

Winter School in Abstract Analysis section **Set Theory & Topology**

25th Jan — 1st Feb 2014

TUTORIAL SPEAKERS

Antonio Avilés
Jaroslav Nešetřil
Dilip Raghavan
Matteo Viale

DEADLINES

SUPPORT

early Dec 2013

REGISTRATION

30th Dec 2013

www.winterschool.eu



PID and ω_1 -towers in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$

David Chodounský

Institute of Mathematics AS CR

joint work with Piotr Borodulin-Nadzieja

Definition (Tower)

We say that $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ is a *tower* if

- ▶ $T_\alpha \subset \omega$ for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$
- ▶ $T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta =^* \emptyset$ iff $\alpha \leq \beta$

Definition (Tower)

We say that $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ is a *tower* if

- ▶ $T_\alpha \subset \omega$ for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$
- ▶ $T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta =^* \emptyset$ iff $\alpha \leq \beta$

Question

How does (\mathcal{T}, \subset) look like?

Definition (Tower)

We say that $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ is a *tower* if

- ▶ $T_\alpha \subset \omega$ for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$
- ▶ $T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta =^* \emptyset$ iff $\alpha \leq \beta$

Question

How does (\mathcal{T}, \subset) look like?

$\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$... ideal generated by \mathcal{T}

Definition (Tower)

We say that $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ is a *tower* if

- ▶ $T_\alpha \subset \omega$ for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$
- ▶ $T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta =^* \emptyset$ iff $\alpha \leq \beta$

Question

How does (\mathcal{T}, \subset) look like?

$\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$... ideal generated by \mathcal{T}

Question

Relations between properties of (\mathcal{T}, \subset) and $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$?

Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} satisfies condition

- ▶ (K) ... if $T_\alpha \not\subseteq T_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$

Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} satisfies condition

- ▶ (K) ... if $T_\alpha \not\subset T_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$
- ▶ (H) ... if for each $\beta \in \omega_1$, $n \in \omega$ the set

$$H_\beta(n) = \{\alpha < \beta : T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta \subset n\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} satisfies condition

- ▶ (K) ... if $T_\alpha \not\subset T_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$
- ▶ (H) ... if for each $\beta \in \omega_1$, $n \in \omega$ the set

$$H_\beta(n) = \{\alpha < \beta : T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta \subset n\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{T} be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} is

- ▶ *Special* ... if \mathcal{T} has a cofinal subtower with property (K).

Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} satisfies condition

- ▶ (K) ... if $T_\alpha \not\subset T_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$
- ▶ (H) ... if for each $\beta \in \omega_1$, $n \in \omega$ the set

$$H_\beta(n) = \{\alpha < \beta : T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta \subset n\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{T} be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} is

- ▶ *Special* ... if \mathcal{T} has a cofinal subtower with property (K).
- ▶ *Hausdorff* ... if \mathcal{T} has a cofinal subtower with property (H).

Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} satisfies condition

- ▶ (K) ... if $T_\alpha \not\subset T_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$
- ▶ (H) ... if for each $\beta \in \omega_1$, $n \in \omega$ the set

$$H_\beta(n) = \{\alpha < \beta : T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta \subset n\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{T} be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} is

- ▶ *Special* ... if \mathcal{T} has a cofinal subtower with property (K).
- ▶ *Hausdorff* ... if \mathcal{T} has a cofinal subtower with property (H).
- ▶ *Suslin* ... if \mathcal{T} is not special.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} satisfies condition

- ▶ (K) ... if $T_\alpha \not\subset T_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$
- ▶ (H) ... if for each $\beta \in \omega_1$, $n \in \omega$ the set

$$H_\beta(n) = \{\alpha < \beta : T_\alpha \setminus T_\beta \subset n\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{T} be a tower. We say that \mathcal{T} is

- ▶ *Special* ... if \mathcal{T} has a cofinal subtower with property (K).
- ▶ *Hausdorff* ... if \mathcal{T} has a cofinal subtower with property (H).
- ▶ *Suslin* ... if \mathcal{T} is not special.

Fact

Every Hausdorff tower is special.

- ▶ A Hausdorff tower always exists (ZFC).

- ▶ A Hausdorff tower always exists (ZFC).
- ▶ (MA) implies that every tower is Hausdorff.

- ▶ A Hausdorff tower always exists (ZFC).
- ▶ (MA) implies that every tower is Hausdorff.
- ▶ (OCA) implies that every tower is special.

- ▶ A Hausdorff tower always exists (ZFC).
- ▶ (MA) implies that every tower is Hausdorff.
- ▶ (OCA) implies that every tower is special.
- ▶ $(T_\alpha \cap c)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ is Suslin for a Cohen real c and each groundmodel tower $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$.

