# A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

Th. Schlumprecht

### First Brazilian Workshop in Geometry of Banach Spaces August 25-29, 2014 Maresias, Sao Paulo State, Brazil

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

In 1964 Aleksander Pełczyński asked the following question:

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

э

A = 
 A = 
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Zippin, solved that problem positively in 1988 by proving:

Zippin, solved that problem positively in 1988 by proving:

### Theorem (Zippin, 1988)

Every Banach space with separable dual, embeds into a space Z with shrinking basis  $(e_j)$ , i.e. the biorthogonal sequence  $(e_j^*)$  is a basis for  $Z^*$ .

Zippin, solved that problem positively in 1988 by proving:

### Theorem (Zippin, 1988)

Every Banach space with separable dual, embeds into a space Z with shrinking basis  $(e_j)$ , i.e. the biorthogonal sequence  $(e_j^*)$  is a basis for  $Z^*$ .

### and then applying

Theorem (Davis, Figiel, Johnson, and Pełczyński, 1974)

A weakly compact operator from a Banach space X into a Banach space Z, which has a shrinking basis, factors through a reflexive space with a basis.

(人間) ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

### Universality and Embedding Problems

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

< ∃ >

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces. Is there a separable Banach space  $X_u$  having property (P), (or some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into  $X_u$ ?

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces. Is there a separable Banach space  $X_u$  having property (P), (or some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into  $X_u$ ?

Often it is easier to solve a universality problem within the class of Banach spaces with (Schauder) bases, or with FDDs. In that case a Universality Problem becomes an *Embedding Problem*.

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces. Is there a separable Banach space  $X_u$  having property (P), (or some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into  $X_u$ ?

Often it is easier to solve a universality problem within the class of Banach spaces with (Schauder) bases, or with FDDs. In that case a Universality Problem becomes an *Embedding Problem*.

#### **Embedding Problems**

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces.

A (1) > A (2) > A

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces. Is there a separable Banach space  $X_u$  having property (P), (or some slightly weaker property (P')) which is universal for all Banach spaces with property (P), i.e. every separable Banach space X with property (P) embeds (isomorphically) into  $X_u$ ?

Often it is easier to solve a universality problem within the class of Banach spaces with (Schauder) bases, or with FDDs. In that case a Universality Problem becomes an *Embedding Problem*.

### Embedding Problems

Assume (P) is a property of (separable) Banach spaces. Does every Banach space X with property (P) embed into a Banach space Z with property (P) having a (certain) basis/FDD ?

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

★ ∃ → < ∃</p>

• (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.

Image: Image:

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.

Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.

Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

 $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$  separable,

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.

Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

 $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$  separable,

Sz(x) monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.

Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

 $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$  separable,

Sz(x) monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \exists X$  separable & reflexive with  $Sz(X) > \alpha$ .

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.

Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

 $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$  separable,

Sz(x) monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \exists X$  separable & reflexive with  $Sz(X) > \alpha$ .

• (Odell & S, 2002) Characterization of subspaces of  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)_{\ell_p}$ ,

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  - Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

 $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$  separable,

Sz(x) monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \exists X$  separable & reflexive with  $Sz(X) > \alpha$ .

- (Odell & S, 2002) Characterization of subspaces of  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)_{\ell_p}$ ,
- (Odell & S, 2006) Existence of a separable reflexive space universal for all separable super reflexive (uniform convex) spaces,

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  - Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

 $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$  separable,

 $S_{Z}(x)$  monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \exists X$  separable & reflexive with  $S_{Z}(X) > \alpha$ .

- (Odell & S, 2002) Characterization of subspaces of  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)_{\ell_p}$ ,
- (Odell & S, 2006) Existence of a separable reflexive space universal for all separable super reflexive (uniform convex) spaces,
- (Dodos & Ferenczi, 2007) Existence of spaces with separable dual, universal for spaces spaces with Szlenk index below a given countable ordinal α,

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

- (Banach) C[0,1] is universal for all separable Banach spaces.
- (Szlenk, 1968) There is no separable reflexive space universal for all separable reflexive spaces.
  - Idea: define  $Sz(X) \in (0, \omega_1]$  for separable X, so that:

 $Sz(X) < \omega_1 \iff X^*$  separable,

 $S_{Z}(x)$  monotone with respect to isomorphic embeddings,  $\forall \alpha < \omega_1 \exists X$  separable & reflexive with  $S_{Z}(X) > \alpha$ .

- (Odell & S, 2002) Characterization of subspaces of  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n)_{\ell_p}$ ,
- (Odell & S, 2006) Existence of a separable reflexive space universal for all separable super reflexive (uniform convex) spaces,
- (Dodos & Ferenczi, 2007) Existence of spaces with separable dual, universal for spaces spaces with Szlenk index below a given countable ordinal α,
- (Johnson & Zheng 2008, 2011) Characterization of subspaces of spaces having an unconditional basis.

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

Image: Image:

Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

э

#### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

#### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

- ∢ ≣ ▶

### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

• If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with  $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$ ?

### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

• If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with  $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$ ?

• Does the class of spaces X, for which  $Sz(X) \le \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

#### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

• If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with  $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$ ?

• Does the class of spaces X, for which  $Sz(X) \le \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

• Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which  $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \leq \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , which is reflexive and for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}), Sz(X_{\alpha}^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

• If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with  $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$ ?

• Does the class of spaces X, for which  $Sz(X) \le \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

• Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which  $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \leq \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , which is reflexive and for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}), Sz(X_{\alpha}^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

#### Answers:

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

• If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with  $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$ ?

• Does the class of spaces X, for which  $Sz(X) \le \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

• Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which  $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \leq \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , which is reflexive and for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}), Sz(X_{\alpha}^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

#### Answers:

Odell, Zsak & S (2007) and Freeman, Odell, Zsak & S (2009), yes to all questions if  $\alpha = \beta \omega$ ,

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

### Problem (Pełczyński, 2005)

Assume X has Szlenk index  $Sz(X) = \omega^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

• Does X embed into a space Z with a basis having the same Szlenk index?

• If X is reflexive, can Z be chosen to be reflexive, with  $Sz(Z^*) = Sz(X^*)$ ?

• Does the class of spaces X, for which  $Sz(X) \le \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

• Does the class of reflexive separable spaces X, for which  $Sz(X), Sz(X^*) \leq \omega^{\alpha}$ , admit a universal space  $X_{\alpha}$ , which is reflexive and for which  $Sz(X_{\alpha}), Sz(X_{\alpha}^*) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ ?

#### Answers:

Odell, Zsak & S (2007) and Freeman, Odell, Zsak & S (2009), yes to all questions if  $\alpha = \beta \omega$ , Causey (2013 and 2014), yes (for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ) but for Szlenk index of Z in embedding problem we have:  $Sz(Z) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$ , resp. (2)

#### Remark

The proofs of these embedding results start by using Zippin's Theorem and embed our given space X into a space Y with shrinking basis, respectively, in the reflexive case, with shrinking and boundedly complete basis.

#### Remark

The proofs of these embedding results start by using Zippin's Theorem and embed our given space X into a space Y with shrinking basis, respectively, in the reflexive case, with shrinking and boundedly complete basis.

Then, using the special assumptions on X, renorm the space Y, not necessarily in an equivalent way, but so that on the subspace of Y which is isomorphic to X, the norm stays equivalent to the original one.

#### Remark

The proofs of these embedding results start by using Zippin's Theorem and embed our given space X into a space Y with shrinking basis, respectively, in the reflexive case, with shrinking and boundedly complete basis.

Then, using the special assumptions on X, renorm the space Y, not necessarily in an equivalent way, but so that on the subspace of Y which is isomorphic to X, the norm stays equivalent to the original one.

#### Our goal:

A new proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem, in which for a given space X, with  $X^*$  separable, or X separable and reflexive, the space Y, in which X embeds, inherits as many properties from X as possible.

伺下 イヨト イヨト
Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer, as well as proof by Bossard) start by embedding X into  $Z = C(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = Cantor set$  (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X.

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer, as well as proof by Bossard) start by embedding X into  $Z = C(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = Cantor set$  (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X. **Disadvantage of that approach:** 

Not much else is really known about the space Z.

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer, as well as proof by Bossard) start by embedding X into  $Z = C(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = Cantor set$  (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X. **Disadvantage of that approach:** Not much else is really known about the space Z. **Our approach will be different:** 

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer, as well as proof by Bossard) start by embedding X into  $Z = C(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = Cantor set$  (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X. Disadvantage of that approach: Not much else is really known about the space Z. Our approach will be different: We start with a Markushevich basis  $(e_i)$  of X (every separable space has such a basis) or more generally, a Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD), and augment it just enough to produce a space Z with a shrinking Finite Dimensional Decomposition (FDD), which contains X.

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer, as well as proof by Bossard) start by embedding X into  $Z = C(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = Cantor set$  (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X. Disadvantage of that approach: Not much else is really known about the space Z. Our approach will be different: We start with a Markushevich basis  $(e_i)$  of X (every separable space has such a basis) or more generally, a Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD), and augment it just enough to produce a space Z with a shrinking Finite Dimensional Decomposition (FDD), which contains X. Then we use a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri to embed Z in a space W with a shrinking basis.

All known proofs of Zippin's Theorem (Zippin's original proof and a proof by Ghoussoub, Maurey, and Schachermayer, as well as proof by Bossard) start by embedding X into  $Z = C(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = Cantor set$  (which has a basis), and then modifying Z until the modification has a shrinking basis but still contains X. Disadvantage of that approach: Not much else is really known about the space Z. Our approach will be different: We start with a Markushevich basis  $(e_i)$  of X (every separable space has such a basis) or more generally, a Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD), and augment it just enough to produce a space Z with a shrinking Finite Dimensional Decomposition (FDD), which contains X. Then we use a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri to embed Z in a space W with a shrinking basis.

