

Simple classical Lie algebras in characteristic 2 and their gradations, I.

A. N. Grishkov* M. Guerreiro†

June 20, 2002

1 Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let B be a Chevalley \mathbb{Z} -form of a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra. The Lie algebra $A = (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k)/Z$, where Z is the centre of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$, is called a classical Lie algebra over k . This is a universal definition of classical Lie algebras over k [Hu1]. Obviously, this definition is external with respect to the field k . If $p > 3$, then there exists an internal characterization of classical Lie algebras given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *[Pr] A Lie algebra L over a field k of characteristic $p > 3$ is classical if and only if L has no elements a such that $(\text{ad}(a))^2 = 0$.*

Definition 1.1. *Let L be an algebra and $S \cong sl_2(k)$ be a subalgebra of $\text{Der } L$ (the Lie algebra of derivations of L). We say that a pair (L, S) is **semisimple***

*Supported by FAPEMIG as visitor to the Depto. de Matemática - UFV in February 1999

†Supported by FAPESP as visitor to IME-USP in February 2000

if L , as an S -module, is of the form

$$L = \sum_i \oplus S_i \oplus \sum_j \oplus V_j$$

where each V_j is an irreducible two dimensional S -module each S_i is a S -submodule of $M_2(k)$, where $M_2(k)$ is the space of 2 by 2 matrices with the following action of $sl_2(k)$: $x \cdot y = xy - yx$.

Now we can formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *A finite dimensional Lie algebra L over a field k is classical if and only if there exists a semisimple pair (L, S) .*

In this work we give the first steps towards proving this conjecture for the case where k has characteristic 2. We note that in characteristic $p > 2$ the algebra S is semisimple but if k has characteristic 2 then S is nilpotent.

Let V be a k -vector space of finite dimension n and f be a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on V . Consider the k -space $\{X \in \text{End}(V) \mid f(vX, v) = 0, \forall v \in V\}$ and denote it by B_ℓ if $n = 2\ell + 1$, by C_ℓ (D_ℓ) if $n = 2\ell$ and $f(v, v) = 0, \forall v \in V$ (resp. there exists $v \in V$ such that $f(v, v) = 1$). Note that B_ℓ , C_ℓ and D_ℓ are Lie algebras.

From now on we assume that $\text{char } k = 2$. In Theorem 3.1 of this paper, we classify all semisimple pairs (L, S) where S belongs to a family of nilpotent algebras that we define in Section 3. As a corollary, we obtain a construction of the simple Lie algebras over a field of characteristic 2 of types $B_{2\ell}$, $C_{2\ell}$, E_7 and E_8 and some representations of these algebras.

2 Even sets

Definition 2.1. *Let $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. We call $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{P}(I_n) = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \subseteq I_n\}$ an **even set** if for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{a}$, we have $|\sigma| \equiv |\tau| \equiv 0$ and $|\sigma \cap \tau| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.*

We note that $\mathcal{P}(I_n)$ is an elementary abelian group with the operation $\sigma\Delta\tau = (\sigma \setminus \tau) \cup (\tau \setminus \sigma)$.

Lemma 2.1. *If \mathfrak{a} is an even set, then so is $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ (the group generated by \mathfrak{a}).*

Proof. If \mathfrak{a} is even and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $|\sigma|, |\tau|, |\sigma \cap \tau|, |\sigma \setminus \tau|, |\tau \setminus \sigma|$ are all even numbers. Hence $|\sigma\Delta\tau| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Now let also $\varphi \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $|\varphi \setminus \sigma| \equiv 0$ and $|\varphi \setminus \tau| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. But as $\varphi \cap \sigma = ((\varphi \cap \sigma) \setminus \tau) \cup (\varphi \cap \sigma \cap \tau)$ and $\varphi \cap \tau = ((\varphi \cap \tau) \setminus \sigma) \cup (\varphi \cap \tau \cap \sigma)$, then $|\varphi \cap \sigma| + |\varphi \cap \tau| \equiv |(\varphi \cap \sigma) \setminus \tau| + |(\varphi \cap \tau) \setminus \sigma| = |\varphi \cap (\sigma\Delta\tau)| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. \square

Definition 2.2. *A subset $\sigma \subseteq I_n$ is called **\mathfrak{a} -even** if $|\mu \cap \tau| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{a}$. A subset $B \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ is called an **\mathfrak{a} -even set** if all its elements are \mathfrak{a} -even.*

Now as an easy corollary of Lemma 2.1 we get:

Lemma 2.2. *If $\sigma \subseteq I_n$ is \mathfrak{a} -even, then σ is $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ -even.*

For an even set $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{P}(I_n)$, we introduce a commutative algebra $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ with basis $\{e_i, h_i, f_i, h^\sigma \mid i \in I_n, \sigma \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle \setminus \{\emptyset\}\}$ and multiplication given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_i f_i &= h_i, \\ e_i h^\sigma &= e_i, \quad f_i h^\sigma = f_i, \quad \text{for } i \in \sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and zero for all other cases. Define $h^\emptyset = 0$.

Definition 2.3. *A subset H of $\mathcal{P}(I_n)$ is **connected** if, for every partition $I_n = I \cup J$, there is $\sigma \in H$ such that $\sigma \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma \cap J \neq \emptyset$.*

3 Module Algebras

The definitions given in this section follow the ideas developed by Grishkov in [G1], [G2] where he describes a new way of writing a basis for Lie algebras by connecting them to a category of graded algebras.

Let $\mathbf{a} \subset \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ be an even set. For $\mu \subseteq I_n$, denote $h_\mu = \sum_{i \in \mu} h_i$ and $h_\emptyset = 0$.

It is easy to show that the algebra $\tilde{S}(\mathbf{a})$ contains a central ideal I generated by $\{h^\sigma + h^\tau + h^{\sigma\Delta\tau} + h_{\sigma\cap\tau} \mid \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{a}\}$. We denote

$$S(\mathbf{a}) = S = \tilde{S}(\mathbf{a})/I. \quad (2)$$

Note that $h^\sigma + h^\sigma + h^\emptyset + h_\sigma \in I$, so $h_\sigma \in I$. Hence, in $S(\mathbf{a})$, $h_\sigma = 0$, since $h^\emptyset = 0$.

For every \mathbf{a} -even set σ , define an S -module Λ_σ whose basis is $\{(\sigma, \mu) \mid \mu \subseteq \sigma\}$ and the S -action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma, \mu) e_i &= (\sigma, \mu \cup i), \quad i \in \sigma \setminus \mu; \\ (\sigma, \mu) f_i &= (\sigma, \mu \setminus i), \quad i \in \mu; \\ (\sigma, \mu) h_i &= (\sigma, \mu), \quad i \in \sigma; \\ (\sigma, \mu) h^\varphi &= \left(\frac{|\sigma \cap \varphi|}{2} + |\varphi \cap \mu| \right) (\sigma, \mu), \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathbf{a}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and, by Lemma 2.2, for all other cases the action is zero.

