

Extracting bimonotone basic sequences from long weakly null sequences

First Brazilian Workshop in Geometry of Banach Spaces
August 2014, Maresias

Jarno Talponen

University of Eastern Finland
talponen@iki.fi

August 28, 2014



UNIVERSITY OF
EASTERN FINLAND

Abstract

This talk involves the following problem. Given a long weakly null normalized sequence of vectors in a Banach space, when can one find a long subsequence which is a bimonotone basic sequence? Some geometric technical tools to address the above problem are discussed. This is an on-going work.



Weakly null sequences

- A family of vectors indexed by an ordinal $\mu > \omega$

$$\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X$$

is called a *transfinite* (or long) *sequence*.



Weakly null sequences

- A family of vectors indexed by an ordinal $\mu > \omega$

$$\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X$$

is called a *transfinite* (or long) *sequence*.

- It is *normalized* if $\|x_\alpha\| = 1$ for each α .



Weakly null sequences

- A family of vectors indexed by an ordinal $\mu > \omega$

$$\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X$$

is called a *transfinite* (or long) *sequence*.

- It is *normalized* if $\|x_\alpha\| = 1$ for each α .
- It is *weakly null* if $f(x_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \mu$ for each $f \in X^*$.



Weakly null sequences

- A family of vectors indexed by an ordinal $\mu > \omega$

$$\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X$$

is called a *transfinite* (or long) *sequence*.

- It is *normalized* if $\|x_\alpha\| = 1$ for each α .
- It is *weakly null* if $f(x_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \mu$ for each $f \in X^*$.
- In the typical case where $\mu = \kappa$, an uncountable regular cardinal (or merely having uncountable cofinality), the above can be rephrased as follows: there is $\beta < \kappa$ such that

$$f(x_\alpha) = 0, \quad \text{for } \beta < \alpha < \kappa.$$



Unconditional sequences

- Since a weakly null sequence is ‘dispersed’, or far way from being constant in some sense, it is tempting to ask whether one can refine it to get a ‘maximally dispersed’, or orthogonal sequence in metric terms.



Unconditional sequences

- Since a weakly null sequence is ‘dispersed’, or far way from being constant in some sense, it is tempting to ask whether one can refine it to get a ‘maximally dispersed’, or orthogonal sequence in metric terms.
- That is, a subsequence $y_\gamma = x_{\alpha_\gamma}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \kappa$, which is 1-unconditional:

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma y_\gamma \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} a_\gamma y_\gamma \right\|$$

for $\Gamma \subset \Lambda \subset \kappa$ with Λ finite.



Unconditional sequences

- Since a weakly null sequence is ‘dispersed’, or far way from being constant in some sense, it is tempting to ask whether one can refine it to get a ‘maximally dispersed’, or orthogonal sequence in metric terms.
- That is, a subsequence $y_\gamma = x_{\alpha_\gamma}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \kappa$, which is 1-unconditional:

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma y_\gamma \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} a_\gamma y_\gamma \right\|$$

for $\Gamma \subset \Lambda \subset \kappa$ with Λ finite.

- The existence of such a subsequence (in different cases) is a long-standing problem.



- The existence of such a transfinite subsequence depends on things such as the specific choice of the cardinal κ , combinatorial axioms and specific structure of the Banach space.



- The existence of such a transfinite subsequence depends on things such as the specific choice of the cardinal κ , combinatorial axioms and specific structure of the Banach space.
- Argyros, Lopez-Abad and Todorcevic (2003) provided an example of non-separable reflexive Banach spaces with a long Schauder basis but without any infinite unconditional basic sequence.



- The existence of such a transfinite subsequence depends on things such as the specific choice of the cardinal κ , combinatorial axioms and specific structure of the Banach space.
- Argyros, Lopez-Abad and Todorcevic (2003) provided an example of non-separable reflexive Banach spaces with a long Schauder basis but without any infinite unconditional basic sequence.
- Here the density can even be ω_1 which is often nice in constructions.



- The existence of such a transfinite subsequence depends on things such as the specific choice of the cardinal κ , combinatorial axioms and specific structure of the Banach space.
- Argyros, Lopez-Abad and Todorcevic (2003) provided an example of non-separable reflexive Banach spaces with a long Schauder basis but without any infinite unconditional basic sequence.
- Here the density can even be ω_1 which is often nice in constructions.
- On the other hand, it is known that under rather general assumptions a weakly null normalized long sequence admits a long subsequence which serves as a monotone basic sequence.