As we will see, several properties of X will be automatically inherited by Z and W.

# Main Result

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回>

æ

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis  $(w_i)$ , so that

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

э

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis  $(w_i)$ , so that a) Sz(W) = Sz(X), where Sz(X) is the Szlenk index of X,

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis  $(w_i)$ , so that

a) Sz(W) = Sz(X), where Sz(X) is the Szlenk index of X,

b) if X is reflexive then W is reflexive and  $Sz(X^*) = Sz(W^*)$ ,

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis  $(w_i)$ , so that a) Sz(W) = Sz(X), where Sz(X) is the Szlenk index of X, b) if X is reflexive then W is reflexive and  $Sz(X^*) = Sz(W^*)$ ,

c) if X\* has the w\*-Unconditional Tree Property then (w<sub>i</sub>) is unconditional, and

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis  $(w_i)$ , so that

- a) Sz(W) = Sz(X), where Sz(X) is the Szlenk index of X,
- b) if X is reflexive then W is reflexive and  $Sz(X^*) = Sz(W^*)$ ,
- c) if X<sup>\*</sup> has the w<sup>\*</sup>-Unconditional Tree Property then (w<sub>i</sub>) is unconditional, and
- d) if X is reflexive and has the w-Unconditional Tree Property then (w<sub>i</sub>) is unconditional.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space W with a shrinking basis  $(w_i)$ , so that

- a) Sz(W) = Sz(X), where Sz(X) is the Szlenk index of X,
- b) if X is reflexive then W is reflexive and  $Sz(X^*) = Sz(W^*)$ ,
- c) if X\* has the w\*-Unconditional Tree Property then (w<sub>i</sub>) is unconditional, and
- d) if X is reflexive and has the *w*-Unconditional Tree Property then (*w<sub>i</sub>*) is unconditional.

 $w^*$ -Unconditional Tree Property (Johnson-Zheng): Every  $w^*$ -null tree in  $S_{X^*}$ , (inf. countably branching, inf. countable height) has a branch which is unconditional.

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

#### Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD  $(Z_i)$  so that

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

#### Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD  $(Z_i)$  so that

a) Sz(Z) = Sz(X),

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

#### Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD  $(Z_i)$  so that

a) 
$$Sz(Z) = Sz(X)$$
,

b) if X is reflexive then Z is reflexive and  $Sz(X^*) = Sz(Z^*)$ , and

We first prove the following FDD version of our main result, and then apply a construction of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri, in order to get from FDD's to bases.

#### Theorem

Assume that X is a Banach space with separable dual. Then X embeds into a space Z with a shrinking FDD  $(Z_i)$  so that

- a) Sz(Z) = Sz(X),
- b) if X is reflexive then Z is reflexive and  $Sz(X^*) = Sz(Z^*)$ , and
- c) if  $X^*$  has an skipped unconditional FMD then  $(Z_i)$  is unconditional.

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence  $(E_n)$  of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

4 3 5 4

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence  $(E_n)$  of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

• ( $E_k$ ) is fundamental:  $X = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$ ,

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence  $(E_n)$  of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

- ( $E_k$ ) is fundamental:  $X = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$ ,
- ②  $(E_k)$  is minimal:  $E_k \cap \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})} = \{0\}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$ In that case we call  $(F_j)$ , with

$$F_k = \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}^{\perp} = \{x^* \in X^* : x^*|_{\overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}} \equiv 0\}$$

the biorthogonal sequence of  $(E_k)$ , and

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence  $(E_n)$  of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

- $(E_k)$  is fundamental:  $X = \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$ ,
- ②  $(E_k)$  is minimal:  $E_k \cap \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})} = \{0\}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$ In that case we call  $(F_j)$ , with

$$F_k = \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}^{\perp} = \{x^* \in X^* : x^* | _{\overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}} \equiv 0\}$$

the biorthogonal sequence of  $(E_k)$ , and

$$(E_k) \text{ is total:} \\ \forall x \in X \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, x^* \in F_k \quad x^*(x) = 0) \Rightarrow x = 0 \\ (\text{i.e. span}(F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ is } w^*\text{-dense in } X^*).$$

向 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Assume X is a separable Banach space. A sequence  $(E_n)$  of finite dimensional subspaces of X is called Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition (FMD) of X if

- ( $E_k$ ) is fundamental:  $X = \overline{\text{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N})}$ ,
- (*E<sub>k</sub>*) is minimal: *E<sub>k</sub>* ∩ span(*E<sub>j</sub>* : *j* ∈  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\}$ ) = {0}, *k* ∈  $\mathbb{N}$ . In that case we call (*F<sub>j</sub>*), with

$$F_k = \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}^{\perp} = \{x^* \in X^* : x^* | _{\overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\})}} \equiv 0\}$$

the biorthogonal sequence of  $(E_k)$ , and

③ (E<sub>k</sub>) is total: ∀x ∈ X (∀k ∈ N, x\* ∈ F<sub>k</sub> x\*(x) = 0) ⇒ x = 0 (i.e. span(F<sub>k</sub> : k ∈ N) is w\*-dense in X\*).
If dim(E<sub>k</sub>) = 1, for all k ∈ N, say E<sub>k</sub> = span(e<sub>k</sub>), then (e<sub>k</sub>) is called a Markushevich basis.