To prove that this is the right definition for this S -module, it is sufficient to show that $(\sigma, \mu)I = 0$. Indeed, for $\varphi, \tau, \varphi\Delta\tau \in \mathbf{a}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma, \mu) (h^\varphi + h^\tau + h^{\tau\Delta\varphi} + \sum_{i \in \varphi \cap \tau} h_i) &= \\ (\sigma, \mu) ((|\varphi \cap \sigma| + |\sigma \cap \tau| + |(\tau\Delta\varphi) \cap \sigma|)/2 + |\varphi \cap \mu| + |\tau \cap \mu| + |(\tau\Delta\varphi) \cap \mu| \\ &+ |\sigma \cap \varphi \cap \tau|) = ((|\varphi \cap \sigma \cap \tau| + |(\varphi \setminus \tau) \cap \sigma|)/2 + (|\sigma \cap \tau \cap \varphi| + \\ &+ |(\tau \setminus \varphi) \cap \sigma|)/2 + (|(\tau \setminus \varphi) \cap \sigma| + |(\varphi \setminus \tau) \cap \sigma|)/2 + |\varphi \cap \mu \cap \tau| + \\ &+ |(\varphi \setminus \tau) \cap \mu| + |\tau \cap \mu \cap \varphi| + |(\tau \setminus \varphi) \cap \mu| + |(\tau \setminus \varphi) \cap \mu| \\ &+ |(\varphi \setminus \tau) \cap \mu| + |\sigma \cap \varphi \cap \tau|) (\sigma, \mu) \\ &= (|\varphi \cap \sigma \cap \tau| + |(\varphi \setminus \tau) \cap \sigma| + |(\tau \setminus \varphi) \cap \sigma| + |\sigma \cap \varphi \cap \tau|) (\sigma, \mu) \\ &= |(\varphi\Delta\tau) \cap \sigma| (\sigma, \mu) = 0, \quad \text{since } \sigma, \varphi\Delta\tau \in \mathbf{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\sigma, \mu)I = 0$, as required.

Now let $\Delta = \{0\} \cup \mathbf{a}$.

Definition 3.1. An algebra A is called a Δ -algebra if $A = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \oplus A_\alpha$ and, for every $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathfrak{a}$, we have $A_\alpha A_\beta \subseteq A_{\alpha \Delta \beta}$, $A_0^2 \subseteq A_0$, $A_0 A_\alpha \subseteq A_\alpha$, $A_\alpha A_\alpha \subseteq A_0 + A_\emptyset$ and $A_0 A_\emptyset = 0$.

Define a commutative Δ -graded algebra Λ as follows. As a k -space, Λ is

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \bigoplus \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{a}} \oplus \Lambda_\sigma, \quad \text{where } \Lambda_0 = S(\mathfrak{a}). \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $S = S(\mathfrak{a})$ is a subalgebra of Λ and, by (3), each Λ_σ is an S -module.

For $\sigma \neq \tau \in \mathfrak{a}$, the multiplication is given by

$$(\sigma, \mu)(\tau, \varphi) = (\sigma \Delta \tau, (\mu \setminus \tau) \cup (\varphi \setminus \sigma)), \quad \text{if } \mu \cap \varphi = \emptyset, \mu \cup \varphi \supset \sigma \cap \tau. \quad (5)$$

$$(\sigma, \mu)(\sigma, \varphi) = \begin{cases} e_i, & \mu \cap \varphi = i, \mu \cup \varphi = \sigma, \\ f_i, & \mu \cap \varphi = \emptyset, \mu \cup \varphi = \sigma \setminus i, \\ h^\sigma + h_\varphi + (\emptyset, \emptyset), & \mu \cap \varphi = \emptyset, \mu \cup \varphi = \sigma, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and all other products are zero.

Note that in the last case of (6), since $h_\varphi + h_\mu = h_\sigma = 0$, then

$$(\sigma, \mu)(\sigma, \varphi) = h^\sigma + h_\varphi + (\emptyset, \emptyset) = (\sigma, \varphi)(\sigma, \mu) = h^\sigma + h_\mu + (\emptyset, \emptyset).$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ be an even set and Λ be the algebra defined before. Then

$$Z(\Lambda) = \{h_\mu \mid \mu \subseteq I_n, \mu \text{ is } \mathfrak{a} - \text{even}\}.$$

Proof. Let $h = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i h_i \in Z(\Lambda)$ with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in k$. Then $[(\sigma, \sigma), h] =$

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \sigma} \alpha_i \right) (\sigma, \sigma) = 0 \text{ so that}$$

$$\sum_{i \in \sigma} \alpha_i = 0, \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{a}. \quad (7)$$

Let us consider (7) as a linear system with coefficients in the field $\mathbf{F}_2 = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. Then there exists a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ of solutions of this system defined over \mathbf{F}_2 . This means that

$$v_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in}), \quad v_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_2, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

For each i , denote $\mu_i = \{j \in I_n \mid v_{ij} = 1\}$ and $h_\mu = \sum_{i \in \mu} h_i$. From (7), it follows that $\sum_{j \in \sigma} v_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \sigma \cap \mu_i} v_{ij} = |\sigma \cap \mu_i| = 0$. Hence μ_1, \dots, μ_m are all \mathfrak{a} -even sets and $h_{\mu_1}, \dots, h_{\mu_m}$ is a basis of $Z(\Lambda)$. \square

Recall the definition of the product of two Δ -algebras. Let $A = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \oplus A_\alpha$ and $B = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \oplus B_\alpha$ be two Δ -algebras. Then $A \square B = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \oplus A_\alpha \otimes B_\alpha$ is a Δ -algebra with multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot]$ given by

$$[a_\alpha \otimes b_\alpha, a_\beta \otimes b_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} c_\gamma \otimes d_\gamma, \quad \text{if } a_\alpha a_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} c_\gamma, \quad b_\alpha b_\beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} d_\gamma.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an even set, $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\Delta = \{0\} \cup \mathfrak{a}$. Let $M = M_0 \oplus \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{a}} \oplus M_\sigma$ be a commutative Δ -algebra. Then the algebra $L = \Lambda \square M$ is a Lie algebra if and only if M satisfies the following Δ -identities:*

$$a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\lambda = 0, \quad |\sigma \cap \lambda \cap \tau| > 1, \quad \sigma \neq \tau \neq \lambda \neq \sigma \neq \tau \Delta \lambda, \quad (8)$$

$$a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\lambda = a_\sigma \cdot b_\tau c_\lambda, \quad |\sigma \cap \tau \cap \lambda| = 1, \quad \sigma \neq \tau \neq \lambda \neq \sigma \neq \tau \Delta \lambda, \quad (9)$$

$$a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\lambda + b_\tau c_\lambda \cdot a_\sigma + c_\lambda a_\sigma \cdot b_\tau = 0, \quad |\sigma \cap \tau \cap \lambda| = 0, \quad \sigma \neq \tau \neq \lambda \neq \sigma \neq \tau \Delta \lambda, \quad (10)$$

$$(a_\sigma b_\sigma)_0 c_\tau = (a_\sigma b_\sigma)_\emptyset c_\tau = 0, \quad \sigma \neq \tau, \quad |\sigma \cap \tau| > 2, \quad (11)$$

$$(a_\sigma b_\sigma)_\emptyset c_\tau = 0, \quad (a_\sigma b_\sigma)_0 c_\tau = a_\sigma c_\tau \cdot b_\sigma, \quad \sigma \neq \tau, \quad |\sigma \cap \tau| = 2, \quad (12)$$

$$a_\sigma c_\tau \cdot b_\sigma = a_\sigma \cdot c_\tau b_\sigma + (a_\sigma b_\sigma)_\emptyset c_\tau, \quad |\sigma \cap \tau| = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$(a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\lambda)_0 = (a_\sigma \cdot b_\tau c_\lambda)_0, \quad \lambda = \sigma \Delta \tau, \quad (14)$$

$$(ab)_0 c + \left(\frac{|\tau|}{2} + 1\right) (ca)_0 b + (ca)_\emptyset b = 0, \quad a, b, c \in M_\tau, \quad |\tau| > 2, \quad (15)$$

$$(ab)_0 c = (cb)_0 a, \quad (ca)_\emptyset b = 0, \quad a, b, c \in M_\tau, \quad |\tau| = 4, \quad (16)$$

$$(ab)_0 c + (bc)_0 a = (ac)_\emptyset b, \quad a, b, c \in M_\tau, \quad |\tau| = 2, \quad (17)$$