- The existence of such a transfinite subsequence depends on things such as the specific choice of the cardinal κ , combinatorial axioms and specific structure of the Banach space.
- Argyros, Lopez-Abad and Todorcevic (2003) provided an example of non-separable reflexive Banach spaces with a long Schauder basis but without any infinite unconditional basic sequence.
- Here the density can even be ω_1 which is often nice in constructions.
- On the other hand, it is known that under rather general assumptions a weakly null normalized long sequence admits a long subsequence which serves as a monotone basic sequence.
- Recall: Monotone means that the basis projections are norm-1.



- The existence of such a transfinite subsequence depends on things such as the specific choice of the cardinal κ , combinatorial axioms and specific structure of the Banach space.
- Argyros, Lopez-Abad and Todorčević (2003) provided an example of non-separable reflexive Banach spaces with a long Schauder basis but without any infinite unconditional basic sequence.
- Here the density can even be ω_1 which is often nice in constructions.
- On the other hand, it is known that under rather general assumptions a weakly null normalized long sequence admits a long subsequence which serves as a monotone basic sequence.
- Recall: Monotone means that the basis projections are norm-1.
- Therefore it is natural to ask if one can find subsequences having a property between monotonicity and unconditionality.



Bimonotone sequences

- Note that in the definition of unconditionality it is clear that the norm of the basis projection P_α and its coprojection $Q_\alpha = I - P_\alpha$ given by

$$\sum_{\gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} a_\gamma e_\gamma, \quad \sum_{\gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma$$

have operator norm 1.



Bimonotone sequences

- Note that in the definition of unconditionality it is clear that the norm of the basis projection P_α and its coprojection $Q_\alpha = I - P_\alpha$ given by

$$\sum_{\gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} a_\gamma e_\gamma, \quad \sum_{\gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma$$

have operator norm 1.

- This is the definition of a *bimonotonicity* of a basic sequence.



Bimonotone sequences

- Note that in the definition of unconditionality it is clear that the norm of the basis projection P_α and its coprojection $Q_\alpha = I - P_\alpha$ given by

$$\sum_{\gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} a_\gamma e_\gamma, \quad \sum_{\gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma < \kappa} a_\gamma e_\gamma$$

have operator norm 1.

- This is the definition of a *bimonotonicity* of a basic sequence.
- Note that in the 1-unconditional basis case there are vastly more canonical bimonotone projections (2^ω), compared to the bimonotone basis case (ω). Thus bimonotonicity is heuristically much closer to monotonicity than to unconditionality.



The aim



The aim

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space satisfying... (a strong Asplund type property). Suppose that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1} \subset X$ is a weakly null normalized transfinite sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $\{\alpha_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \omega_1}$ such that $\{x_{\alpha_\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \omega_1}$ forms a bimonotone basic sequence.



The aim

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space satisfying... (a strong Asplund type property). Suppose that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1} \subset X$ is a weakly null normalized transfinite sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $\{\alpha_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \omega_1}$ such that $\{x_{\alpha_\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \omega_1}$ forms a bimonotone basic sequence.

- Also reasonable to ask whether less dispersed (than weakly null) sequence admits a bimonotone block basis:



The aim

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space satisfying... (a strong Asplund type property). Suppose that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1} \subset X$ is a weakly null normalized transfinite sequence. Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{\alpha_\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \omega_1}$ such that $\{x_{\alpha_\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \omega_1}$ forms a bimonotone basic sequence.

- Also reasonable to ask whether less dispersed (than weakly null) sequence admits a bimonotone block basis:
i.e. ω_1 -many countable successive blocks of ordinals,

$$\{\beta_\theta^{(\gamma)}\}_{\theta < \eta(\gamma)} \subset \omega_1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < \omega_1$$

and a bimonotone basic sequence $\{z_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \omega_1} \subset X$ such that

$$z_\gamma = \sum_{\theta < \eta(\gamma)} a_\theta^{(\gamma)} x_{\beta_\theta^{(\gamma)}}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < \omega_1.$$



Philosophical preparations

- If $Y \subset X$ are Banach spaces and X/Y is separable, let us say that Y is coseparable (in X).



Philosophical preparations

- If $Y \subset X$ are Banach spaces and X/Y is separable, let us say that Y is coseparable (in X).
- In the context of non-separable spaces separable subspaces and quotients seem small or 'negligible'.