Theorem (Todorcevic – oscillation theory)

If $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a non-meager ideal then \mathcal{T} is Suslin.

Theorem (Todorcevic – oscillation theory)

If $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a non-meager ideal then \mathcal{T} is Suslin.

Corollary

If $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ then there is a Suslin tower.

Theorem (Todorcevic – oscillation theory)

If $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a non-meager ideal then \mathcal{T} is Suslin.

Corollary

If $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ then there is a Suslin tower.

Theorem

Tower \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff iff $(\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle, \subset)$ is Tukey equivalent to $([\omega_1]^{<\omega}, \subset)$ (i.e. Tukey maximal).

Theorem (Todorcevic – oscillation theory)

If $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a non-meager ideal then \mathcal{T} is Suslin.

Corollary

If $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ then there is a Suslin tower.

Theorem

Tower \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff iff $(\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle, \subset)$ is Tukey equivalent to $([\omega_1]^{<\omega}, \subset)$ (i.e. Tukey maximal).

Theorem

Assume PID. TFAE

- ▶ Every tower is Hausdorff
- ▶ $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$

Theorem (Todorcevic – oscillation theory)

If $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a non-meager ideal then \mathcal{T} is Suslin.

Corollary

If $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ then there is a Suslin tower.

Theorem

Tower \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff iff $(\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle, \subset)$ is Tukey equivalent to $([\omega_1]^{<\omega}, \subset)$ (i.e. Tukey maximal).

Theorem

Assume PID. TFAE

- ▶ Every tower is Hausdorff
- ▶ $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$

Theorem (Raghavan, Todorcevic)

Assume PID. TFAE

- ▶ There is no Tukey type between $\omega \times \omega_1$ and $[\omega_1]^{<\omega}$
- ▶ $\min(\mathfrak{b}, \text{cof}(F_\sigma)) > \omega_1$

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower, assume PID and $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$.
The tower \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower, assume PID and $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$.
The tower \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff.

Definition (PID)

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset [\omega_1]^{\leq \omega}$ be a P-ideal. One of the following holds:

1. There is an uncountable $K \subset \omega_1$ such that $[K]^\omega \subset \mathcal{I}$;
2. $\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower, assume PID and $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$.
The tower \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff.

Definition (PID)

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset [\omega_1]^{\leq \omega}$ be a P-ideal. One of the following holds:

1. There is an uncountable $K \subset \omega_1$ such that $[K]^\omega \subset \mathcal{I}$;
2. $\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Define $\mathcal{I} \subset [\omega_1]^{\leq \omega}$ by $I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff

$$C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$$

is finite for each $\alpha \in \omega_1, n \in \omega$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ be a tower, assume PID and $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$.
The tower \mathcal{T} is Hausdorff.

Definition (PID)

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset [\omega_1]^{\leq \omega}$ be a P-ideal. One of the following holds:

1. There is an uncountable $K \subset \omega_1$ such that $[K]^\omega \subset \mathcal{I}$;
2. $\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Define $\mathcal{I} \subset [\omega_1]^{\leq \omega}$ by $I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff

$$C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$$

is finite for each $\alpha \in \omega_1, n \in \omega$.

We want to show that 1. of PID holds.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Claim

\mathcal{I} is an ideal.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Claim

\mathcal{I} is an ideal.

$I \subset J \Rightarrow C_\alpha^n(I) \subset C_\alpha^n(J)$

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Claim

\mathcal{I} is an ideal.

$$I \subset J \Rightarrow C_\alpha^n(I) \subset C_\alpha^n(J), C_\alpha^n(I \cup J) = C_\alpha^n(I) \cup C_\alpha^n(J)$$

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Claim

\mathcal{I} is an ideal.

$$I \subset J \Rightarrow C_\alpha^n(I) \subset C_\alpha^n(J), C_\alpha^n(I \cup J) = C_\alpha^n(I) \cup C_\alpha^n(J)$$

Lemma

\mathcal{I} is a P -ideal.

We need to show that for each (pairwise disjoint) $\{I_\ell : \ell \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ there is $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I_\ell \subset^* I$ for each ℓ .

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

We need to show that for each (pairwise disjoint) $\{I_\ell : \ell \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ there is an $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I_\ell \subset^* I$ for each ℓ .

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

We need to show that for each (pairwise disjoint) $\{I_\ell : \ell \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ there is an $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I_\ell \subset^* I$ for each ℓ .

Fix enumerations $I_\ell = \{\xi_\ell^k : k \in \omega\}$.

