## Boundaries, polyhedrality and LFC norms

## Brazilian Workshop on geometry of Banach spaces

Maresias, 28 August 2014

**Richard Smith** 

University College Dublin, Ireland

## **Boundaries**

#### Definition

A **boundary** of a Banach space *X* is a subset  $B \subseteq B_{X^*}$ , such that whenever  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f \in B$  satisfying f(x) = ||x||.

(4) E > (4) E

Image: Image:

## **Boundaries**

#### Definition

A **boundary** of a Banach space X is a subset  $B \subseteq B_{X^*}$ , such that whenever  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f \in B$  satisfying f(x) = ||x||.

#### Remarks

• By the Hahn-Banach Theorem,  $S_{X^*}$  is a boundary.

## **Boundaries**

#### Definition

A **boundary** of a Banach space X is a subset  $B \subseteq B_{X^*}$ , such that whenever  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f \in B$  satisfying f(x) = ||x||.

#### Remarks

- By the Hahn-Banach Theorem,  $S_{X^*}$  is a boundary.
- By (the proof of) the Krein-Milman Theorem,  $ext B_{X^*}$  is a boundary.

< 🗇 > < 🖻 > < 🖻

## **Boundaries**

#### Definition

A **boundary** of a Banach space X is a subset  $B \subseteq B_{X^*}$ , such that whenever  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f \in B$  satisfying f(x) = ||x||.

#### Remarks

- By the Hahn-Banach Theorem,  $S_{X^*}$  is a boundary.
- By (the proof of) the Krein-Milman Theorem,  $ext B_{X^*}$  is a boundary.
- $B = \{\pm e_n^* \in \ell_1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a **countable** boundary of  $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ .

## **Boundaries**

#### Definition

A **boundary** of a Banach space X is a subset  $B \subseteq B_{X^*}$ , such that whenever  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f \in B$  satisfying f(x) = ||x||.

#### Remarks

- By the Hahn-Banach Theorem,  $S_{X^*}$  is a boundary.
- By (the proof of) the Krein-Milman Theorem, ext  $B_{X^*}$  is a boundary.
- $B = \{\pm e_n^* \in \ell_1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a **countable** boundary of  $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ .

• Boundaries can be highly irregular.

## Definition (Klee 60)

A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is **polyhedral** if, given any finite-dimensional subspace  $Y \subseteq X$ , there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S_{(X^*, \|\cdot\|)}$  such that

 $\|y\| = \max_{i=1}^n f_i(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

## Definition (Klee 60)

A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is **polyhedral** if, given any finite-dimensional subspace  $Y \subseteq X$ , there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S_{(X^*, \|\cdot\|)}$  such that

$$\|y\| = \max_{i=1}^n f_i(y)$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ .

## Definition (Pechanec, Whitfield and Zizler 81)

• A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  depends **locally on finitely many coordinates** (LFC) if, given  $x \in S_X$ , there exist open  $U \ni x$  and 'coordinates'  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in X^*$ , such that

## Definition (Klee 60)

A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is **polyhedral** if, given any finite-dimensional subspace  $Y \subseteq X$ , there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S_{(X^*, \|\cdot\|)}$  such that

$$\|y\| = \max_{i=1}^n f_i(y)$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ .

## Definition (Pechanec, Whitfield and Zizler 81)

• A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  depends locally on finitely many coordinates (LFC) if, given  $x \in S_X$ , there exist open  $U \ni x$  and 'coordinates'  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in X^*$ , such that

$$\|y\| = \|z\|$$
 whenever  $y, z \in U$  and  $f_i(y) = f_i(z), 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

## Definition (Klee 60)

A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is **polyhedral** if, given any finite-dimensional subspace  $Y \subseteq X$ , there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S_{(X^*, \|\cdot\|)}$  such that

$$\|y\| = \max_{i=1}^n f_i(y)$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ .

