sierpiński subset of the real line (which exists e.g. under CH) endowed with the Sorgenfrey topology is a Menger regular space that does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Problem

Is it consistent with ZFC that every Menger regular space satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$?

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Lemma

Let X be a topological space such that every compact subspace of X has an isolated point. Then X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ if and only if X is Rothberger.

Example

If $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{d}$, then any non-Rothberger subspace of \mathbb{R} of size $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ is Menger but does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Example

Any Sierpiński subset of the real line (which exists e.g. under CH) endowed with the Sorgenfrey topology is a Menger regular space that does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Problem

Is it consistent with ZFC that every Menger regular space satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$?

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Lemma

Let X be a topological space such that every compact subspace of X has an isolated point. Then X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ if and only if X is Rothberger.

Example

If $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{d}$, then any non-Rothberger subspace of \mathbb{R} of size $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ is Menger but does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Example

Any Sierpiński subset of the real line (which exists e.g. under CH) endowed with the Sorgenfrey topology is a Menger regular space that does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Problem

Is it consistent with ZFC that every Menger regular space satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$?

Topological games

Playing with k -covers

Lemma

Let X be a topological space such that every compact subspace of X has an isolated point. Then X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$ if and only if X is Rothberger.

Example

If $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) < \mathfrak{d}$, then any non-Rothberger subspace of \mathbb{R} of size $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ is Menger but does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Example

Any Sierpiński subset of the real line (which exists e.g. under CH) endowed with the Sorgenfrey topology is a Menger regular space that does not satisfy $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$.

Problem

Is it consistent with ZFC that every Menger regular space satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O})$?

Alster spaces

Definition (Alster 1988)

A topological space X is *Alster* if every k_δ -cover of X — i.e., every G_δ -cover \mathcal{W} of X such that

for every compact $K \subseteq X$ there is $W \in \mathcal{W}$ with $K \subseteq W$

— has a countable subcover.

Problem (Tamano)

Characterize (internally) the *productively Lindelöf spaces*, i.e. the spaces X such that $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf whenever Y is Lindelöf.

Theorem (Alster 1988)

Alster spaces are productively Lindelöf. Assuming CH, productively Lindelöf regular spaces of weight not exceeding \aleph_1 are Alster.

Problem (Alster 1988)

Is every productively Lindelöf (regular) space Alster?

Alster spaces

Definition (Alster 1988)

A topological space X is *Alster* if every k_δ -cover of X — i.e., every G_δ -cover \mathcal{W} of X such that

for every compact $K \subseteq X$ there is $W \in \mathcal{W}$ with $K \subseteq W$

— has a countable subcover.

Problem (Tamano)

Characterize (internally) the *productively Lindelöf spaces*, i.e. the spaces X such that $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf whenever Y is Lindelöf.

Theorem (Alster 1988)

Alster spaces are productively Lindelöf. Assuming CH, productively Lindelöf regular spaces of weight not exceeding \aleph_1 are Alster.

Problem (Alster 1988)

Is every productively Lindelöf (regular) space Alster?

Alster spaces

Definition (Alster 1988)

A topological space X is *Alster* if every k_δ -cover of X — i.e., every G_δ -cover \mathcal{W} of X such that

for every compact $K \subseteq X$ there is $W \in \mathcal{W}$ with $K \subseteq W$

— has a countable subcover.

Problem (Tamano)

Characterize (internally) the *productively Lindelöf spaces*, i.e. the spaces X such that $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf whenever Y is Lindelöf.

Theorem (Alster 1988)

Alster spaces are productively Lindelöf. Assuming CH, productively Lindelöf regular spaces of weight not exceeding \aleph_1 are Alster.

Problem (Alster 1988)

Is every productively Lindelöf (regular) space Alster?

Alster spaces

Definition (Alster 1988)

A topological space X is *Alster* if every k_δ -cover of X — i.e., every G_δ -cover \mathcal{W} of X such that

for every compact $K \subseteq X$ there is $W \in \mathcal{W}$ with $K \subseteq W$

— has a countable subcover.