For $\alpha \in \omega_1$ and $n \in \omega$ define $f_\alpha^n : \omega \rightarrow \omega$

$$f_\alpha^n(\ell) = \max \left\{ k : \xi_k^\ell \in C_\alpha^n(I_\ell) \right\}$$

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

We need to show that for each (pairwise disjoint) $\{I_\ell : \ell \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ there is an $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I_\ell \subset^* I$ for each ℓ .

Fix enumerations $I_\ell = \{\xi_\ell^k : k \in \omega\}$.

For $\alpha \in \omega_1$ and $n \in \omega$ define $f_\alpha^n : \omega \rightarrow \omega$

$$f_\alpha^n(\ell) = \max \left\{ k : \xi_k^\ell \in C_\alpha^n(I_\ell) \right\}$$

Let $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be a function \leq^* -dominating $\{f_\alpha^n : \alpha \in \omega_1, n \in \omega\}$.

Let

$$I = \bigcup_{\ell \in \omega} I_\ell \setminus \left\{ \xi_n^k : k \leq g(\ell) \right\}.$$

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Alternative 2. of PID:

$\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma

Alternative 2. of PID fails.

We show that for each uncountable $K \subset \omega_1$ there is $I \in \mathcal{I} \cap [K]^\omega$.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Alternative 2. of PID:

$\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma

Alternative 2. of PID fails.

We show that for each uncountable $K \subset \omega_1$ there is $I \in \mathcal{I} \cap [K]^\omega$.

Claim

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Alternative 2. of PID:

$\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma

Alternative 2. of PID fails.

We show that for each uncountable $K \subset \omega_1$ there is $I \in \mathcal{I} \cap [K]^\omega$.

Claim

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

If $\overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}} \subset \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$, then $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is generated by this closed set and is a Borel (P-)ideal.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

Alternative 2. of PID:

$\omega_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and $A_n \cap I$ is finite for each $n \in \omega$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma

Alternative 2. of PID fails.

We show that for each uncountable $K \subset \omega_1$ there is $I \in \mathcal{I} \cap [K]^\omega$.

Claim

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

If $\overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}} \subset \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$, then $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is generated by this closed set and is a Borel (P-)ideal.

Borel P-ideals cannot be generated by $< \mathfrak{d}$ many sets.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle T \rangle$.

Fix $I \in [K]^\omega$ such that $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a sequence converging to x .

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle T \rangle$.

Fix $I \in [K]^\omega$ such that $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a sequence converging to x .

Claim

$I \in \mathcal{I}$

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$.

Fix $I \in [K]^\omega$ such that $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a sequence converging to x .

Claim

$I \in \mathcal{I}$

Suppose $C_\beta^n(I)$ is infinite for some $\beta \in \omega_1, n \in \omega$.

I.e. $T_\alpha \subset T_\beta \cup n$ for infinitely many $\alpha \in I$.

$I \in \mathcal{I}$ iff $C_\alpha^n(I) = \{\xi \in \alpha \cap I : T_\xi \setminus T_\alpha \subset n\}$ is finite

There is $x \in 2^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in K\}}$, $x \notin \langle T \rangle$.

Fix $I \in [K]^\omega$ such that $\{T_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a sequence converging to x .

Claim

$I \in \mathcal{I}$

Suppose $C_\beta^n(I)$ is infinite for some $\beta \in \omega_1, n \in \omega$.

i.e. $T_\alpha \subset T_\beta \cup n$ for infinitely many $\alpha \in I$.

$\{y \in 2^\omega : y \subset T_\beta \cup n\}$ is closed, thus

$$x \in \overline{C_\beta^n(I)} \subset \{y : y \subset T_\beta \cup n\} \subset \langle T \rangle$$

The limit point of $C_\beta^n(I)$ is a subset of $T_\beta \cup n$ and hence in $\langle T \rangle$, a contradiction.

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a Hausdorff tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a tall ideal?

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a Hausdorff tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a tall ideal?

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a meager tall ideal?

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a Hausdorff tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a tall ideal?

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a meager tall ideal?

YES if one of:

- ▶ $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a Hausdorff tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a tall ideal?

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a meager tall ideal?

YES if one of:

- ▶ $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$
- ▶ $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a Hausdorff tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a tall ideal?

Question

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \omega_1$. Is there a tower \mathcal{T} such that $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is a meager tall ideal?

YES if one of:

- ▶ $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$
- ▶ $\text{non}(\mathcal{M}) = \omega_1$
- ▶ $\diamond(2, =)$

Reference

Piotr Borodulin-Nadzieja, David Chodounský, *Hausdorff gaps and towers in $P(\omega)/\text{Fin}$* , arXiv:1302.4550 [math.LO]