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When we have a symbolic chain describing a syntactic structure, a prosodic contour, a protein,.... it is natural to assume that each symbol depends only on a finite suffix of the past whose length depends on the past.
Warning!

We are not making the usual **markovian assumption**: 

- At each step we are under the influence of a suffix of the past whose length depends on the past itself.
- Even if it is finite, in general the length of the relevant part of the past is not bounded above!
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It is a stationary stochastic chain \((X_n)\) taking values on a finite alphabet \(\mathcal{A}\) and characterized by two elements:

- The tree of all contexts.
- A family of transition probabilities associated to each context.
A context $X_{n-\ell}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ is the finite portion of the past $X_{-\infty}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ which is relevant to predict the next symbol $X_n$. 
A context $X_{n-\ell}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ is the finite portion of the past $X_{-\infty}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ which is relevant to predict the next symbol $X_n$.

Given a context, its associated transition probability gives the distribution of occurrence of the next symbol immediately after the context.
Example: the renewal process on $\mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$

$\tau = \{1, 10, 100, 1000, \ldots\}$

$p(1 \mid 0^k 1) = q_k$

where $0 < q_k < 1$, for any $k \geq 0$, and

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} q_k = +\infty.$$
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Given an infinite past $x_{-\infty}$ its context $x_{-\ell}$ is the only element of $\tau$ which is a suffix of the sequence $x_{-\infty}$.

The length of the context $\ell = \ell(x_{-\infty})$ is a function of the sequence.

More precisely, the event

$$ \{ \ell(X_{-\infty}) = k \} $$

is measurable with respect to the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $X_{-k}$. 
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\[
P \left\{ X_0 = a \mid X_{-\infty}^{-1} = x_{-\infty}^{-1} \right\} = p(a \mid x_{-\ell}^{-1}),
\]

where \(x_{-\ell}^{-1}\) is the only element of \(\tau\) which is a suffix of the sequence \(x_{-\infty}^{-1}\).
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First answer: verify if the infinite order transition probabilities defined by \((\tau, p)\) satisfy the sufficient conditions which assure the existence and uniqueness of a chain of infinite order.
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For a probabilistic suffix tree of type A with summable continuity rate, the maximal coupling argument used in Fernández and Galves (2002) implies the uniqueness of the law of the chain compatible with it.
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In the case of finite context trees, Rissanen (1983) introduced the *algorithm Context* to estimate in a consistent way the probabilistic context tree out from a sample.
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$$N_n(w_{-j}^{-1}) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-j} 1\{X_{t+j-1} = w_{-j}^{-1}\}.$$

If $\sum_{b \in \mathcal{A}} N_n(w_{-k}^{-1}b) > 0$, we define the estimator of the transition probability $p$ by

$$\hat{p}_n(a|w_{-k}^{-1}) = \frac{N_n(w_{-k}^{-1}a)}{\sum_{b \in \mathcal{A}} N_n(w_{-k}^{-1}b)}.$$
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$$N_n(w_{-1}^{-j}) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-j} \mathbf{1}\{X_t^{t+j-1} = w_{-j}^{-1}\}.$$ 

If $\sum_{b \in \mathcal{A}} N_n(w_{-k}^{-1}b) > 0$, we define the estimator of the transition probability $p$ by
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We also define

$$\Lambda_n(i, w) = -2 \sum_{w_{-i} \in A} \sum_{a \in A} N_n(w_{-i}^{-1} a) \log \left[ \frac{\hat{p}_n(a|w_{-i}^{-1})}{\hat{p}_n(a|w_{-i+1}^{-1})} \right].$$

$$\Lambda_n(i, w)$$ is the log-likelihood ratio statistic for testing the consistency of the sample with a probabilistic suffix tree $$(\tau, p)$$ against the alternative that it is consistent with $$(\tau', p')$$ where $$\tau$$ and $$\tau'$$ differ only by one set of sibling nodes branching from $$w_{-i+1}^{-1}.$$
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\( \Lambda_n(i, w) \) is the log-likelihood ratio statistic for testing the consistency of the sample with a probabilistic suffix tree \((\tau, p)\) against the alternative that it is consistent with \((\tau', p')\) where \(\tau\) and \(\tau'\) differ only by one set of sibling nodes branching from \(w_{-i+1}^{-1}\).
\[ \hat{\ell}(X_{0}^{n-1}) = \max \left\{ i = 2, \ldots, k(n) : \Lambda_n(i, X_{n-k(n)}^{n-1}) > C_2 \log n \right\}, \]

where \( C_2 \) is any positive constant.
**Theorem.** (Rissanen 1983) Given a realization $X_0, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ of a probabilistic suffix tree $(\tau, p)$ with **finite height**, then

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{ \hat{\ell}(X_{0}^{n-1}) \neq \ell(X_{0}^{n-1}) \right\} \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. 
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How fast does the algorithm Context converge?
A theorem for unbounded trees.
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Then, for any choice of the constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ defining the algorithm we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \hat{\ell}(X_0^{n-1}) \neq \ell(X_0^{n-1}) \right\} \leq C_1 \log n (n^{-C_2} + D/n) + C f(C_1 \log n),$$
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and let $(X_t^k)$ be its canonical Markov approximation of order $k$.
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$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ X_0 \neq X_0^k \right\} \leq C \beta(k).$$
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To control the error in the chi-square approximation we use a well-known asymptotic expansion for the distribution of $\Lambda_n(i, w)$ due to Hayakawa (1970) which implies that

$$
P \left\{ \Lambda_n(i, w) \leq x \mid H_0^i \right\} = P \left\{ \chi^2 \leq x \right\} + D/n,
$$

where $D$ is a positive constant and $\chi^2$ is random variable with distribution chi-square with $|A| - 1$ degrees of freedom.
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