Philosophical preparations

- If $Y \subset X$ are Banach spaces and X/Y is separable, let us say that Y is coseparable (in X).
- In the context of non-separable spaces separable subspaces and quotients seem small or 'negligible'.
- Therefore, in the spirit of Baire spaces we declare $X \in (\sigma)$ if coseparable subspaces of X are preserved in countable intersections.



Philosophical preparations

- If $Y \subset X$ are Banach spaces and X/Y is separable, let us say that Y is coseparable (in X).
- In the context of non-separable spaces separable subspaces and quotients seem small or 'negligible'.
- Therefore, in the spirit of Baire spaces we declare $X \in (\sigma)$ if coseparable subspaces of X are preserved in countable intersections.
- In the context of Banach spaces this approach can be taken further:



Philosophical preparations

- If $Y \subset X$ are Banach spaces and X/Y is separable, let us say that Y is coseparable (in X).
- In the context of non-separable spaces separable subspaces and quotients seem small or 'negligible'.
- Therefore, in the spirit of Baire spaces we declare $X \in (\sigma)$ if coseparable subspaces of X are preserved in countable intersections.
- In the context of Banach spaces this approach can be taken further:
- For instance, one could ask if for a separable $Z \subset X$ the annihilator Z^\perp 1-norms a coseparable subspace Y (a kind of reverse 1-SCP).



Philosophical preparations

- If $Y \subset X$ are Banach spaces and X/Y is separable, let us say that Y is coseparable (in X).
- In the context of non-separable spaces separable subspaces and quotients seem small or 'negligible'.
- Therefore, in the spirit of Baire spaces we declare $X \in (\sigma)$ if coseparable subspaces of X are preserved in countable intersections.
- In the context of Banach spaces this approach can be taken further:
- For instance, one could ask if for a separable $Z \subset X$ the annihilator Z^\perp 1-norms a coseparable subspace Y (a kind of reverse 1-SCP).
- Refinement: If for a separable $Z \subset X$ the annihilator Z^\perp 1-norms a separable space $E \subset X$, does there exist a coseparable $Y \subset X$ such that $E \subset Y$ and Z^\perp 1-norms Y ?



Lemma

- The proof of the above Theorem boils down to the questions above.



Lemma

- The proof of the above Theorem boils down to the questions above.



Lemma

- The proof of the above Theorem boils down to the questions above.

Lemma

Let X be a Banach space, $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$, and:

Let $A \subset X$ be a separable subspace. Let $Z \subset X$ be any coseparable subspace. Then there exists a coseparable subspace $Z_0 \subset Z$ such that A^\perp 1-norms Z_0 .

Lemma

- The proof of the above Theorem boils down to the questions above.

Lemma

Let X be a Banach space, $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$, and:

- (i) X is WLD and Asplund.

Let $A \subset X$ be a separable subspace. Let $Z \subset X$ be any coseparable subspace. Then there exists a coseparable subspace $Z_0 \subset Z$ such that A^\perp 1-norms Z_0 .



Lemma

- The proof of the above Theorem boils down to the questions above.

Lemma

Let X be a Banach space, $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$, and:

- (i) X is WLD and Asplund.
- (ii) X^{**} is WLD.

Let $A \subset X$ be a separable subspace. Let $Z \subset X$ be any coseparable subspace. Then there exists a coseparable subspace $Z_0 \subset Z$ such that A^\perp 1-norms Z_0 .



On the strong Asplund type condition



On the strong Asplund type condition

Proposition

Let X be a WLD Banach space. The following are equivalent:



On the strong Asplund type condition

Proposition

Let X be a WLD Banach space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 For each coseparable (resp. separable) subspace $Y \subset X$ it holds that $Y^{\perp\perp} \subset X^{**}$ is coseparable (resp. separable) as well;



On the strong Asplund type condition

Proposition

Let X be a WLD Banach space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 For each coseparable (resp. separable) subspace $Y \subset X$ it holds that $Y^{\perp\perp} \subset X^{**}$ is coseparable (resp. separable) as well;
- 2 There is a shrinking M-basis $\{(x_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ on X such that $\overline{[x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda]}^{\omega^*} \subset X^{**}$ is norm-separable for any countable subset Λ of indices.