$$(a_0 x) y = a_0 (xy), \quad \forall x, y \in M, \quad (18)$$

$$(a_\sigma b_\sigma)_0 c_\tau = 0, \quad |\sigma| > 4, \quad (19)$$

$$(a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\lambda)_\emptyset + (b_\tau c_\lambda \cdot a_\sigma)_\emptyset + (c_\lambda a_\sigma \cdot b_\tau)_\emptyset = 0, \quad \sigma = \lambda \Delta \tau, \quad (20)$$

$$(ab)_\emptyset c + (ac)_\emptyset b = (ab)_0 c + (ac)_0 b, \quad a, b, c \in M_\sigma, |\sigma| = 2, \quad (21)$$

$$a_\emptyset \cdot (b_\sigma c_\tau) = (a_\emptyset b_\sigma) \cdot c_\tau, \quad \sigma \neq \tau, \sigma \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \tau \neq \emptyset, \quad (22)$$

$$(a_\emptyset b_\sigma \cdot c_\sigma)_\emptyset + (b_\sigma c_\sigma)_\emptyset \cdot a_\emptyset + (c_\sigma a_\emptyset \cdot b_\sigma)_\emptyset = 0 \quad \forall \sigma, \quad (23)$$

$$a_\sigma b_0 \cdot c_0 = a_\sigma \cdot b_0 c_0, \quad \sigma \neq \emptyset, \quad (24)$$

$$(a_\sigma b_\sigma)_0 \cdot c_0 = (a_\sigma c_0 \cdot b_\sigma)_0, \quad \sigma \neq \emptyset, \quad (25)$$

$$(a_\sigma c_0 \cdot b_\sigma)_\emptyset = (b_\sigma c_0 \cdot a_\sigma)_\emptyset, \quad \sigma \neq \emptyset, \quad (26)$$

$$(a_\tau x) y + (a_\tau y) x + a_\tau (x y) = 0 \quad x, y \in M_\emptyset, \quad (27)$$

$$(a_\emptyset b_\sigma \cdot c_\sigma)_0 = (a_\emptyset c_\sigma \cdot b_\sigma)_0, \quad \sigma \neq \emptyset. \quad (28)$$

Proof. Suppose that $L = \Lambda \square M$ is a Lie algebra.

Let $a = (\sigma, \mu) \otimes a_\sigma$, $b = (\tau, \varphi) \otimes b_\tau$, $c = (\lambda, \psi) \otimes c_\lambda$ be elements in L . Set $t_1 = [[a, b], c]$, $t_2 = [[b, c], a]$, $t_3 = [[a, c], b]$. By Jacobi's identity we must have $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 0$. Thus, if $\mu = \sigma$, $\varphi = \emptyset$, $\psi = (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i$, $i \in \sigma \cap \tau \cap \lambda$, then we have $t_1 = [(\sigma \Delta \tau, \sigma \setminus \tau) \otimes a_\sigma b_\tau, (\lambda, (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i) \otimes c_\lambda] = (\sigma \Delta \tau \Delta \lambda, ((\sigma \Delta \lambda) \setminus \tau) \cup i) \otimes (a_\sigma b_\tau) c_\lambda = 0$, because $t_2 = [((\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a_\sigma, (\lambda, (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i) \otimes c_\lambda], (\tau, \emptyset) \otimes b_\tau] = 0$ since $\sigma \cap ((\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i) = i \neq \emptyset$ as $i \in \sigma$ (so identity (5) does not apply) and $t_3 = [((\tau, \emptyset) \otimes b_\tau, (\lambda, (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i) \otimes c_\lambda], (\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a_\sigma] = 0$, as there exists $j \in (\sigma \cap \tau \cap \lambda) \setminus i$ and $j \notin (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i$. Therefore, $(a_\sigma b_\tau) c_\lambda = 0$ and this proves (8).

Now if $\mu = \sigma$, $\varphi = \emptyset$ and $\psi = (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i$, $i = \sigma \cap \tau \cap \lambda$, then we have $t_1 = [(\sigma \Delta \tau, \sigma \setminus \tau) \otimes a_\sigma b_\tau, (\lambda, (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i) \otimes c_\lambda] = (\sigma \Delta \tau \Delta \lambda, ((\sigma \Delta \lambda) \setminus \tau) \cup i) \otimes a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\lambda$, $t_2 = [((\tau \Delta \lambda, ((\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i) \setminus \tau)) \otimes b_\tau c_\lambda, (\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a_\sigma] = (\tau \Delta \lambda \Delta \sigma, ((\sigma \Delta \lambda) \setminus \tau) \cup i) \otimes b_\tau c_\lambda \cdot a_\sigma$ and $t_3 = [((\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a_\sigma, (\lambda, (\lambda \setminus \sigma) \cup i) \otimes c_\lambda], (\tau, \emptyset) \otimes b_\tau] = 0$, since $i \in \sigma$. This proves (9).

If $\mu = \sigma$, $\varphi = \emptyset$, $\psi = \tau \cap \lambda$ then $t_1 = (\sigma \Delta \tau \Delta \lambda, \sigma \setminus (\tau \Delta \lambda)) \otimes a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\lambda$, $t_2 = (\sigma \Delta \tau \Delta \lambda, \sigma \setminus (\tau \Delta \lambda)) \otimes b_\tau c_\lambda \cdot a_\sigma$, $t_3 = (\sigma \Delta \tau \Delta \lambda, \sigma \setminus (\tau \Delta \lambda)) \otimes a_\sigma c_\lambda \cdot b_\tau$. Hence

$t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 0$ implies (10).

For $\mu = \tau = \lambda = \sigma$, $\varphi = \psi = \emptyset$, we have $t_1 = [h^\sigma + (\emptyset, \emptyset) \otimes (ab)_0, (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes c] = (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes (ab)_0 c + (ab)_\emptyset c$; $t_2 = [h^\sigma + (\emptyset, \emptyset) \otimes (ac)_0, (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes b] = (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes (ac)_0 b + (ac)_\emptyset b$ and $t_3 = [((\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes b, (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes c), (\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a] = 0$. This proves (21).

For (23) and (28), by (5) and (6), $t_1 = [((\emptyset, \emptyset) \otimes a_\emptyset, (\sigma, \sigma) \otimes b_\sigma), (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes c_\sigma] = ((a_\emptyset b_\sigma) c_\sigma)_0 \otimes h^\sigma + ((a_\emptyset b_\sigma) c_\sigma)_\emptyset \otimes (\emptyset, \emptyset)$, $t_2 = [((\emptyset, \emptyset) \otimes a_\emptyset, (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes c_\sigma), (\sigma, \sigma) \otimes b_\sigma] = ((a_\emptyset c_\sigma) b_\sigma)_0 \otimes h^\sigma + ((a_\emptyset c_\sigma) b_\sigma)_\emptyset \otimes (\emptyset, \emptyset)$ and $t_3 = [((\sigma, \sigma) \otimes b_\sigma, (\sigma, \emptyset) \otimes c_\sigma), (\emptyset, \emptyset) \otimes a_\emptyset] = (b_\sigma c_\sigma)_\emptyset a_\emptyset \otimes (\emptyset, \emptyset)$. Hence, as our algebra is Δ -graded, we get $(a_\emptyset b_\sigma \cdot c_\sigma)_\emptyset + (b_\sigma c_\sigma)_\emptyset \cdot a_\emptyset + (c_\sigma a_\emptyset \cdot b_\sigma)_\emptyset = 0$ and $(a_\emptyset b_\sigma \cdot c_\sigma)_0 = (a_\emptyset c_\sigma \cdot b_\sigma)_0$, as required.