# A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called

・聞き ・ ほき・ ・ ほき

э

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called • c- norming, for  $0 < c \le 1$ , if

$$\sup_{x^*\in \operatorname{span}(F_k:k\in\mathbb{N}), \|x^*\|\leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c \|x\|.$$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

э

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called • c- norming, for  $0 < c \le 1$ , if

$$\sup_{x^*\in \operatorname{span}(F_k:k\in\mathbb{N}), \|x^*\|\leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c \|x\|.$$

Shrinking if span(F<sub>k</sub> : k∈ℕ) is norm dense in X\* and, thus (F<sub>k</sub>) is an FMD for X\* (The sequence (F<sub>k</sub>) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E<sub>K</sub>) being its biorthogonals).

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called • c- norming, for  $0 < c \le 1$ , if

$$\sup_{x^*\in \operatorname{span}(F_k:k\in\mathbb{N}), \|x^*\|\leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c \|x\|.$$

Shrinking if span(F<sub>k</sub> : k∈ℕ) is norm dense in X\* and, thus (F<sub>k</sub>) is an FMD for X\* (The sequence (F<sub>k</sub>) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E<sub>K</sub>) being its biorthogonals).

### Theorem

Markushevich, 1943: Every separable Banach space has a 1-norming Markushevich basis  $(e_j)$ , which can be chosen to be shrinking if  $X^*$  is separable.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called • c- norming, for  $0 < c \le 1$ , if

$$\sup_{x^*\in \operatorname{span}(F_k:k\in\mathbb{N}), \|x^*\|\leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c \|x\|.$$

Shrinking if span(F<sub>k</sub> : k∈ℕ) is norm dense in X\* and, thus (F<sub>k</sub>) is an FMD for X\* (The sequence (F<sub>k</sub>) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E<sub>K</sub>) being its biorthogonals).

## Theorem

Markushevich, 1943: Every separable Banach space has a 1-norming Markushevich basis ( $e_j$ ), which can be chosen to be shrinking if  $X^*$  is separable. Ovsepian & Pełczyński, 1975: ( $e_j$ ) can be chosen to be bounded, i.e.  $\sup_{j=1} ||e_j|| \cdot ||e_j^*|| < c$ , c universal.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A finite dimensional Markushevich decomposition is called • c- norming, for  $0 < c \le 1$ , if

$$\sup_{x^*\in \operatorname{span}(F_k:k\in\mathbb{N}), \|x^*\|\leq 1} |x^*(x)| \geq c \|x\|.$$

Shrinking if span(F<sub>k</sub> : k∈ℕ) is norm dense in X\* and, thus (F<sub>k</sub>) is an FMD for X\* (The sequence (F<sub>k</sub>) is always an FMD of its closed linear span with (E<sub>K</sub>) being its biorthogonals).

## Theorem

Markushevich, 1943: Every separable Banach space has a 1-norming Markushevich basis (e<sub>j</sub>), which can be chosen to be shrinking if X\* is separable. Ovsepian & Pełczyński, 1975: (e<sub>j</sub>) can be chosen to be bounded, i.e.  $\sup_{j=1} ||e_j|| \cdot ||e_j^*|| < c$ , c universal. Pełczyński, 1976: For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , (e<sub>j</sub>) can be chosen so that,  $\sup_{j=1} ||e_j|| \cdot ||e_j^*|| < 1 + \varepsilon$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Assume  $(E_j)$  is an FMD of X with biorthogonals  $(F_j)$ .

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э

$$P_k^E: X = E_k \oplus \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j: j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) projection.

$$P_k^E: X = E_k \oplus \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j: j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) projection. For  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  finite we put

$$P_A^E = \sum_{n \in A} P_k^E$$
 and  $P_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}^E = Id - \sum_{n \in A} P_n^E$ .

$$P_k^E: X = E_k \oplus \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j: j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) projection. For  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  finite we put

$$P_A^E = \sum_{n \in A} P_k^E$$
 and  $P_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}^E = Id - \sum_{n \in A} P_n^E$ 

For  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ :  $supp_E(x) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : P_j^E(x) \neq 0\} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x|_{F_k} \neq 0\}$  and  $supp_E(x^*) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x^*|_{E_j} \neq 0\}$ 

$$P_k^E: X = E_k \oplus \overline{\operatorname{span}(E_j: j \neq k)} \to E_k, \qquad x_1 + x_2 \mapsto x_1$$

which is a bounded (but not necessarily uniformly in  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) projection. For  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  finite we put

$$P_A^E = \sum_{n \in A} P_k^E$$
 and  $P_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}^E = Id - \sum_{n \in A} P_n^E$ 

