

Statistics and Innovation - Technological and Theoretical

Julio Michael Stern*

IME-USP, The Institute of Mathematics and Statistics
of the University of São Paulo, * jstern@ime.usp.br



ABE - SINAPE-2014, July 20-25, Natal.

<http://www.ime.usp.br/~jstern/miscellanea/jmsslides/sinape14.pdf>

This Presentation

- I - This Presentation and Presenter
 - II - Some Technological Projects in(?) Statistics
 - with IME-USP++ Bayesian Group*
 - III - A Theoretical Project in(?) Statistics
 - with IME-USP++ Bayesian Group*
 - IV - Innovation - Some Characteristics and Advice
 - V - Getting it Done - Analysis: Divide and Conquer
 - VI - Getting it Used - Synthesis: Unite and Conquer
 - VII - Some References
 - VIII - Frequently Asked Questions
-

IME-USP++ Bayesian Group = Turma do Carlinhos

This Presenter

- 1981, 1983 - Bachelor, M.Sci., Mathematical **Physics** (Semi-Riemannian Geometry, General Relativity), IF-USP
- 1989, 1991 - M.Eng., Ph.D., **Operations Research** (Algorithms for Sparse Matrices / Optimization), Cornell University
- 2001 - Liv.Doc. (Priv.Doç.), **Computer Science**, IME-USP
- 2010 - Full Prof. (Titular), **Applied Mathematics**, IME-USP
- 2003-2014 - CNPq Research Fellow (Pesquisa Operacional)
 - Operations Research = Optimization (Linear & Non-Linear Programming) + Stochastic proc. + Statistics + Computer Sci.

-
- at IME-USP*, working for:
 - 2008 - 28th **MaxEnt** - Bayes. Inference & Max. Entropy Meth.
 - 2012 - **ISBrA** - Brazil. Chapt. Int. Soc. for Bayesian Analysis

*Only Bayesian group to host both?

- H.Jeffreys (1939, 46) Theory of probability; An invariant form for the prior probability in estimation problems.
- E.T.Jaynes (1957, 68) Information th.& statistical mechanics; Prior probabilities. 1st: 1981 Laramie, WY; 1979 València.

Some Technological Projects in(?) Statistics

- with IME-USP++ Bayesian Group

- Media Insertion Mean-Variance Optimizer
- Client: IPSOS, São Paulo and France (ARF-2005)
- Innovation: Integrated reach-penetration (hit/individual) analysis and **optimization** for advertising campaigns.

- Algorithmic Analysis of Paternity Tests.
- Client: Genomic, São Paulo (Genet. & Molec. Biol. 09)
- Innovations: Relax Statistical hypotheses concerning:
(1) Mutations, (2) Independence (no consanguinity),
(3) Homogeneity (Hardy-Weinberg population equilibrium);
(4) Development of new **algorithms** for Bayesian Networks.

- Hierarchical Forecasting with Polynomial Networks
- Client: Editora Abril, São Paulo (KES-2009)
- Innovation: Integration of Time Series econometrics with tools of AI (**artificial intelligence**) for qualitative (subjective) factors.

Some Technological Projects in(?) Statistics

- Token-ring Clearing Heuristic for Currency Circulation.
- Client: FinanTech / Politec / Banco do Brasil / CIP-SPB
Câmara Interbancária, Sistema de Pagamentos Brasileiro
INPI: 00042036; XI EBEB, AIP Proc. 1490, 179-188 (2012);
- Innovation: Real time **Interbank Payments System** (2001);
+ Alternative Currency Payments System - TORC3 (2012)
- E.C.Colla, J.M.Stern (2008). **Sparse Factorization** Methods
for Inference in Bayesian Networks. (MaxEnt-08, KES-09).
- Nice hammer looking for some nails...
- Several ongoing technological projects...
- M.Diniz et al. (2011 / 2012). Unit Roots / Cointegration:
Bayesian Significance Test. *Communications in Statistics -
Theory and Methods*, 40, 23, 4200-4213. / 41, 19, 3562-3574.
- Innovative solution for a large class of **econometric** problems.

A Theoretical Project in(?) Statistics - FBST

- $ev(H | X)$ - The *Epistemic Value* of statistical hypothesis H , given the observed data X , or the *Evidence Value* of data X supporting hypothesis H - Full Bayesian Significance Test.
- H is a Sharp or Precise hypothesis:

H states that the model's parameter lies in a zero volume set, $\Theta_H : \theta \in \Theta \mid g(\theta) \leq 0 \wedge h(\theta) = 0$.