Problem (Tamano)

Characterize (internally) the *productively Lindelöf spaces*, i.e. the spaces X such that $X \times Y$ is Lindelöf whenever Y is Lindelöf.

Theorem (Alster 1988)

Alster spaces are productively Lindelöf. Assuming CH, productively Lindelöf regular spaces of weight not exceeding \aleph_1 are Alster.

Problem (Alster 1988)

Is every productively Lindelöf (regular) space Alster?

Alster spaces

Alster vs. $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$

Of course, σ -compact spaces are Alster.

Theorem (Aurichi, Tall 2012)

Alster spaces are Menger.

In view of the fact that
 $\sigma\text{-compact} \Rightarrow \text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger} \Rightarrow \text{Menger}$,

Question (Tall 2013)

Does $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$ imply Alster? Does the converse hold?

Alster spaces

Alster vs. $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$

Of course, σ -compact spaces are Alster.

Theorem (Aurichi, Tall 2012)

Alster spaces are Menger.

In view of the fact that
 $\sigma\text{-compact} \Rightarrow \text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger} \Rightarrow \text{Menger}$,

Question (Tall 2013)

Does $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$ imply Alster? Does the converse hold?

Alster spaces

Alster vs. $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$

Of course, σ -compact spaces are Alster.

Theorem (Aurichi, Tall 2012)

Alster spaces are Menger.

In view of the fact that
 $\sigma\text{-compact} \Rightarrow \text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger} \Rightarrow \text{Menger}$,

Question (Tall 2013)

Does $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$ imply Alster? Does the converse hold?

Alster spaces

Alster vs. $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$

Of course, σ -compact spaces are Alster.

Theorem (Aurichi, Tall 2012)

Alster spaces are Menger.

In view of the fact that
 $\sigma\text{-compact} \Rightarrow \text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger} \Rightarrow \text{Menger}$,

Question (Tall 2013)

Does $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Menger}$ imply Alster? Does the converse hold?

Alster spaces

A selective characterization

Proposition

A topological space X is Alster if and only if it satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}^\delta, \mathcal{O}^\delta)$.

Proposition

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}^\delta, \mathcal{O}^\delta)$ and the compact- G_δ game are dual.

Corollary

Two \nexists compact- $G_\delta \Rightarrow$ Alster.

Alster spaces

A selective characterization

Proposition

A topological space X is Alster if and only if it satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}^\delta, \mathcal{O}^\delta)$.

Proposition

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}^\delta, \mathcal{O}^\delta)$ and the compact- G_δ game are dual.

Corollary

Two \nexists compact- $G_\delta \Rightarrow$ Alster.

Alster spaces

A selective characterization

Proposition

A topological space X is Alster if and only if it satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}^\delta, \mathcal{O}^\delta)$.

Proposition

The game $G_1(\mathcal{K}^\delta, \mathcal{O}^\delta)$ and the compact- G_δ game are dual.

Corollary

Two \nrightarrow compact- $G_\delta \Rightarrow$ Alster.

Alster spaces

Alster 0×1 Two \uparrow Menger

Corollary

Two \nrightarrow compact- $G_\delta \Rightarrow$ Alster.

Theorem (Telgársky 1983-84)

Let X be a regular space. TFAE:

- (a) Two \uparrow Menger(X);
- (b) One \uparrow compact-open(X);
- (c) One \uparrow compact- $G_\delta(X)$.

Corollary

If X is regular and Two \uparrow Menger(X), then X is Alster.

Example (Telgársky 1983)

There is a regular space in which the compact- G_δ game is undetermined — hence a regular Alster space in which Two \nrightarrow Menger.

Alster spaces

Alster 0×1 Two \uparrow Menger

Corollary

Two $\not\uparrow$ compact- $G_\delta \Rightarrow$ Alster.

Theorem (Telgársky 1983-84)

Let X be a regular space. TFAE:

- (a) Two \uparrow Menger(X);
- (b) One \uparrow compact-open(X);
- (c) One \uparrow compact- $G_\delta(X)$.