## Definition (Pechanec, Whitfield and Zizler 81)

• A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  depends **locally on finitely many coordinates** (LFC) if, given  $x \in S_X$ , there exist open  $U \ni x$  and 'coordinates'  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in X^*$ , such that

$$\|y\| = \|z\|$$
 whenever  $y, z \in U$  and  $f_i(y) = f_i(z), 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

If all the coordinates come from  $H \subseteq X^*$  then  $\|\cdot\|$  is LFC-*H*.

## Definition (Klee 60)

A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is **polyhedral** if, given any finite-dimensional subspace  $Y \subseteq X$ , there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S_{(X^*, \|\cdot\|)}$  such that

$$\|y\| = \max_{i=1}^n f_i(y)$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ .

## Definition (Pechanec, Whitfield and Zizler 81)

• A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  depends **locally on finitely many coordinates** (LFC) if, given  $x \in S_X$ , there exist open  $U \ni x$  and 'coordinates'  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in X^*$ , such that

$$\|y\| = \|z\|$$
 whenever  $y, z \in U$  and  $f_i(y) = f_i(z), 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

If all the coordinates come from  $H \subseteq X^*$  then  $\|\cdot\|$  is LFC-*H*.

#### Example

The natural norm on  $c_0$  is both polyhedral and LFC- $(e_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Richard Smith (mathsci.ucd.ie/~rsmith)

Boundaries, polyhedrality and LFC norms

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

Let X be separable. Then the following are equivalent.

X has a countable boundary.

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** X has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** *X* has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.
- Solution X has a polyhedral norm (i.e. X is isomorphically polyhedral).

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** *X* has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.
- Solution X has a polyhedral norm (i.e. X is isomorphically polyhedral).
- X has a polyhedral LFC norm.

### Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** *X* has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.
- Solution X has a polyhedral norm (i.e. X is isomorphically polyhedral).
- X has a polyhedral LFC norm.
- X has a LFC norm.

# The benefits of small boundaries

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

Let X be separable. Then the following are equivalent.

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** *X* has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.
- Solution X has a polyhedral norm (i.e. X is isomorphically polyhedral).
- X has a polyhedral LFC norm.
- X has a LFC norm.
- *X* has a LFC norm that is  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth on  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .

. . . . . . .

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

Let X be separable. Then the following are equivalent.

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** *X* has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.
- Solution X has a polyhedral norm (i.e. X is isomorphically polyhedral).
- X has a polyhedral LFC norm.
- X has a LFC norm.
- *X* has a LFC norm that is  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth on  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .

## Corollaries (Fonf)

If X has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary, then...

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

Let X be separable. Then the following are equivalent.

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** *X* has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.
- Solution X has a polyhedral norm (i.e. X is isomorphically polyhedral).
- X has a polyhedral LFC norm.
- X has a LFC norm.
- *X* has a LFC norm that is  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth on  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .

### Corollaries (Fonf)

If X has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary, then...

X<sup>\*</sup> is separable.

(D) (A) (A) (A)

## Theorem (Fonf 89, Hájek 95)

Let X be separable. Then the following are equivalent.

- X has a countable boundary.
- **2** *X* has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary.
- Solution X has a polyhedral norm (i.e. X is isomorphically polyhedral).
- X has a polyhedral LFC norm.
- X has a LFC norm.
- *X* has a LFC norm that is  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth on  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .

## Corollaries (Fonf)

If X has a norm  $\sigma$ -compact boundary, then...

- X<sup>\*</sup> is separable.
- X is c<sub>0</sub>-saturated.

(D) (A) (A) (A)

## Theorem (Fonf, S, Troyanski 1?)

Let X be separable. The following are equivalent.

• X has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to c_0$ , such that  $T^*(c_0^*) \supseteq B$ .

## Theorem (Fonf, S, Troyanski 1?)

Let X be separable. The following are equivalent.