Ex - Hardy-Weinberg H:
homo/heterozygote freqs.


$$\theta \geq 0 \mid \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 1 ;$$

$$h(\theta) = (1 - \sqrt{\theta_1})^2 - \theta_3 .$$

$$p_n(\theta \mid X) \propto p_0(\theta)L(\theta \mid X)$$

$$\propto \theta_1^{x_1+y_1} \theta_2^{x_2+y_2} \theta_3^{x_3+y_3} ;$$

$$y_j = 0, \frac{-1}{2}, -1; \text{Const, Invar, MaxEnt.}$$



Reference Density and Surprise Function

- $r(\theta)$, the reference density, is a representation of no, minimal or vague information about the parameter θ . If $r \propto 1$ then $s(\theta) = p_n(\theta)$ and \bar{T} is a HPDS.
- $r(\theta)$ defines the reference metric in Θ , $dl^2 = d\theta' J(\theta) d\theta$, directly from the Fisher Information Matrix,

$$J(\theta) \equiv -\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial^2 \log p(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{X}} \left(\frac{\partial \log p(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \log p(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right).$$

- The *surprise function*, $s(\theta) = p_n(\theta)/r(\theta)$, measures changes in the posterior relative to the reference density.
- The 'hat' and 'star' superscripts indicate unconstrained and constrained (to the hypothesis H) maximal arguments and supremal surprise values, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s} &= \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} s(\theta), & \hat{\theta} &= \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} s(\theta), \\ s^* &= \sup_{\theta \in H} s(\theta), & \theta^* &= \arg \max_{\theta \in H} s(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Model's Truth Function and Epistemic Value of H

- The surprise function's v -cut, $T(v)$, and its complement, the *highest surprise function set* (HSFS) above level v , $\bar{T}(v)$, are

$$T(v) = \{\theta \in \Theta \mid s(\theta) \leq v\}, \quad \bar{T}(v) = \Theta - T(v),$$

- If the reference density is the uniform (possibly improper) density, $r(\theta) \propto 1$, then $s(\theta) \propto p_n(\theta)$ and the HSFS are standard *highest probability density sets* (HPDS)
- The statistical model's *truth function*, $W(v)$, is the cumulative probability function up to surprise level v , $0 \leq v \leq \hat{s}$.

$$W(v) = \int_{T(v)} p_n(\theta) d\theta.$$

- Finally, the e -value (epistemic value) of hypothesis H , is

$$\text{ev}(H) = W(v^*).$$

e-values have a Logic! (compositionality rules)

- H in Homogeneous Disjunctive Normal **Logical** Form: Independent statistical Models, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, each model with stated Hypotheses $H^{(i,j)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, defining the Structures $M^{(i,j)} = \{\Theta^j, H^{(i,j)}, p_0^j, p_n^j, r^j\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}(H) &= \text{ev} \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^q \bigwedge_{j=1}^k H^{(i,j)} \right) = \max_{i=1}^q \text{ev} \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^k H^{(i,j)} \right) \\ &= W \left(\max_{i=1}^q \prod_{j=1}^k s^{*(i,j)} \right), \quad W = \bigotimes_{1 \leq j \leq k} W^j. \end{aligned}$$

- Composition operators: max and \otimes (Mellin convolution);
- Invariant **Possibilistic Belief Calculus** defined over the statistical model's Posterior *Probability* (invariant) Measure;
- Classical logic limit: If all $\text{ev}(H^{i,j}) \simeq 0 \vee 1$, then $\text{ev}(H) \simeq 0 \vee 1$.

e-values deserve an Epistemological Framework

- p -value - Ronald Fisher, Jerzy Neyman, Egon Pearson;
 - **Epistemology**: *Falsificationism* - Karl Popper;
 - Metaphor: “The Scientific Tribunal”.
-
- Bayes Factor - Bruno deFinetti, L.J. Savage, I.J. Good;
 - **Epistem**: *Utility Th.* - John v. Neumann, Oskar Morgenstern;
 - Metaphor: “The Scientific Casino”, “Betting odds”.
-
- e-values - Carlos A.B. Pereira, J.M. Stern, S. Wechsler...
 - **Epistem**: *Cognitive Constructivism* - Humberto Maturana, Francisco Varela, Heinz von Foerster; + *Invariance* - Felix Klein, A. Einstein, Emmy Noether, Eugene Wigner, Hermann Weyl;
 - Metaphors: “Objects are Tokens for Eigen-Solutions”;
 - + “Objectivity means invariance by a group of automorphisms”.
 - Essential propert.: Precise, Stable, Separable, Composable.