For (25) and (26), by (3) and (6), for $i \in \sigma$, $t_1 = [((\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a_\sigma, (\sigma, i) \otimes b_\sigma), f_i \otimes c_0] = ((a_\sigma b_\sigma)_0 c_0)_0 \otimes h_i$; $t_2 = [((\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a_\sigma, f_i \otimes c_0), (\sigma, i) \otimes b_\sigma] = ((a_\sigma c_0) b_\sigma)_0 \otimes (h^\sigma + h_i) + ((a_\sigma c_0) b_\sigma)_\emptyset \otimes (\emptyset, \emptyset)$ and $t_3 = [((\sigma, i) \otimes b_\sigma, f_i \otimes c_0), (\sigma, \sigma) \otimes a_\sigma] = ((b_\sigma c_0) a_\sigma)_0 \otimes (h^\sigma + \sum_{i \in \sigma} h_i) + ((b_\sigma c_0) a_\sigma)_\emptyset \otimes (\emptyset, \emptyset)$ and the identities follow because of the grading of the algebra. The proof of the other identities are left as an easy exercise to the reader. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ be an even set such that $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{a}$ and $\Delta = \{0\} \cup \mathfrak{a}$. Let \mathcal{M} be the variety of Δ -algebras satisfying identities (8) to (28). Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be a simple algebra (containing no graded ideals). If $\mathfrak{M} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{a} \mid M_\sigma \neq 0\}$, then*

- (a) *for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$, we have $|\sigma| = 2$ or 4.*
- (b) *If $\sigma \neq \tau \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\sigma \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$ then $\sigma \Delta \tau \in \mathfrak{M}$.*
- (c) *\mathfrak{M} is connected.*

Proof. Note that, by (18), M_0 is commutative and associative. Moreover M_0 is in the associative centre of the algebra M . (By definition, the associative centre of an algebra A is the set $C(A) = \{a \in A \mid (a, A, A) = (A, a, A) = (A, A, a) = 0\}$, where $(x, y, z) = xy \cdot z - x \cdot yz$.) If M_0 is not semisimple,

then M_0 contains an element a such that $a^2 = 0$. But in this case, aM is a nilpotent ideal. If M_0 is semisimple, but not simple, then there exist two orthogonal idempotent elements e_1 and e_2 such that $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i$. In this case, $e_i M$ are proper ideals of M . Hence M_0 is simple and $M_0 = k s$ with $s^2 = s$.

We define a symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)$ on the algebra M as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (s, s) &= 1, \\ (a_\sigma, b_\sigma) &= \alpha, \text{ if } (a_\sigma b_\sigma)_0 = \alpha s, \\ (a_\sigma, b_\tau) &= 0, \text{ for } \sigma \neq \tau. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By (14) and (18), this form is invariant $(xy, z) = (x, yz)$ and non trivial, hence it is non-degenerate. But $(a_\sigma, b_\sigma) = 0$ if $|\sigma| > 4$, by (19). Therefore, $M_\sigma = 0$ when $|\sigma| > 4$. Thus, (a) is proved.

Now if $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\sigma \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$, $\sigma \neq \tau$, then by (12) $a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\sigma = a_\sigma c_\sigma \cdot b_\tau$, since $|\sigma|, |\tau| \leq 4$ and $|\sigma \cap \tau| = 2$. If $M_\sigma M_\tau = 0$ and $a_\sigma c_\sigma = \alpha s + k_\emptyset$, then $0 = a_\sigma b_\tau \cdot c_\sigma = a_\sigma c_\sigma \cdot b_\tau = \alpha s b_\tau + k_\emptyset b_\tau$, that is, $\alpha s b_\tau = k_\emptyset b_\tau$. As the bilinear form is non-degenerate, $\alpha \neq 0$ for all $b_\tau \in M_\tau$, but by (12) $(a_\sigma c_\sigma)_\emptyset \cdot b_\tau = 0$. Hence $k_\emptyset b_\tau = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $M_\sigma M_\tau \neq 0$ and $\sigma \Delta \tau \in \mathfrak{M}$. This proves (b). Part (c) is obvious as M is a simple algebra. \square

We observe that if M is simple, then $L = \Lambda \square M$ is not necessarily a simple algebra, but $L/Z(L)$ is a simple algebra, where $Z(L)$ is the center of L .

In order to define a symmetric invariant bilinear form on $L = \Lambda \square M$, we need the corresponding form on the algebra Λ as follows.

Proposition 3.3. *For the Δ -graded algebra $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \oplus \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{a}} \Lambda_\sigma$ defined in (4), where $\Lambda_0 = S(\mathfrak{a})$, a symmetric bilinear form is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} ((\emptyset, \emptyset), (\emptyset, \emptyset)) &= ((\sigma, \mu), (\sigma, \bar{\mu})) = (e_i, f_i) = 1, \text{ for } \mu \subseteq \sigma, \\ (h^\sigma, h^\tau) &= \frac{|\sigma \cap \tau|}{2}, \\ (h^\sigma, h_i) &= |\sigma \cap i| \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and in all other cases the bilinear form is zero, is invariant. The kernel of this bilinear form is $N(\Lambda) = Z(\Lambda)$.

Thus if the algebra M has a bilinear form then $L = \Lambda \square M$ also does and it is given by

$$\left(\sum \lambda_i \otimes m_i, \sum \mu_j \otimes n_j \right) = \sum (\lambda_i, \mu_j) (m_i, n_j). \quad (31)$$

Moreover, the kernel $N(\Lambda \square M) = N(\Lambda) \square M + \Lambda \square N(M)$.

For each subset $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ denote $\overline{P}(I_n) = \{\sigma \subseteq I_n \mid I_n \setminus \sigma \in P\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the same hypotheses of Lemma 3.1, for $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mathfrak{M} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{a} \mid M_\sigma \neq 0\}$ is one of the following sets:*

- (i) $\{(2i-1, 2i, 2j-1, 2j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} = \mathcal{C}_{2\ell}$,
- (ii) $\{(2i-1, 2i, 2j-1, 2j), (2i-1, 2i) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\} = \mathcal{B}_{2\ell}$,
- (iii) $\{(1234), (1256), (1357), (3456), (2457), (2367), (1467)\} = \mathcal{E}_7$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{E}_7 \cup \{\overline{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_7, \overline{\sigma} = I_8 \setminus \sigma\} = \mathcal{E}_8$.

Proof. Recall that \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{M} are subsets of $\mathcal{P}(I_n)$. Since \mathfrak{M} is connected, Lemma 3.1 holds. Set $\mathfrak{M}_2 = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{M} \mid |\sigma| = 2\}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_4 = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{M} \mid |\sigma| = 4\}$. We use induction on $n \geq 2$. For $n = 2$, $\mathfrak{M} = \{(12)\} = \mathcal{B}_2$. Let $n > 2$.

1) Suppose that $\mathfrak{M}_2 \neq \emptyset$.

First we claim that if $I = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_2} \tau \neq I_n$, then $I_n = I \cup J$ (with $J = I_n \setminus I$) and, for every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}_4$, $\sigma \subseteq I$ or $\sigma \subseteq J$. Indeed, if $\sigma \not\subseteq I$ but $\sigma \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ such that $\sigma \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$ meaning that $\tau \subset \sigma$. Thus, $\sigma \Delta \tau = \sigma \setminus \tau \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ and $\sigma \setminus \tau \subseteq J$, contradicting the definition of I .

However, $I = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_2} \tau \neq I_n$ implies that \mathfrak{M} is not connected, a contradiction.

Hence, $I_n = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{M}_2} \tau$.

Now it is clear that $\tau \cap \mu = \emptyset$, for all $\tau \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_2$. Therefore, in this case, we have $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{B}_{2\ell}$.