- X has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to c_0$ , such that  $T^*(c_0^*) \supseteq B$ .
- ② *X* has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to Y$  into a polyhedral space *Y*, such that  $T^*(Y^*) \supseteq B$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Theorem (Fonf, S, Troyanski 1?)

Let X be separable. The following are equivalent.

- X has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to c_0$ , such that  $T^*(c_0^*) \supseteq B$ .
- ② *X* has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to Y$  into a polyhedral space *Y*, such that  $T^*(Y^*) \supseteq B$ .
- 3 X is isomorphically polyhedral.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Theorem (Fonf, S, Troyanski 1?)

Let X be separable. The following are equivalent.

- X has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to c_0$ , such that  $T^*(c_0^*) \supseteq B$ .
- ② *X* has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to Y$  into a polyhedral space *Y*, such that  $T^*(Y^*) \supseteq B$ .
- 3 X is isomorphically polyhedral.

A admits a norm having boundary *B*, which is **summable** with respect to a normalized M-basis (*x<sub>n</sub>*)<sub>*n*∈ℕ</sub> having uniformly bounded biorthogonal sequence (*x<sub>n</sub>*<sup>\*</sup>).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Theorem (Fonf, S, Troyanski 1?)

Let X be separable. The following are equivalent.

- X has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to c_0$ , such that  $T^*(c_0^*) \supseteq B$ .
- ② *X* has a boundary *B* and a bounded linear operator  $T : X \to Y$  into a polyhedral space *Y*, such that  $T^*(Y^*) \supseteq B$ .
- 3 X is isomorphically polyhedral.
- A admits a norm having boundary *B*, which is **summable** with respect to a normalized M-basis (*x<sub>n</sub>*)<sub>*n*∈ℕ</sub> having uniformly bounded biorthogonal sequence (*x<sub>n</sub>*<sup>\*</sup>).

#### Definition

 $A \subseteq X^*$  is summable (with respect to  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(x_n)| < \infty$  for all  $f \in A$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 臣 > < 臣 > -

## Definition (Fonf, Pallares, S, Troyanski 2014)

*E* ⊆ *X*<sup>\*</sup> is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-locally relatively norm compact (*w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC) if, given *x* ∈ *E*, there exists *w*<sup>\*</sup>-open *U* ∋ *x* such that *E* ∩ *U* is relatively norm compact.

## Definition (Fonf, Pallares, S, Troyanski 2014)

- *E* ⊆ *X*<sup>\*</sup> is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-locally relatively norm compact (*w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC) if, given *x* ∈ *E*, there exists *w*<sup>\*</sup>-open *U* ∋ *x* such that *E* ∩ *U* is relatively norm compact.
- *E* is  $\sigma$ -*w*<sup>\*</sup>-**LRC** if  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each  $E_n$  is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC.

## Definition (Fonf, Pallares, S, Troyanski 2014)

- *E* ⊆ *X*<sup>\*</sup> is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-locally relatively norm compact (*w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC) if, given *x* ∈ *E*, there exists *w*<sup>\*</sup>-open *U* ∋ *x* such that *E* ∩ *U* is relatively norm compact.
- *E* is  $\sigma$ -*w*<sup>\*</sup>-**LRC** if  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each  $E_n$  is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC.

#### Remarks

• If *E* is relatively norm compact then it is  $w^*$ -LRC: set  $U = X^*$ .

## Definition (Fonf, Pallares, S, Troyanski 2014)

- *E* ⊆ *X*<sup>\*</sup> is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-locally relatively norm compact (*w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC) if, given *x* ∈ *E*, there exists *w*<sup>\*</sup>-open *U* ∋ *x* such that *E* ∩ *U* is relatively norm compact.
- *E* is  $\sigma$ -*w*<sup>\*</sup>-**LRC** if  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each  $E_n$  is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC.

#### Remarks

- If *E* is relatively norm compact then it is  $w^*$ -LRC: set  $U = X^*$ .
- If *E* is relatively *w*\*-discrete then it is *w*\*-LRC.