Innovation - Some Characteristics and Advice

- Fire uphill, Water downhill and True Innovation, nobody can stop, contain, fence, lock inside a box...
- Innovation likes to “jump fences”, inspiring / stimulating trans-disciplinarity, systemic thinking, holistic approaches.
- Who invented: Fourier and Wavelet analysis? Kalman filter? MCMC / Particle filters? Rank reducing matrix factorizations?
- ...Simplex? ParTan method? (G. Dantzig, O. Kempthorne)
- Do your work, publish it and, most importantly, ...enjoy it!
- Publish! Fast! ...where more relevant for you(r) readers.
- Doing so establishes intellectual rights and responsibilities, tracks ownerships and accountabilities of all involved parties.
- Patent, Copy-Right; or Copy-Left, GPLicence it; but do it!
- Avoid outsourced jobs in “assembly line” research programs.
- Disregard narrow-minded scope / publication control policies.
- No *vira-latas** complex!

*Nelson Rodrigues - Brazilian mongrel, stray dog.

Getting it Done - Analysis: Divide and Conquer

- Strategies and Tactics for Software & Technological Projects -
 - Make it easy for potential users to actually use your stuff!
 - Almost invariably this means: Implement software package(s).
 - Plan for a cascade unfolding of manageable tasks, from High-level prototypes to Low-level production software.
 - Critical tasks: Parallel teams, alternative/competing solutions.
 - May use (but do not be manipulated by) Integration Agents:
 - Volunteer only for the tasks you want (+time & competence);
 - Have well defined “borders” (tasks, environ., interfaces, I/O).
 - Use only reliable, stable & well -developed / -documented, programming environments (ANSI C, Octave, R, Python, etc.);
 - GPL: *GNU is good for you!* - Even in commercial projects!
 - Avoid uncontrollable dependencies, draconian conditions, etc. (have your development tools' source code)

Getting it Used - Synthesis: Unite and Conquer

- Finding Interesting (my personal taste) Theoretical Projects -
 - New algorithms that make methods more efficient.
 - New methods that meet real demands / applications.
 - Breakthrough interpretations based on new methods.
 - Ex.: Finding new causal links / relational pathways
 - Theoretical foundations for new methods.
 - Theoretical repercussion of new developments in related areas, ex: Statistics to/from Probability, Numerical analysis, Optimization, Logic, Epistemology, etc.
 - All of the above have a tendency to integrate distinct (sub)areas, and disciplines, **make new connections**, etc.

- M.S.Lauretto, C.A.B.Pereira, J.M.Stern (2008). 28th MaxEnt, Int. Work. on Bayesian Inference & Maximum Entropy Methods in Science and Engineering. *AIP proc.* 1073.
- J.M.Stern, M.S.Lauretto, A.Polpo, M.A.Diniz (2012). EBEB-2012, XI Brazilian Meeting on Bayesian Statistics. *American Institute of Physics Conference Proceedings*, v.1490.
- P.J.Fernandes, J.M.Stern, M.S.Lauretto (2007). A New Media Optimizer Based on the Mean-Variance Model. *ARF-05 / Pesquisa Operacional*, 27, 427-456.
- M.Lauretto et al. (2009). A Straightforward Multiallelic Significance Test for the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium Law. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 32, 3, 619-625.
- M.Lauretto, F.Nakano, C.A.B.Pereira, J.M.Stern (2009). Hierarchical Forecasting with Polynomial Nets. *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, 199, 305-315.
- C.A.B.Pereira, J.M.Stern, S.Wechsler (2008). Can a Significance Test Be Genuinely Bayesian? *Bayesian Analysis*, 3, 1, 79-100.
- W.Borges, J.M.Stern (2007). The Rules of Logic Composition for the Bayesian Epistemic e-Values. *Logic J. of the IGPL*, 15, 5-6, 401-420.
- J.M.Stern, C.A.B.Pereira (2014). Bayesian Epistemic Values: Focus on Surprise, Measure Probability! *Logic J. of the IGPL*, 22, 2, 236-254.
- J.M.Stern (2014) Cognitive-Constructivism, Quine, Dogmas of Empiricism, and Münchhausen's Trilemma. To appear in the proceedings of EBEB-2014.
- F.V.Cerezetti, J.M.Stern (2012). Non-Arbitrage in Financial Markets: A Bayesian Approach for Verification. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1490, 87-96.
- C.Humes, M.S.Lauretto, F.Nakano, C.A.B.Pereira, G.Rafare, J.M.Stern (2012). TORC3 Token-Ring Clearing Heuristic for Currency Circulation. *AIP* 1490, 179-188.
- V.Fossaluzza, M.S.Lauretto, C.A.B.Pereira, J.M.Stern (2014). Combining Optimization and Randomization Approaches for the Design of Clinical Trials. EBEB-2014.