2) For $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_4$, if $n > 4$ then $n \geq 6$ and, without loss of generality, we have $\mathfrak{N} = \{(1234), (1256), (3456)\} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_4$. (For $n = 4$, $\mathfrak{M} = \{(1234)\}$.)

A subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ is called n -**maximal** if, for every $\xi \in I_n$ such that $|\xi| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ or there is $\eta \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $|\xi \cap \eta| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

It is clear that \mathfrak{N} is 6-maximal and, in this case, $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{C}_{2,3}$. Let $n = 7$. Then \mathfrak{N} is not 7-maximal and there are exactly four other elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(I_7)$ such that $|\varphi| = 4$ and $|\varphi \cap \sigma| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{N}$, namely, $\varphi \in \{(1357), (2457), (2367), (1467)\}$. Hence, for $n = 7$, $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_7$.

Let $n = 8$. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_7 \subset \mathfrak{M}$ and that $\{\sigma \subset I_8 \mid \sigma \notin \mathcal{E}_7, |\sigma \cap \mu| \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathcal{E}_7\} = \overline{\mathcal{E}_7} = \{\bar{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_7, \bar{\sigma} = I_8 \setminus \sigma\}$. Hence, $\overline{\mathcal{E}_7} \cap \mathfrak{M} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_8 = \overline{\mathcal{E}_7} \cup \mathcal{E}_7$. If $\mathcal{E}_7 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}$, then $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{C}_8$.

Let $n > 8$. If $\mathcal{E}_7 \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ then $\mathcal{E}_8 \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. Now let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$ be such that $\sigma \cap I_8 \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma \not\subseteq I_8$. As $|\sigma \cap \tau| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{E}_8$, then $\sigma \cap I_8$ is \mathcal{E}_8 -even. But for all $\sigma \subset I_8$, σ is \mathcal{E}_8 -even if and only if $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_8$, contradicting with $\sigma \not\subseteq I_8$. Hence, $\mathcal{E}_7 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}$. From this we have that, for all $\sigma \neq \tau \in \mathfrak{M}$ (with $\sigma \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$) and all $\psi \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus \{\sigma, \tau, \sigma \Delta \tau\}$, $\psi \cap \sigma = \emptyset$ or $\psi \cap \tau = \emptyset$ or $\psi \cap (\sigma \Delta \tau) = \emptyset$. This yields $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{C}_{2\ell}$.

□

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a Δ -algebra as in Lemma 3.2. Then M has basis $\{s, a_\sigma \mid s \in M_0, \sigma \in \mathfrak{M}\}$, with multiplication rules given by*

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= s, & s a_\sigma &= a_\sigma, & a_\sigma^2 &= s, & \text{for } s \in M_0, \sigma \in \mathfrak{M}, \\ a_\sigma a_\tau &= a_{\sigma \Delta \tau}, & & & & & \text{if } \sigma \Delta \tau \in \mathfrak{M}, \end{aligned}$$

and all other products are zero.

Proof. Let $(\ , \)$ be the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form which was introduced in Lemma 3.1. Recall that $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{a}$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}_4$. If $a \in M_\sigma$ with $a^2 = 0$, then there exists $b \in M_\sigma$ such that

$(a, b) = 1$ (that is, $ab = s$). Thus by (16), $0 = a^2 \cdot b = ab \cdot a = s \cdot a = a$. Hence $\dim M_\sigma = 1$, as any vector space V , with $\dim V > 1$, contains a vector v such that $(v, v) = 0$. Denote $a = a_\sigma$ if $a^2 = s$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_4$, $|\sigma| = 2$. Suppose that $a^2 = 0$ for every $a \in M_\sigma$. Since the bilinear form is non-degenerate, there exists $b \in M_\sigma$ such that $ab = s$. However, by identity (17), $a = ab \cdot a = b \cdot a^2 = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, there exists $a \in M_\sigma$ such that $a^2 = s$ and, for $b \in M_\sigma$, by (17), we have $b = a^2 \cdot b = ab \cdot a = \alpha a$, with $\alpha \in k$ (as $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{a}$). Hence, $M_\sigma = k a$, for $a^2 = s$.

Now fix an element $a_\sigma \in M_\sigma$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$, such that $a_\sigma^2 = s$. For $\sigma \neq \tau \in \mathfrak{M}$, with $\sigma \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$, we have by (14), $(a_\sigma a_\tau)_0^2 = (a_\sigma a_\tau \cdot (a_\sigma a_\tau))_0 = (a_\sigma (a_\tau (a_\sigma a_\tau)))_0 = (a_\sigma (a_\tau^2 a_\sigma))_0 = (a_\sigma a_\sigma)_0 = s$, as by (12), $a_\tau (a_\sigma a_\tau) = a_\tau^2 a_\sigma$. Hence, $a_\sigma a_\tau = a_{\sigma \Delta \tau}$.

Suppose that $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_4$ are such that $\sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset$. Then $\sigma \cup \tau = \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_4$ and $a_\sigma a_\mu = a_\tau$. Hence, by (12), $a_\tau a_\sigma = a_\sigma a_\mu \cdot a_\sigma = a_\sigma^2 a_\mu = a_\mu$. \square

4 Representations

Let \mathfrak{a} be an even set (with $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{a}$) and $\Delta = \{0\} \cup \mathfrak{a}$. Let $M = M_0 \oplus \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{a}} \oplus M_\sigma$ be a simple Δ -algebra and $B \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I_n)$ be an \mathfrak{a} -even set such that $B \Delta \mathfrak{a} \subseteq B$.

Definition 4.1. A k -space $V = V_0 \oplus \sum_{\mu \in B} \oplus V_\mu$ is called a M -**module** if there exist a linear map $m : V \times M \rightarrow V$ such that $V_\mu M_\sigma \subseteq V_{\mu \Delta \sigma}$, for $\mu \neq \sigma$, $V_\sigma M_\sigma \subseteq V_0 + V_\emptyset$, $V_\emptyset M_0 = 0$, and the algebra $\tilde{M} = M \oplus V$, with multiplication

$$(m_1 + v_1) \cdot (m_2 + v_2) = m_1 m_2 + v_1 m_2 + v_2 m_1,$$

satisfies the identities (3)-(28).

In this section we study the irreducible M -modules.

Suppose that V is irreducible as an M -module. Set $V' = V_0 \oplus \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mu \in B} \oplus V_\mu$.

We start with some general rules and remarks on the M -action.

Rule A. M_0 acts as identity on V' and trivially on V_\emptyset .

Indeed, let $W = \{v_\sigma \mid \sigma \neq \emptyset, v_\sigma \cdot s = 0\}$. Clearly W is a submodule of V , as for any $v_\sigma \in W$, for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{M}$, $(v_\sigma a_\tau)s = (v_\sigma \cdot s)a_\tau = 0$, using identity (18). Hence, $W = 0$, as V is irreducible, and $v_\sigma \cdot s \neq 0$, for all $\sigma \neq \emptyset$. Letting $w_\sigma = v_\sigma \cdot s$ we get, by (24), $w_\sigma \cdot s = v_\sigma \cdot s^2 = v_\sigma \cdot s = w_\sigma$. If $w_\sigma \neq v_\sigma$, then $(w_\sigma - v_\sigma) \cdot s = 0$, a contradiction. Hence, $v_\sigma \cdot s = v_\sigma$, for all $\sigma \neq \emptyset$, as required. We have $V_\emptyset M_0 = 0$, by definition of M . Thus, Rule A is proved. \square

Now let $\mu \in B$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$ be such that $\mu \neq \sigma$ and choose $0 \neq v_\mu \in V$.