Corollary

If X is regular and Two \uparrow Menger(X), then X is Alster.

Example (Telgársky 1983)

There is a regular space in which the compact- G_δ game is undetermined — hence a regular Alster space in which Two $\not\uparrow$ Menger.

Alster spaces

Alster 0×1 Two \uparrow Menger

Corollary

Two $\not\uparrow$ compact- $G_\delta \Rightarrow$ Alster.

Theorem (Telgársky 1983-84)

Let X be a regular space. TFAE:

- (a) Two \uparrow Menger(X);
- (b) One \uparrow compact-open(X);
- (c) One \uparrow compact- $G_\delta(X)$.

Corollary

If X is regular and Two \uparrow Menger(X), then X is Alster.

Example (Telgársky 1983)

There is a regular space in which the compact- G_δ game is undetermined — hence a regular Alster space in which Two $\not\uparrow$ Menger.

Alster spaces

Alster 0×1 Two \uparrow Menger

Corollary

Two $\not\uparrow$ compact- $G_\delta \Rightarrow$ Alster.

Theorem (Telgársky 1983-84)

Let X be a regular space. TFAE:

- (a) Two \uparrow Menger(X);
- (b) One \uparrow compact-open(X);
- (c) One \uparrow compact- $G_\delta(X)$.

Corollary

If X is regular and Two \uparrow Menger(X), then X is Alster.

Example (Telgársky 1983)

There is a regular space in which the compact- G_δ game is undetermined — hence a regular Alster space in which Two $\not\uparrow$ Menger.

How about Two \uparrow Rothberger?

How about Two \uparrow Rothberger?

Theorem

Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) $\Rightarrow X_\delta$ is Lindelöf ($\Rightarrow X$ is Rothberger).

Sketch of proof.

Let $\sigma : {}^{<\omega}\tau \rightarrow X$ be a winning strategy for One in point-open(X).

Now let \mathcal{W} be a cover of X by G_δ subsets. For each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, fix a sequence $(U(W, n))_{n \in \omega}$ of open sets with $W = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U(W, n)$.

Proceeding by induction on $n \in \omega$, we shall assign to each $s \in {}^n\omega$ an element W_s of \mathcal{W} as follows.

First, pick $W_\emptyset \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma(\emptyset) \in W_\emptyset$. Now let $n \in \omega$ be such that $W_s \in \mathcal{W}$ has already been defined for all $s \in {}^n\omega$. For each $s \in {}^n\omega$ and each $k \in \omega$, choose $W_{s \smallfrown k} \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying $\sigma(t_{s,k}) \in W_{s \smallfrown k}$, where $t_{s,k} \in {}^{n+1}\tau$ is the sequence defined by $t_{s,k}(i) = U(W_{s \smallfrown i}, s(i))$ for all $i < n$ and $t_{s,k}(n) = U(W_s, k)$.

Since the strategy σ is winning, it follows that $(\dots) \{W_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ is a cover of X . □

How about Two \uparrow Rothberger?

Theorem

Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) $\Rightarrow X_\delta$ is Lindelöf ($\Rightarrow X$ is Rothberger).

Sketch of proof.

Let $\sigma : {}^{<\omega}\tau \rightarrow X$ be a winning strategy for One in point-open(X).

Now let \mathcal{W} be a cover of X by G_δ subsets. For each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, fix a sequence $(U(W, n))_{n \in \omega}$ of open sets with $W = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U(W, n)$.

Proceeding by induction on $n \in \omega$, we shall assign to each $s \in {}^n\omega$ an element W_s of \mathcal{W} as follows.

First, pick $W_\emptyset \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma(\emptyset) \in W_\emptyset$. Now let $n \in \omega$ be such that $W_s \in \mathcal{W}$ has already been defined for all $s \in {}^n\omega$. For each $s \in {}^n\omega$ and each $k \in \omega$, choose $W_{s \smallfrown k} \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying $\sigma(t_{s,k}) \in W_{s \smallfrown k}$, where $t_{s,k} \in {}^{n+1}\tau$ is the sequence defined by $t_{s,k}(i) = U(W_{s \smallfrown i}, s(i))$ for all $i < n$ and $t_{s,k}(n) = U(W_s, k)$.