## Definition (Fonf, Pallares, S, Troyanski 2014)

- *E* ⊆ *X*<sup>\*</sup> is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-locally relatively norm compact (*w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC) if, given *x* ∈ *E*, there exists *w*<sup>\*</sup>-open *U* ∋ *x* such that *E* ∩ *U* is relatively norm compact.
- *E* is  $\sigma$ -*w*<sup>\*</sup>-**LRC** if  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each  $E_n$  is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC.

#### Remarks

- If *E* is relatively norm compact then it is  $w^*$ -LRC: set  $U = X^*$ .
- If *E* is relatively *w*\*-discrete then it is *w*\*-LRC.
- In particular, if  $(x_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a M-basis, then  $(x_{\gamma}^*)$  is *w*\*-LRC.

#### w\*-LRC sets

# Subsets of $X^*$ that are still small, but a bit larger

## Definition (Fonf, Pallares, S, Troyanski 2014)

- *E* ⊆ *X*<sup>\*</sup> is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-locally relatively norm compact (*w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC) if, given *x* ∈ *E*, there exists *w*<sup>\*</sup>-open *U* ∋ *x* such that *E* ∩ *U* is relatively norm compact.
- *E* is  $\sigma$ -*w*<sup>\*</sup>-**LRC** if  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each  $E_n$  is *w*<sup>\*</sup>-LRC.

#### Remarks

- If *E* is relatively norm compact then it is  $w^*$ -LRC: set  $U = X^*$ .
- If *E* is relatively *w*\*-discrete then it is *w*\*-LRC.
- In particular, if  $(x_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a M-basis, then  $(x_{\gamma}^*)$  is  $w^*$ -LRC.
- By Baire Category, if  $Y \subseteq X^*$  is any inf-dim subspace, then  $S_Y$  is never  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC.

## Happiness

#### A definition of happiness

If  $E \subseteq X^*$  is both  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC and  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -compact, we will call it 'happy'.

## Happiness

#### A definition of happiness

If  $E \subseteq X^*$  is both  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC and  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -compact, we will call it 'happy'.

#### Proposition

If *E* is happy then so is span(E).

## Happiness

#### A definition of happiness

If  $E \subseteq X^*$  is both  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC and  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -compact, we will call it 'happy'.

#### Proposition

If *E* is happy then so is span(E).

#### Theorems

If span(E)  $\cap$   $B_{X^*}$  is a boundary of X, where E is happy, then X admits...

## Happiness

### A definition of happiness

If  $E \subseteq X^*$  is both  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC and  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -compact, we will call it 'happy'.

#### Proposition

If *E* is happy then so is span(E).

#### Theorems

If span(E)  $\cap$   $B_{X^*}$  is a boundary of X, where E is happy, then X admits...

a polyhedral LFC norm (FPST 14).

## Happiness

### A definition of happiness

If  $E \subseteq X^*$  is both  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC and  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -compact, we will call it 'happy'.

#### Proposition

If *E* is happy then so is span(E).

#### Theorems

If span(E)  $\cap$   $B_{X^*}$  is a boundary of X, where E is happy, then X admits...

- a polyhedral LFC norm (FPST 14).
- a LFC norm that is  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth on  $X \setminus \{0\}$  (Bible 1?).

# Happiness

### A definition of happiness

If  $E \subseteq X^*$  is both  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC and  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -compact, we will call it 'happy'.

### Proposition

If *E* is happy then so is span(E).

### Theorems

If span(E)  $\cap$   $B_{X^*}$  is a boundary of X, where E is happy, then X admits...

- a polyhedral LFC norm (FPST 14).
- a LFC norm that is  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth on  $X \setminus \{0\}$  (Bible 1?).

### Corollary

If X has a LFC-H norm, where H is happy, then X admits norms as above.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Happiness

### **Examples**

Spaces satisfying the above include...

• Spaces having  $\sigma$ -compact boundaries (Fonf, Hájek).