Rule B. If $\mu \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ then $|\mu \cap \sigma| \leq 2$ and $v_\mu \cdot a_\sigma \neq 0$.

Indeed, if $|\mu \cap \sigma| > 2$ then, by identity (11), $v_\mu = v_\mu \cdot a_\sigma^2 = (v_\mu a_\sigma)a_\sigma = 0$, a contradiction. For $|\mu \cap \sigma| = 2$, by identity (12), $(v_\mu a_\sigma)a_\sigma = v_\mu \cdot a_\sigma^2 = v_\mu \neq 0$, hence $v_\mu a_\sigma \neq 0$. \square

NOTATION: In the sequel we use the following notation for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$:

$$\begin{aligned} V_\sigma &= V_i, & v_\sigma &= v_i, & a_\sigma &= a_i & \text{when } \sigma &= (2i-1, 2i), \\ V_\sigma &= V_{ij}, & v_\sigma &= v_{ij}, & a_\sigma &= a_{ij}, & \text{when } \sigma &= (2i-1, 2i, 2j-1, 2j). \end{aligned}$$

We define a conjugation on I_{2n} and on $\mathcal{P}(I_n)$ by

$$\bar{i} = \begin{cases} 2j, & \text{if } i = 2j - 1. \\ 2j - 1, & \text{if } i = 2j. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that conjugation is an involution.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a simple Δ -algebra as defined above and V be an irreducible M -module. Then:*

1. if $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{C}_{2\ell}$ then 1.1. $V = \langle v_0, v_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell \rangle$, where $0 \neq v_0 \in V_0$ and $v_{ij} = v_0 \cdot a_{ij}$, for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell$ (adjoint module) or
- 1.2. $V = \langle v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell \rangle$, where $0 \neq v_1 \in V_1$ and $v_j = v_1 \cdot a_{1j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ (standard module) or

1.3. $V = \langle v_\lambda \in Sp \mid \#\{i_j \in \lambda \mid i_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \rangle$, where $Sp = \{v_\lambda \mid \lambda = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_\ell), \text{ with } i_j \in \{2j-1, 2j\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$ and $v_\lambda \cdot a_\sigma = v_{\lambda \Delta \sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{2\ell}$ (spinor module).

2. If $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{B}_{2\ell}$ then

2.1. $V = \langle v_0, v_i, v_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell \rangle$, where $0 \neq v_0 \in V_0$, $v_i = v_0 \cdot a_i$ and $v_{ij} = v_0 \cdot a_{ij}$, for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell$ (adjoint module) or

2.2. $V = \langle v_i, \lambda \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell \rangle$, where $0 \neq v_1 \in V_1$, $v_j = v_1 \cdot a_{1j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $\lambda = (v_i a_i)_\emptyset$ (standard module).

3. If $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_7$ then $V = \langle v_\mu \mid \mu \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}_7(I_7) \rangle$, where $v_\mu a_\sigma = v_{\mu \Delta \sigma}$ for all $\mu \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}_7(I_7)$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_7$.

4. If $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_8$ then $V = \langle v_0, v_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_8 \rangle$ where $0 \neq v_0 \in V_0$, $v_0 \cdot a_\sigma = v_\sigma$ and $v_\sigma \cdot a_\mu = v_{\sigma \Delta \mu}$, for all $\sigma, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_8$ (standard module).

The proof of this theorem is given in the following subsections.

4.1 M -modules for $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{C}_{2\ell}$

For $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{C}_{2\ell} = \{(2i-1, 2i, 2j-1, 2j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}$, by Theorem 3.1, a simple Δ -algebra M has a basis $\{s, a_\sigma \mid s \in M_0, \sigma \in \mathfrak{M}\}$. Let V be an irreducible M -module and $B = \{\mu \subseteq I_n \mid V_\mu \neq 0\}$.

Case I: Suppose that $\mathfrak{M} \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M} \cap B$ and choose $0 \neq v_\sigma \in V_\sigma$. Then, by (16), $(v_\sigma a_\sigma)_0 a_\sigma = v_\sigma (a_\sigma a_\sigma)_0 = v_\sigma \neq 0$. Hence, there exists $0 \neq v_0 \in V_0$. Define

$$v_0 \cdot a_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_{ij}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell. \quad (32)$$

In this way, we have by (18),

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ij} \cdot a_{jk} &= (v_0 a_{ij}) a_{jk} = v_0 (a_{ij} a_{jk}) = v_0 a_{ik} = v_{ik}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \leq \ell, \\ v_{ij} \cdot a_{pk} &= (v_0 a_{ij}) a_{pk} = v_0 (a_{ij} a_{pk}) = 0, \text{ for } \{i, j\} \cap \{p, k\} = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{v_0, v_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell\}$ is a basis of the M -module V .

Case II: Suppose that $\mathfrak{M} \cap B = \emptyset$ (implying $V_0 = 0$ and $V_\emptyset = 0$).

II.1) Suppose that there exists $\mu \in B$ with $|\mu| = 2$.

a) If $\mu = (12) \in B$, then let $0 \neq v_1 \in V_1$. Define

$$v_1 \cdot a_{1j} \stackrel{def}{=} v_j \neq 0, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq \ell. \quad (33)$$

Now for $1 \leq i < j \leq k \leq \ell$, using identity (8), we have $v_i \cdot a_{jk} = v_i(a_{ij}a_{jk}) = 0$.

From this and by (10), $v_i \cdot a_{ij} = (v_1 a_{1i})a_{ij} = v_1(a_{1i}a_{ij}) + (v_1 a_{ij})a_{1i} = v_1 a_{1j} = v_j$. Hence, $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ is a basis of the M -module V .

b) For $\ell > 2$, by definition of B , $\mu = (13) \notin B$. For $\ell = 2$, this is simply a renumbering of case a).

II.2) Suppose that $|\mu| > 2$, for all $\mu \in B$.

We claim that $(2i-1, 2i) \not\subseteq \mu$, for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Indeed, without loss of generality, suppose that $(1, 2) \subseteq \mu$. If $\sigma_{1i} = (1, 2, 2i-1, 2i)$, then, by Rule B, $|\sigma_{1i} \cap \mu| \leq 2$. Note that $\sigma_{1i} \neq \mu$, as $\mathfrak{M} \cap B = \emptyset$. Now if, for all $i = 2, \dots, \ell$, $|\sigma_{1i} \cap \mu| = 2$, then $\mu = (1, 2)$ a contradiction and the claim is proved.

Now let $2i-1 \in \mu$ (or $2i \in \mu$). Then $|\sigma_{ij} \cap \mu| = 2$ implies $|(2j-1, 2j) \cap \mu| = 1$, for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Hence, after a suitable renumbering, we may suppose that $\mu = (1357 \cdots 2\ell-1) \in B$. Thus, for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$,

$$v_\mu \cdot a_\sigma = v_{\mu \Delta \sigma}, \quad \text{as } |\mu \cap \sigma| = 2. \quad (34)$$

Therefore, V must be contained in:

$$Sp = \{v_\lambda \mid \lambda = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_\ell), \text{ with } i_j \in \{2j-1, 2j\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}.$$

Now consider the following subspaces of Sp :

$$\begin{aligned} Sp_+ &= \{v_\lambda \in Sp \mid \#\{i_j \in \lambda \mid i_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}, \\ Sp_- &= \{v_\lambda \in Sp \mid \#\{i_j \in \lambda \mid i_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Sp_+ and Sp_- are invariant and irreducible under the action of M , for $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{C}_{2\ell}$, as by (34), a_σ exchanges pairs of even or odd numbers in each λ .

Moreover, there is an isomorphism $\Phi : Sp_+ \longrightarrow Sp_-$ such that $(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_\ell) \longmapsto (\overline{i_1} i_2 \cdots i_\ell)$ which commutes with the M -action.