For  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ :  $supp_E(x) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : P_j^E(x) \neq 0\} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x | F_k \neq 0\}$  and  $supp_E(x^*) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x^* | E_j \neq 0\}$   $rg_E(x), rg_E(x^*) = smallest interval containing <math>supp_E(x)$ , resp.  $supp_E(x^*)$ . An FMD  $(E_n)$  is called a Finite Dimensional Decomposition of X (FDD) if every  $x \in X$  can be uniquely written as  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ , with  $x_n \in E_n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , or, equivalently, if  $b = \sup_{m \leq n} ||P^E_{[m,n]}|| < \infty$  (Projection Constant),
An FMD  $(E_n)$  is called a Finite Dimensional Decomposition of X(FDD) if every  $x \in X$  can be uniquely written as  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ , with  $x_n \in E_n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , or, equivalently, if  $b = \sup_{m \le n} ||P_{[m,n]}^E|| < \infty$  (*Projection Constant*), and an FDD  $(E_n)$  is called unconditional if above representation of every  $x \in X$  converges unconditional, or, equivalently, if  $u = \sup_{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \text{ finite }} ||P_A^E|| < \infty$ .

Assume that  $X^*$  is separable and that  $(E'_i)$  is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition.  $(F'_i)$  its biorthogonal sequence.

#### Lemma

(E'\_i) can be blocked to (E<sub>n</sub>) (i.e.  $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$ , for some  $i_n \nearrow \infty$ ), so that

Assume that  $X^*$  is separable and that  $(E'_i)$  is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition.  $(F'_i)$  its biorthogonal sequence.

#### Lemma

(E'\_i) can be blocked to (E<sub>n</sub>) (i.e.  $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$ , for some  $i_n \nearrow \infty$ ), so that

 every, with respect to (E<sub>j</sub>), skipped block sequence (x<sub>n</sub>) in X (max rg<sub>E</sub>(x<sub>n-1</sub>) < min rg<sub>E</sub>(x<sub>n</sub>) − 1) is basic with projection constant at most 3.

Assume that  $X^*$  is separable and that  $(E'_i)$  is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition.  $(F'_i)$  its biorthogonal sequence.

#### Lemma

(E'\_i) can be blocked to (E<sub>n</sub>) (i.e.  $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$ , for some  $i_n \nearrow \infty$ ), so that

- every, with respect to (E<sub>j</sub>), skipped block sequence (x<sub>n</sub>) in X (max rg<sub>E</sub>(x<sub>n-1</sub>) < min rg<sub>E</sub>(x<sub>n</sub>) − 1) is basic with projection constant at most 3.
- every, with respect to (F<sub>j</sub>), skipped block sequence (x<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup>) in X<sup>\*</sup> (F<sub>n</sub> = span(F'<sub>i</sub> : i<sub>n−1</sub> < i ≤ i<sub>n</sub>)) is basic with projection constant at most 3.

Assume that  $X^*$  is separable and that  $(E'_i)$  is a shrinking Finite Dimensional Markushevich Decomposition.  $(F'_i)$  its biorthogonal sequence.

#### Lemma

(E'\_i) can be blocked to (E<sub>n</sub>) (i.e.  $E_n = span(E'_i : i_{n-1} < i \le i_n)$ , for some  $i_n \nearrow \infty$ ), so that

- every, with respect to (E<sub>j</sub>), skipped block sequence (x<sub>n</sub>) in X (max rg<sub>E</sub>(x<sub>n-1</sub>) < min rg<sub>E</sub>(x<sub>n</sub>) − 1) is basic with projection constant at most 3.
- every, with respect to (F<sub>j</sub>), skipped block sequence (x<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub>) in X<sup>\*</sup> (F<sub>n</sub> = span(F'<sub>i</sub> : i<sub>n−1</sub> < i ≤ i<sub>n</sub>)) is basic with projection constant at most 3.
- and, if X\* has the unconditional tree property for some constant C, every skipped block sequence (x<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub>) in X\* with respect to F<sub>n</sub> is 2C-unconditional.

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0, 1)$ . There exists a strictly increasing  $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$  with: For every  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$  there exists  $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ with  $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

-

Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0, 1)$ . There exists a strictly increasing  $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$  with: For every  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$  there exists  $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ with  $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Follows from iterating the following:

Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0, 1)$ . There exists a strictly increasing  $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$  with: For every  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$  there exists  $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ with  $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Follows from iterating the following:

#### Lemma

Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an n > m so that for all  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ , there is a  $j \in [m, n]$ , with  $||x^*|_{E_j}|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0, 1)$ . There exists a strictly increasing  $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$  with: For every  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$  there exists  $(j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ with  $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Follows from iterating the following:

#### Lemma

Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an n > m so that for all  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ , there is a  $j \in [m, n]$ , with  $||x^*|_{E_j}|| < \varepsilon$ .