(D) (A) (A) (A)

### Examples

Spaces satisfying the above include...

- Spaces having  $\sigma$ -compact boundaries (Fonf, Hájek).
- $(C(K), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ , where K is  $\sigma$ -discrete (Hájek, Haydon 07).

### Examples

Spaces satisfying the above include...

- Spaces having  $\sigma$ -compact boundaries (Fonf, Hájek).
- $(C(K), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ , where K is  $\sigma$ -discrete (Hájek, Haydon 07).
- Certain spaces having a M-basis (some Orlicz 'sequence' spaces and preduals of Lorentz 'sequence' spaces d(w, 1, A)).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

To what extent is this happiness necessary for polyhedral and LFC norms?

Image: A matrix

# Necessary conditions

To what extent is this happiness necessary for polyhedral and LFC norms?

#### Theorem

If X has a happy boundary then X admits a norm having locally uniformly rotund (LUR) dual norm.

# Necessary conditions

To what extent is this happiness necessary for polyhedral and LFC norms?

#### Theorem

If X has a happy boundary then X admits a norm having locally uniformly rotund (LUR) dual norm. In particular, if X = C(K) then K is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

. . . . . . . .

# Necessary conditions

To what extent is this happiness necessary for polyhedral and LFC norms?

#### Theorem

If X has a happy boundary then X admits a norm having locally uniformly rotund (LUR) dual norm. In particular, if X = C(K) then K is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

### Example

 $C[0, \omega_1]$  admits both a polyhedral norm and a  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth LFC norm.

# Necessary conditions

To what extent is this happiness necessary for polyhedral and LFC norms?

#### Theorem

If X has a happy boundary then X admits a norm having locally uniformly rotund (LUR) dual norm. In particular, if X = C(K) then K is  $\sigma$ -discrete.

### Example

 $C[0, \omega_1]$  admits both a polyhedral norm and a  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth LFC norm. However, it admits no norm having LUR dual norm, so no boundary of  $C[0, \omega_1]$  (in any norm) is happy.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# A characterisation of isomorphic polyhedrality?

### Theorem (Fonf 1981)

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a polyhedral Banach space. Then the set

 $B = \{f \in B_{X^*} : f \text{ is } w^* \text{-strongly exposed}\}$ 

is a **minimal** boundary of X, and |B| = dens(X).

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# A characterisation of isomorphic polyhedrality?

### Theorem (Fonf 1981)

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a polyhedral Banach space. Then the set

 $B = \{f \in B_{X^*} : f \text{ is } w^* \text{-strongly exposed}\}$ 

is a **minimal** boundary of X, and |B| = dens(X).

### Theorem

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) polyhedral Banach space. Then the minimal boundary *B* can be written as

$$\mathsf{B} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{B}_n,$$

where each  $B_n$  is relatively norm- (equivalently  $w^*$ -) discrete. In particular, B is  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## A new characterisation of isomorphic polyhedrality?

#### Theorem

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) polyhedral Banach space. Then the minimal boundary *B* can be written as

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$$

where each  $B_n$  is relatively norm- (equivalently  $w^*$ -) discrete. In particular, B is  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC.

## A new characterisation of isomorphic polyhedrality?

#### Theorem

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) polyhedral Banach space. Then the minimal boundary *B* can be written as

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$$

where each  $B_n$  is relatively norm- (equivalently  $w^*$ -) discrete. In particular, B is  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC.

### Questions

Does the above result apply in full generality?

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

## A new characterisation of isomorphic polyhedrality?

#### Theorem

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) polyhedral Banach space. Then the minimal boundary *B* can be written as

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$$

where each  $B_n$  is relatively norm- (equivalently  $w^*$ -) discrete. In particular, B is  $\sigma$ - $w^*$ -LRC.

### Questions

- Does the above result apply in full generality?
- Is *B* contained in a happy set? If so, then given *X* WLD, *X* is isomorphically polyhedral if and only if it admits a norm having a boundary contained in a happy set.