4.2 Representations for $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{B}_{2\ell}$

For $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{B}_{2\ell} = \{(2i-1, 2i, 2j-1, 2j), (2i-1, 2i) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}$, by Theorem 3.1, a simple Δ -algebra M has a basis $\{s, a_\sigma, b_\tau \mid s \in M_0, \sigma \in \mathfrak{M}_4, \tau \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_4\}$. Let V be an irreducible M -module.

Case I: Suppose $\mathfrak{M} \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

I.1) If there exists $0 \neq v_0 \in V_0$, then define, for $1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \leq \ell$,

$$v_i \stackrel{def}{=} v_0 \cdot a_i, \quad v_{ij} \stackrel{def}{=} v_0 \cdot a_{ij}.$$

Thus, using the identities of Proposition 3.2, we get:

i) by (25), $(v_i a_i)_0 = ((v_0 a_i) a_i)_0 = v_0 (a_i a_i)_0 = v_0 s = v_0$.

ii) By (26), $(v_i a_i)_\emptyset = ((v_0 a_i) a_i)_\emptyset = v_0 (a_i a_i)_\emptyset = 0$.

iii) By (18), we have for $1 \leq i \neq j \neq p \neq k \leq \ell$

$$\begin{aligned} v_i \cdot a_j &= (v_0 a_i) a_j = v_0 a_{ij} = v_{ij}, \\ v_i \cdot a_{ij} &= (v_0 a_i) a_{ij} = v_0 (a_i a_{ij}) = v_0 a_j = v_j, \\ v_{ij} \cdot a_i &= (v_0 a_{ij}) a_i = v_0 (a_{ij} a_i) = v_0 a_j = v_j, \\ v_{ij} \cdot a_{jk} &= (v_0 a_{ij}) a_{jk} = v_0 (a_{ij} a_{jk}) = v_0 a_{ik} = v_{ik}, \\ v_{ij} \cdot a_{pk} &= (v_0 a_{ij}) a_{pk} = v_0 (a_{ij} a_{pk}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Note that $v_{ij} = 0$ implies $v_j = 0$, hence $v_0 = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\{v_0, v_i, v_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell\}$ is a basis of the M -module V .

I.2) For $V_0 = 0$, let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M} \cap B$ be such that $|\sigma| = 2$. Without loss of generality, let $v_1 \in V_1$. By Rule B, define $v_i \stackrel{def}{=} v_1 a_{1i} \neq 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Moreover, $(v_1 a_1)_0 = 0$ and $(v_1 a_1)_\emptyset = \lambda_1$.

Again without loss of generality, if $v_1 a_2 \stackrel{def}{=} v_{12} \neq 0$, then, by (12), $v_{12} \cdot a_1 = v_{12} \cdot (a_{12} \cdot a_2) = (v_{12} a_{12})_0 \cdot a_2 = 0$, as $V_0 = 0$. But this contradicts Rule B.

Therefore, this case does not occur.

Thus, we can suppose that $v_i a_j = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell$. By Rule B, we can define $v_i a_{ij} \stackrel{def}{=} v_j \neq 0$. We also have $(v_i a_i)_\emptyset = \lambda_i$. By (12), $\lambda_i a_{pq} = 0$, for $i \notin \{p, q\}$. By (13), $\lambda_i a_j = (v_i a_i)_\emptyset a_j = v_i a_j \cdot a_i + v_i \cdot a_i a_j = v_i \cdot a_{ij} = v_j$. Hence $\lambda = \lambda_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $\lambda a_i = v_i$. Therefore, $\{v_i, \lambda \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ is a basis of the M -module V .

Case II: Suppose $\mathfrak{M} \cap B = \emptyset$ (hence $V_0 = 0$ and $V_\emptyset = 0$).

In this case, any $\mu \in B$ is such that $|\mu| > 2$ and $(2i-1, 2i) \notin \mu$. Hence, as in Case II.2 of Section 4.1, $\mu = (1357 \cdots 2\ell - 1) \in B$ but $(12) \in \mathcal{B}_{2\ell}$ and $|(12) \cap \mu| = 1$, contradiction. So this case does not occur.

4.3 Representations for $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_7$

Let $\mathfrak{M} = \{(1234), (1256), (3456), (1357), (2457), (2367), (1467)\}$ and V be an irreducible M -module.

Case I: Suppose that there exists $\mu \in \mathfrak{M} \cap B$.

I.1) For $V_0 \neq 0$, choose $0 \neq v_0 \in V_0$ and define $v_0 \cdot a_\sigma \stackrel{def}{=} v_\sigma$, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$. Hence, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{M}$, by (18), we have

$$v_\sigma \cdot a_\tau = (v_0 a_\sigma) a_\tau = v_0 (a_\sigma a_\tau) = v_0 a_{\sigma \Delta \tau} = v_{\sigma \Delta \tau}.$$

Therefore, in this case, $\{v_0, v_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_7\}$ is a basis of the M -module V .

II.2) If $V_0 = 0$ then, by (12), $0 = (v_\sigma a_\sigma)_0 a_\tau = (v_\sigma a_\tau) a_\sigma = v_{\sigma \Delta \tau} a_\sigma$, contradicting Rule B. So this case does not occur.

Case II: Suppose that $\mathfrak{M} \cap B = \emptyset$.

First we claim that if $\mu \in B$, then $|\mu| = 3$.

- i) If $\mu = (ij)$ then $|\mu \cap \sigma| = 0$ or 2 , for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$. Thus $\mu \subseteq (1234) \cap (1256) \cap (1357) = \{1\}$, a contradiction. Hence, $|\mu| \geq 3$.
- ii) Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $1 \in \mu$. Then, as $\mathfrak{M} \cap B = \emptyset$, $|(1234) \cap \mu| = (1j)$, $j \neq 1$. If $j = 2$ then $3, 4 \notin \mu$ and $|(1256) \cap \mu| = (12)$, implying that $5, 6 \notin \mu$. Hence, $|\mu| \leq 3$. We argue analogously for $j = 3$ or

$j = 4$. Hence, by i) and ii) the claim is proved.

Now simple calculations show that $B = \overline{\mathcal{E}}_7(I_7)$ and, therefore, V has a basis $\{v_\mu \mid \mu \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}_7(I_7)\}$, with M -action given by $v_\mu a_\sigma = v_{\mu\Delta\sigma}$.

4.4 Representations for $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_8$

Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_8 = \mathcal{E}_7 \cup \overline{\mathcal{E}}_7(I_8)$. Note that for every $\tau \in I_8$, we have or $\tau \in \mathcal{E}_8$ or $|\sigma \cap \tau| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_8$. This means that $B \subset \mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{E}_8$.

1) If there exists $0 \neq v_0 \in V_0$ then, as in Case I of Section 4.3, define $v_0 \cdot a_\sigma \stackrel{def}{=} v_\sigma$, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}$. Hence, for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}$, by (18), we have

$$v_\sigma \cdot a_\mu = (v_0 a_\sigma) a_\mu = v_0 (a_\sigma a_\mu) = v_0 a_{\sigma\Delta\mu} = v_{\sigma\Delta\mu}.$$

Therefore, in this case, $\{v_0, v_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_8\}$ is a basis of the M -module V .

2) If $V_0 = 0$ then, by (12), $0 = (v_\sigma a_\sigma)_0 a_\tau = (v_\sigma a_\tau) a_\sigma = v_{\sigma\Delta\tau} a_\sigma$, contradicting Rule B. So this case does not occur.