#### Remark

Since  $(E_n)$  not necessarily FDD it could be that  $||x^*|_{E_n}||_{E_\kappa^*} << ||P_n^E(x^*)||_{X^*}.$ 

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$  so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$ . Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence  $(n_k)$ .

- ∢ ≣ ▶

- - E - E

-

Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$  so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$ . Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence  $(n_k)$ . Let  $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ . Choose  $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$ , so that  $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ .

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0, 1)$  so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$ . Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence  $(n_k)$ . Let  $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ . Choose  $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ , so that  $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ . Use Hahn Banach to extend  $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$  to  $y_k^* \in X^*$  with  $\|y_k^*\| < \varepsilon_k$ . Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$  so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$ . Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence  $(n_k)$ . Let  $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ . Choose  $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ , so that  $||x^*|_{E_{j_k}} ||_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ . Use Hahn Banach to extend  $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$  to  $y_k^* \in X^*$  with  $||y_k^*|| < \varepsilon_k$ . Then take  $\tilde{x}^* = x^* - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k^*$ . Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$  so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$ . Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence  $(n_k)$ . Let  $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ . Choose  $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ , so that  $\|x^*|_{E_{j_k}}\|_{E_{j_k}^*} < \varepsilon_k$ . Use Hahn Banach to extend  $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$  to  $y_k^* \in X^*$  with  $\|y_k^*\| < \varepsilon_k$ . Then take  $\tilde{x}^* = x^* - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k^*$ . Note:  $\|\tilde{x}^* - x^*\| \le 1/10$  and  $\tilde{x}^*|_{E_{j_k}} \equiv 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2 \dots$ . Let  $(\varepsilon_k) \subset (0,1)$  so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{10}$ . Apply Johnson's lemma to get the sequence  $(n_k)$ . Let  $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ . Choose  $j_k \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$ , so that  $\|x^*\|_{E_{j_k}} \|E_{j_k}^* < \varepsilon_k$ . Use Hahn Banach to extend  $x^*|_{E_{j_k}}$  to  $y_k^* \in X^*$  with  $\|y_k^*\| < \varepsilon_k$ . Then take  $\tilde{x}^* = x^* - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k^*$ . Note:  $\|\tilde{x}^* - x^*\| \le 1/10$  and  $\tilde{x}^*|_{E_{j_k}} \equiv 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2 \dots$ . Conclusion: the set

$$B^* = \left\{ x^* \in B_{X^*} : \frac{\exists \ (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{x^*|_{E_{j_k}} \equiv 0, \ k = 1, 2, \dots} \right\},\$$

is  $\frac{1}{2}$ -norming the space X, so without loss of generality:

$$||x|| = \sup_{x^* \in B^*} |x^*(x)|.$$

<ロ> <部> < 2> < 2> < 2> < 2> < 2</p>

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \frac{\exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\mathsf{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*\| \le 1 \right\}.$$

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

€ 990

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \frac{\exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\mathsf{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*\| \le 1 \right\}.$$

Thus: 
$$B^* = \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^* : (x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}^*\right\}.$$

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

€ 990

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \frac{\exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\mathsf{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*\| \le 1 \right\}.$$

Thus: 
$$B^* = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^* : (x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}^* \right\}.$$

The point of our construction will be that  $\mathbb{B}$  will become the norming set of our space Z, with FDD  $(Z_k)$ .

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

э

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \frac{\exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\mathsf{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*\| \le 1 \right\}.$$

Thus: 
$$B^* = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^* : (x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}^* \right\}.$$

The point of our construction will be that  $\mathbb{B}$  will become the norming set of our space Z, with FDD  $(Z_k)$ . We define:  $Z_k = \bigoplus_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_{k+1}-1} E_j$  (note the overlap!)

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ (x_k^*) \subset X^* : \frac{\exists (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\mathsf{rg}_E(x_k^*) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_k), k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*\| \le 1 \right\}.$$

Thus: 
$$B^*=\Bigg\{\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^*:(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}^*\Bigg\}.$$

The point of our construction will be that  $\mathbb{B}$  will become the norming set of our space Z, with FDD  $(Z_k)$ . We define:  $Z_k = \bigoplus_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_{k+1}-1} E_j$  (note the overlap!) For  $(z_k) \in c_{00} (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k)$  put:

$$||(z_k)||_Z = \sup_{(x_k^*)\in\mathbb{B}} \Big|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*(z_k)\Big|.$$

Z is then the completion of  $c_{00} (\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ .

# Properties of Z

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋

æ

1) The map

$$I: X \to Z, \quad x \mapsto (P^{E}_{(n_{k-1}, n_{k+1})}(x): k \in \mathbb{N})$$

is an isometric embedding:

□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □</p>

1) The map

$$I: X \to Z, \quad x \mapsto (P^{E}_{(n_{k-1}, n_{k+1})}(x): k \in \mathbb{N})$$

is an isometric embedding: Indeed, for  $x \in X$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |I(x)|| &= \sup_{(x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^* (P_{(n_{k-1}, n_{k+1})}^E(x)) \\ &= \sup_{(x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^*(x) \\ &= \sup_{(x_k^*) \in \mathbb{B}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^* \right) (x) = \sup_{x^* \in B} |x^*(x)| = ||x||. \end{aligned}$$

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

・ロ・・聞・・聞・・聞・ 白・

2)  $(Z_k)$  is a Finite Dimensional Decomposition for Z, with projection constant not larger than 3.