On the strong Asplund type condition

Proposition

Let X be a WLD Banach space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 For each coseparable (resp. separable) subspace $Y \subset X$ it holds that $Y^{\perp\perp} \subset X^{**}$ is coseparable (resp. separable) as well;
- 2 There is a shrinking M-basis $\{(x_\alpha, f_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ on X such that $\overline{[x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda]}^{\omega^*} \subset X^{**}$ is norm-separable for any countable subset Λ of indices.
- 3 Both X and X^* are Asplund.



WLD

- Recall that a Banach space X is weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) if there is an M-basis, i.e. a biorthogonal system $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X \times X^*$ such that



- Recall that a Banach space X is weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) if there is an M-basis, i.e. a biorthogonal system $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X \times X^*$ such that

$$x_\beta^*(x_\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta},$$



- Recall that a Banach space X is weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) if there is an M-basis, i.e. a biorthogonal system $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X \times X^*$ such that

$$x_\beta^*(x_\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta},$$

$$[x_\alpha : \alpha] = X,$$



- Recall that a Banach space X is weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) if there is an M-basis, i.e. a biorthogonal system $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X \times X^*$ such that

$$x_\beta^*(x_\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta},$$

$$[x_\alpha : \alpha] = X,$$

$$\overline{[x_\alpha^* : \alpha]}^* = X^*,$$



- Recall that a Banach space X is weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) if there is an M-basis, i.e. a biorthogonal system $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \mu} \subset X \times X^*$ such that

$$x_\beta^*(x_\alpha) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta},$$

$$[x_\alpha : \alpha] = X,$$

$$\overline{[x_\alpha^* : \alpha]}^* = X^*,$$

such that additionally for each $f \in X^*$

$$|\{\alpha : f(x_\alpha) \neq 0\}| \leq \aleph_0.$$



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 1/4

- Let $\{(a_n, a_n^*)\}_{n < \omega}$, $a_n^* \in X^*$, be an M-basis on A .



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 1/4

- Let $\{(a_n, a_n^*)\}_{n < \omega}$, $a_n^* \in X^*$, be an M-basis on A .
- Clearly $[a_n : n \leq k]^\perp \subset X^*$ is finite-codimensional. By putting

$$\| \|x\| \|_{k,\varepsilon}^2 = \|x\|^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^k (a_n^*(x))^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

we have equivalent norms converging to $\|\cdot\|$ uniformly on bounded sets for fixed k as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 1/4

- Let $\{(a_n, a_n^*)\}_{n < \omega}$, $a_n^* \in X^*$, be an M-basis on A .
- Clearly $[a_n : n \leq k]^\perp \subset X^*$ is finite-codimensional. By putting

$$\| \|x\| \|_{k,\varepsilon}^2 = \|x\|^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^k (a_n^*(x))^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

we have equivalent norms converging to $\|\cdot\|$ uniformly on bounded sets for fixed k as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

- These perturbed norms enjoy the property that in their dual norms $\| \|x\| \|_{k,\varepsilon}^*$ the corresponding finite-codimensional subspace $[a_n : n \leq k]^\perp \subset X^*$ is Hahn-Banach smooth.



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 1/4

- Let $\{(a_n, a_n^*)\}_{n < \omega}$, $a_n^* \in X^*$, be an M-basis on A .
- Clearly $[a_n : n \leq k]^\perp \subset X^*$ is finite-codimensional. By putting

$$\| \|x\| \|_{k,\varepsilon}^2 = \|x\|^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^k (a_n^*(x))^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

we have equivalent norms converging to $\|\cdot\|$ uniformly on bounded sets for fixed k as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

- These perturbed norms enjoy the property that in their dual norms $\| \|x\| \|_{k,\varepsilon}^*$ the corresponding finite-codimensional subspace $[a_n : n \leq k]^\perp \subset X^*$ is Hahn-Banach smooth.
- That is, the Hahn-Banach extensions

$$HB_{k,\varepsilon} : ([a_n : n \leq k]^\perp)^* \rightarrow X^{**}, \quad HB_{k,\varepsilon} : X^{**}|_{[a_n : n \leq k]^\perp} \mapsto X^{**},$$

with

$$\|X^{**}\|_{X^{**}} = \|X^{**}|_{[a_n : 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp}\|_{([a_n : 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp)^*},$$

both under respective renorming $\| \| \cdot \| \|_{k,\varepsilon}$, are uniquely defined.

Sketch of the proof of Lemma 2/4

- Therefore $HB_{k,\varepsilon}$ becomes a linear isometry $([a_n: 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp)^* \rightarrow X^{**}$ (under the given equivalent norm). Its image is finite-codimensional.