5 Conclusion and final comments.

It is not difficult to prove that the Lie algebras obtained from the Δ -algebras in the Theorem 3.1 by multiplying them by the corresponding algebra Λ (see (4)) are the classical Lie algebras or its central extensions. Moreover, as simple Δ -algebras have invariant bilinear forms then the corresponding Lie algebras also admit such forms.

In order to calculate the center of a Lie algebra $L = M \square \Lambda$ corresponding to a given Δ -algebra $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we need the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be a Δ -algebra in $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{a})$, where \mathfrak{a} is an even set as before. Let $\text{Ann}_M(M) = \{x \in M \mid xM = 0\}$ and $L = M(\mathfrak{a}) \square \Lambda$ be the*

corresponding Lie algebra. Then

$$Z(L) = \Lambda \square \text{Ann}_M(M) + Z(\Lambda) \otimes M_0,$$

where $Z(\Lambda) = \{h_\mu \mid \mu \subseteq I_n, \mu \text{ is } \mathfrak{a} - \text{even}\}$.

Proof. All statements of this proof are obvious or follow from Proposition 3.1. \square

For example, the Lie algebra $L = M(\mathcal{E}_8) \square \Lambda$ has a basis

$$\{e_1, f_1, \dots, e_7, f_7, h_1, h_2, h_5, h^{\sigma_1}, h^{\sigma_2}, h^{\sigma_3}; (\mu, \sigma) \mid \mu \subseteq \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_8\},$$

where $\{e_1, f_1, \dots, e_7, f_7, h_1, h_2, h_5, h^{\sigma_1}, h^{\sigma_2}, h^{\sigma_3}\}$ is a basis of the algebra $S = \tilde{S}(\mathcal{E}_8)/I$ defined by (3) and $\sigma_1 = (1234)$, $\sigma_2 = (1256)$, $\sigma_3 = (1357)$. Recall that in S we have

$$h^\sigma + h^\mu + h^{\sigma \Delta \mu} + h_{\sigma \cap \mu} = 0, \quad (36)$$

for every $\sigma, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_8$, where $h_\tau = \sum_{i \in \tau} h_i$. The multiplication in this basis of L is given by formulas (3), (5) and (6). For example,

$$[(1357, 13), (3478, 7)] = (1458, 1),$$

$$[(1256, 2), (1256, 156)] = h^\sigma + h_1 + h_5 + h_6 = h^\sigma + h_2,$$

since, by (36), $h_6 = h_\sigma + h_1 + h_2 + h_5 = h_1 + h_2 + h_5$, where $\sigma = (1256)$.

Note that $B = \{\mu \in I_7 \mid \mu \text{ is } \mathcal{E}_7 - \text{even}\} = \mathcal{E}_7 \cup \overline{\mathcal{E}_7}(I_7)$. From this and by Proposition 5.1 we have that $Z(L) = kh$, where $h = h_2 + h_3 + h_5$.

It is clear that $\dim L = 133$, $\dim L/Z(L) = 132$ and $L/Z(L)$ is a simple Lie algebra of type E_7 . Note that for the $M(\mathcal{E}_7)$ -module V constructed in Theorem 4.1, with basis $\{v_\mu \mid \mu \in \overline{\mathcal{E}_7}(I_7)\}$ we can construct the L -module $W = V \square \Lambda$ of dimension 56. But W is not a $L/Z(L)$ -module, since $Vh = V \neq 0$.

The modules $V = V_0 \oplus \sum_{\sigma \in B} \oplus V_\sigma$ of Δ -algebras which we constructed in Section 4 correspond to the following modules over the corresponding simple Lie algebra L .

a) If L is of type $C_{2\ell}$ or $B_{2\ell}$ and $|\sigma| = 2$, for all $\sigma \in B$, then V corresponds to the standard module.

b) If $B = C_{2\ell}$ or $B_{2\ell}$, then V corresponds to the adjoint module.

c) If L is of type $C_{2\ell}$ and $|\sigma| = \ell$, for all $\sigma \in B$, then V corresponds to a spinor module.

This gives us a useful construction of spinor modules over a simple Lie algebra L of type $C_{2\ell}$. In this case such a Lie algebra has basis

$$\{e_i, f_i, h_j, h^k, (\sigma, \mu) \mid i = 1, \dots, 2\ell; j = 1, 2, 3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 1; k = 2, \dots, \ell; \mu \subseteq \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{2\ell}\},$$

with multiplication rules given by

$$[e_i, f_i] = h_i, \quad \text{where } h_i = h_1 + h_2 + h_{2p-1}, \quad \text{if } i = 2p > 2,$$

$$[e_i, h^j] = e_i, \quad [f_i, h^j] = f_i, \quad \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, 2j - 1, 2j\},$$

$$[(\sigma, \mu), h^i] = (\sigma, \mu), \quad \text{if } \sigma \cap (1, 2, 2i - 1, 2i) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } |\mu \cap (1, 2, 2i - 1, 2i)| = 0 \text{ or } 2.$$

$$[(\sigma, \mu), h_i] = (\sigma, \mu), \quad \text{if } i \in \sigma.$$

$$[(\sigma, \mu), (\sigma, \sigma \setminus \mu)] = \begin{cases} h^j + h_\mu, & \text{for } \sigma = (1, 2, 2j - 1, 2j); \\ h^j + h^k + h_\mu, & \text{for } \sigma = (2j - 1, 2j, 2k - 1, 2k). \end{cases}$$

Here, as above, $h_{2p} = h_1 + h_2 + h_{2p-1}$, if $p > 1$. The other products are given by the formulas (5), (6) or are equal to zero. Moreover, $Z(L) = kh$, where

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} h_{2i-1}.$$

The spinor module W has a basis

$$\{(\beta, \alpha) \mid \alpha \subseteq \beta \in \mathcal{M}_\ell\}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_\ell = \{\mu = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \mid i_j \in \{2j-1, 2j\} \text{ and } |\{i \in \mu \mid i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}.$$

The action of L is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta, \alpha)e_i &= (\beta, \alpha \cup i), \text{ if } i \in \beta \setminus \alpha, \\
(\beta, \alpha)f_i &= (\beta, \alpha \setminus i), \text{ if } i \in \alpha, \\
(\beta, \alpha)h_i &= (\beta, \alpha), \text{ if } i \in \beta, \\
(\beta, \alpha)h^i &= (\beta, \alpha), \text{ if } (1, 2, 2i-1, 2i) \cap \alpha = \emptyset \text{ or } 2, \\
(\beta, \alpha)(\sigma, \mu) &= (\beta \Delta \sigma, \alpha \setminus \sigma \cup \mu \setminus \beta), \text{ if } \beta \cap \sigma \subseteq \alpha \cup \mu \text{ and } \mu \cap \alpha = \emptyset.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

All the other products are zero. Observe that, for any (non adjoint) irreducible M -module V , we have $(V \square \Lambda) Z(L) \neq 0$.

Note that Theorem 3.1 is not true if we omit the condition $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{a}$. But we can formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let M be an arbitrary simple finite dimensional Δ -algebra which satisfies all identities (8)-(28) and $M_\emptyset^2 = 0$. Then the corresponding Lie algebra $L = M \square \Lambda$ is a simple Lie algebra of type $B_{2\ell}$, C_ℓ , $D_{2\ell+1}$, E_7 or E_8 .*

References

- [G1] GRISHKOV, A.N., *A new approach to classification of simple finite dimensional Lie algebras*, Webs and Quasigroups, Tver, 1991, 51-77.
- [G2] GRISHKOV, A.N., *Lie algebras with triality*.(submitted)
- [Hu1] HUMPHREYS, J.E., *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. 3rd Edition, Revised, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [Pr] PREMET A., *Lie Algebras without strong degeneration*. Math.USSR-Sb. 57(1987),151-164.