◆□▼ ▲□▼ ▲□▼ ▲□▼ ▲□▼

2) (Z<sub>k</sub>) is a Finite Dimensional Decomposition for Z, with projection constant not larger than 3.
For z = (z<sub>k</sub>) ∈ c<sub>00</sub>(⊕<sup>∞</sup><sub>k=1</sub> Z<sub>k</sub>), and m ≤ n we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{[m,n]}^{Z}(z)| &= \sup_{(x_{k}^{*})\in\mathbb{B}} \left|\sum_{k=m}^{n} x_{k}^{*}(z_{k})\right| \\ &\leq \sup_{(x_{k}^{*})\in\mathbb{B}} \left\|(x_{k}^{*})_{k=m}^{n}\right\|_{Z^{*}} \|z\|_{Z} \\ &\leq \sup_{(x_{k}^{*})\in\mathbb{B}} \left\|\sum_{k=m}^{n} x_{k}^{*}\right\|_{X^{*}} \|z\|_{Z} \\ &\left[\sum_{y_{k}^{*}\in B^{*}} \Rightarrow (y_{k}^{*})\in\mathbb{B}, \text{ thus } \|(x^{*})_{k=m}^{n}\|_{Z^{*}} \leq \|\sum_{j=m}^{n} x_{k}^{*}\|_{X^{*}}\right] \\ &\leq 3\|z\| \\ &\left[(x_{k}^{*}) \text{ is a skipped block with respect to } (F_{j})\right] \end{aligned}$$

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

The set B (seen as subset of B<sub>Z\*</sub>) is 1-norming Z, w<sup>\*</sup> compact, and the map

$$\Psi:\mathbb{B} o B,\quad (x_k^*)\mapsto \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_k^*,$$

is norm preserving, onto, and  $w^*$ -continuous (but not injective).

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

The set B (seen as subset of B<sub>Z\*</sub>) is 1-norming Z, w<sup>\*</sup> compact, and the map

$$\Psi:\mathbb{B} o B,\quad (x_k^*)\mapsto \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_k^*,$$

is norm preserving, onto, and  $w^*$ -continuous (but not injective).

4) For 
$$\overline{j} = (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}$$
, define  
 $U_{\overline{j}}^* = U^* = \{x^* \in X^* : x^* | _{E_{j_k}} = 0, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

Then U\* is w\* closed and the map

$$\Phi_{\overline{j}}: U^* \to Z^*, \quad x^* \mapsto (P^F_{(j_{k-1},j_k)}(x^*): k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

is a well defined isometric embedding, which is  $w^*$  continuous.

The set B (seen as subset of B<sub>Z\*</sub>) is 1-norming Z, w<sup>\*</sup> compact, and the map

$$\Psi:\mathbb{B} o B,\quad (x_k^*)\mapsto \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_k^*,$$

is norm preserving, onto, and  $w^*$ -continuous (but not injective).

4) For 
$$\overline{j} = (j_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \{n_k, n_k + 1, \dots n_{k+1}\}$$
, define  
 $U_{\overline{j}}^* = U^* = \{x^* \in X^* : x^* |_{E_{j_k}} = 0, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 

Then  $U^*$  is  $w^*$  closed and the map

$$\Phi_{\overline{j}}: U^* \to Z^*, \quad x^* \mapsto (\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(j_{k-1}, j_k)}(x^*): k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

6) Y Banach space,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T_k : Y \to Z_k$ , for k = 1, 2, ..., N. We want to find an expression of the norm of  $T : Y \to Z$ ,  $y \mapsto (T_k(y) : k = 1, ..., N)$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

6) Y Banach space, N ∈ N, T<sub>k</sub> : Y → Z<sub>k</sub>, for k = 1,2,...N.
We want to find an expression of the norm of T : Y → Z, y ↦ (T<sub>k</sub>(y) : k = 1,...N).
Example: P<sup>Z</sup><sub>A</sub> : Z → Z, (z<sub>j</sub> : j∈N) ↦ (z<sub>j</sub> : j∈A), A⊂N fin.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