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 2/4

- Therefore $HB_{k,\varepsilon}$ becomes a linear isometry $([a_n: 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp)^* \rightarrow X^{**}$ (under the given equivalent norm). Its image is finite-codimensional.
- By the definition of the HB extension any Hahn-Banach smooth subspace $E \subset X^*$ 1-norms the subspace

$$HB_E(\{x^{**} \mid_E: x^{**} \in X^{**}\}) \subset X^{**}.$$



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 2/4

- Therefore $HB_{k,\varepsilon}$ becomes a linear isometry $([a_n: 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp)^* \rightarrow X^{**}$ (under the given equivalent norm). Its image is finite-codimensional.
- By the definition of the HB extension any Hahn-Banach smooth subspace $E \subset X^*$ 1-norms the subspace

$$HB_E(\{x^{**}|_E: x^{**} \in X^{**}\}) \subset X^{**}.$$

- Put

$$W := \bigcap_{k < \omega} \bigcap_{m < \omega} HB_{k,1/m}(\{x^{**}|_{[a_n: 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp}: x^{**} \in X^{**}\}) \subset X^{**}.$$



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 2/4

- Therefore $HB_{k,\varepsilon}$ becomes a linear isometry $([a_n: 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp)^* \rightarrow X^{**}$ (under the given equivalent norm). Its image is finite-codimensional.
- By the definition of the HB extension any Hahn-Banach smooth subspace $E \subset X^*$ 1-norms the subspace

$$HB_E(\{x^{**}|_E: x^{**} \in X^{**}\}) \subset X^{**}.$$

- Put

$$W := \bigcap_{k < \omega} \bigcap_{m < \omega} HB_{k,1/m}(\{x^{**}|_{[a_n: 1 \leq n \leq k]^\perp}: x^{**} \in X^{**}\}) \subset X^{**}.$$

- A moments reflection with $\varepsilon = 1/m \searrow 0$ and the Hahn-Banach Thm yields that A^\perp 1-norms W .



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 3/4

- Since X^{**} is WLD it satisfies (σ) and thus the above intersection is a coseparable subspace.



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 3/4

- Since X^{**} is WLD it satisfies (σ) and thus the above intersection is a coseparable subspace.
- By using the coseparability of W , let $(f_n)_{n < \omega} \subset X^{***}$ be a sequence such that $\bigcap_n \ker f_n = W$.



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 4/4

- Since X is WLD and Asplund it has a shrinking M-basis $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. Thus $\overline{[x_\alpha^* : \alpha]}^{\omega^*} = X^{***}$.



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 4/4

- Since X is WLD and Asplund it has a shrinking M-basis $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. Thus $\overline{[x_\alpha^* : \alpha]}^{\omega^*} = X^{***}$.
- As X^{**} is WLD, it has property (C), and an application of this yields a countable set Λ such that

$$(f_n)_{n < \omega} \subset \overline{[x_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda]}^{\omega^*} \subset X^{***}.$$



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 4/4

- Since X is WLD and Asplund it has a shrinking M -basis $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. Thus $\overline{[x_\alpha^* : \alpha]}^{\omega^*} = X^{***}$.
- As X^{**} is WLD, it has property (C), and an application of this yields a countable set Λ such that

$$(f_n)_{n < \omega} \subset \overline{[x_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda]}^{\omega^*} \subset X^{***}.$$

- By a separation argument, this means that $[f_n : n < \omega] \subset [x_\alpha]^\perp \subset X^{***}$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus \Lambda$ and consequently

$$[x_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \setminus \Lambda] \subset W.$$



Sketch of the proof of Lemma 4/4

- Since X is WLD and Asplund it has a shrinking M -basis $\{(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. Thus $\overline{[x_\alpha^* : \alpha]}^{\omega^*} = X^{***}$.
- As X^{**} is WLD, it has property (C), and an application of this yields a countable set Λ such that

$$(f_n)_{n < \omega} \subset \overline{[x_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda]}^{\omega^*} \subset X^{***}.$$

- By a separation argument, this means that $[f_n : n < \omega] \subset [x_\alpha]^\perp \subset X^{***}$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa \setminus \Lambda$ and consequently

$$[x_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \setminus \Lambda] \subset W.$$

- The rest of the argument follows from condition (σ) of X .



Thank you!