Since the strategy σ is winning, it follows that $(\dots) \{W_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ is a cover of X . □

How about Two \uparrow Rothberger?

Theorem

Two \uparrow Rothberger(X) $\Rightarrow X_\delta$ is Lindelöf ($\Rightarrow X$ is Rothberger).

Sketch of proof.

Let $\sigma : {}^{<\omega}\tau \rightarrow X$ be a winning strategy for One in point-open(X).

Now let \mathcal{W} be a cover of X by G_δ subsets. For each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, fix a sequence $(U(W, n))_{n \in \omega}$ of open sets with $W = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U(W, n)$.

Proceeding by induction on $n \in \omega$, we shall assign to each $s \in {}^n\omega$ an element W_s of \mathcal{W} as follows.

First, pick $W_\emptyset \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma(\emptyset) \in W_\emptyset$. Now let $n \in \omega$ be such that $W_s \in \mathcal{W}$ has already been defined for all $s \in {}^n\omega$. For each $s \in {}^n\omega$ and each $k \in \omega$, choose $W_{s \smallfrown k} \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying $\sigma(t_{s,k}) \in W_{s \smallfrown k}$, where $t_{s,k} \in {}^{n+1}\tau$ is the sequence defined by $t_{s,k}(i) = U(W_{s \smallfrown i}, s(i))$ for all $i < n$ and $t_{s,k}(n) = U(W_s, k)$.

Since the strategy σ is winning, it follows that $(\dots) \{W_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ is a cover of X . □

How about $\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Rothberger}$?

Theorem

$\text{Two} \uparrow \text{Rothberger}(X) \Rightarrow X_\delta$ is Lindelöf ($\Rightarrow X$ is Rothberger).

Sketch of proof.

Let $\sigma : {}^{<\omega}\tau \rightarrow X$ be a winning strategy for One in $\text{point-open}(X)$.

Now let \mathcal{W} be a cover of X by G_δ subsets. For each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, fix a sequence $(U(W, n))_{n \in \omega}$ of open sets with $W = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U(W, n)$.

Proceeding by induction on $n \in \omega$, we shall assign to each $s \in {}^n\omega$ an element W_s of \mathcal{W} as follows.

First, pick $W_\emptyset \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma(\emptyset) \in W_\emptyset$. Now let $n \in \omega$ be such that $W_s \in \mathcal{W}$ has already been defined for all $s \in {}^n\omega$. For each $s \in {}^n\omega$ and each $k \in \omega$, choose $W_{s \smallfrown k} \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfying $\sigma(t_{s,k}) \in W_{s \smallfrown k}$, where $t_{s,k} \in {}^{n+1}\tau$ is the sequence defined by $t_{s,k}(i) = U(W_{s \smallfrown i}, s(i))$ for all $i < n$ and $t_{s,k}(n) = U(W_s, k)$.

Since the strategy σ is winning, it follows that $(\dots) \{W_s : s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ is a cover of X . □

References

- K. Alster, *On the class of all spaces of weight not greater than ω_1 whose cartesian product with every Lindelöf space is Lindelöf*, Fund. Math. **129** (1988), 133–140.
- L. F. Aurichi and R. R. Dias, *Topological games and Alster spaces*, arXiv:1306.5463 [math.GN]
- L. F. Aurichi and F. D. Tall, *Lindelöf spaces which are indestructible, productive, or D* , Topology Appl. **159** (2012), 331–340.
- F. Galvin, *Indeterminacy of point-open games*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. **26** (1978), 445–449.
- F. D. Tall, *Productively Lindelöf spaces may all be D* , Canad. Math. Bull. **56** (2013), 203–212.
- R. Telgársky, *Spaces defined by topological games, II*, Fund. Math. **116** (1983), 189–207.
- R. Telgársky, *On games of Topsøe*, Math. Scand. **54** (1984), 170–176.