-
$$\mathbb{B}_{N} = \left\{ (x_{k}^{*}) \in \mathbb{B} : x_{N+1}^{*} = x_{N+2}^{*} = \dots = 0 \right\}$$
$$\equiv \left\{ (x_{k}^{*})_{k=1}^{N} \subset X^{*} : \frac{\exists (j_{k}) \in \prod_{k=1}^{N} \{n_{k}, n_{k}+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\mathsf{rg}_{E}(x^{*}) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_{k}), k \in \mathbb{N}, \|\sum_{k=1}^{N} x_{k}^{*}\| \le 1 \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{B}_{N} &= \left\{ (x_{k}^{*}) \in \mathbb{B} : x_{N+1}^{*} = x_{N+2}^{*} = \dots = 0 \right\} \\ &\equiv \left\{ (x_{k}^{*})_{k=1}^{N} \subset X^{*} : \frac{\exists (j_{k}) \in \prod_{k=1}^{N} \{n_{k}, n_{k}+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\mathsf{rg}_{E}(x^{*}) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_{k}), k \in \mathbb{N}, \|\sum_{k=1}^{N} x_{k}^{*}\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\text{For } \overline{x}^{*} = (x_{k}^{*})_{k=1}^{N} \in \mathbb{B}_{N}, \text{ let} \\ &T_{\overline{x}^{*}} : \operatorname{span}(x_{k}^{*} : 1 \leq k \leq N) \to Y^{*}, \quad \sum a_{k} x_{k}^{*} \mapsto \sum a_{k} x_{k}^{*} \circ T_{k}. \end{split}$$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

-

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{B}_{N} &= \left\{ (x_{k}^{*}) \in \mathbb{B} : x_{N+1}^{*} = x_{N+2}^{*} = \dots = 0 \right\} \\ &\equiv \left\{ (x_{k}^{*})_{k=1}^{N} \subset X^{*} : \frac{\exists (j_{k}) \in \prod_{k=1}^{N} \{n_{k}, n_{k}+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\operatorname{rg}_{E}(x^{*}) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_{k}), k \in \mathbb{N}, \|\sum_{k=1}^{N} x_{k}^{*}\| \leq 1} \right\} \\ &\text{For } \overline{x}^{*} = (x_{k}^{*})_{k=1}^{N} \in \mathbb{B}_{N}, \text{ let} \\ &T_{\overline{x}^{*}} : \operatorname{span}(x_{k}^{*} : 1 \leq k \leq N) \to Y^{*}, \quad \sum a_{k} x_{k}^{*} \mapsto \sum a_{k} x_{k}^{*} \circ T_{k}. \\ &\text{Then } \|T\|_{L(Y,Z)} = \sup_{\overline{x}^{*} \in \mathbb{B}_{N}} \|T_{\overline{x}^{*}}\|. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{B}_{N} &= \left\{ (x_{k}^{*}) \in \mathbb{B} : x_{N+1}^{*} = x_{N+2}^{*} = \dots = 0 \right\} \\ &\equiv \left\{ (x_{k}^{*})_{k=1}^{N} \subset X^{*} : \frac{\exists (j_{k}) \in \prod_{k=1}^{N} \{n_{k}, n_{k}+1, \dots, n_{k+1}\}}{\operatorname{rg}_{E}(x^{*}) \subset (j_{k-1}, j_{k}), k \in \mathbb{N}, \|\sum_{k=1}^{N} x_{k}^{*}\| \leq 1} \right\} \\ &\text{For } \overline{x}^{*} = (x_{k}^{*})_{k=1}^{N} \in \mathbb{B}_{N}, \text{ let} \\ &T_{\overline{x}^{*}} : \operatorname{span}(x_{k}^{*} : 1 \leq k \leq N) \to Y^{*}, \quad \sum a_{k} x_{k}^{*} \mapsto \sum a_{k} x_{k}^{*} \circ T_{k}. \\ &\text{Then } \|T\|_{L(Y,Z)} = \sup_{\overline{x}^{*} \in \mathbb{B}_{N}} \|T_{\overline{x}^{*}}\|. \\ &\text{If } T = P_{A}^{Z}, \text{ and thus } T_{k} = P_{k}^{Z}, \text{ if } k \in A, \text{ and } T_{k} = 0 \\ &\text{otherwise. Then} \end{split}$$

$$\|P_A^Z\| = \sup_{\overline{x}\in\mathbb{B}} \left\|\sum_{k\in A} x_k^*\right\|_{Z^*} = \sup_{\overline{x}\in\mathbb{B}} \left\|\sum_{k\in A} x_k^*\right\|_{X^*}.$$

Th. Schlumprecht A new Proof of Zippin's Embedding Theorem and Applications

<ロト <問 > < 注 > < 注 >

æ

### Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

3 N

#### Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

This problem has two parts.

#### Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

This problem has two parts.

Problem (Infinite Dimensional Part)

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with an FDD?

### Problem

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with basis?

This problem has two parts.

Problem (Infinite Dimensional Part)

Does every separable super reflexive space X embed into a super reflexive space with an FDD?

#### Problem (Finite Dimensional Part)

Assume that E is a finite dimensional space whose modulus of uniform convexity is  $w(\cdot)$ . Is there a constant C (could depend on  $w(\cdot)$  but not on anything else) so that E is C-isomorphic to a subspace of finite dimensional space F whose modulus of uniform convexity is also  $w(\cdot)$  (or a function v(r) only depending on  $w(\cdot)$ ), so that F has a basis whose constant is at most C?