

Representations of ideals in Banach spaces

Barnabás Farkas¹

joint work with

Piotr Borodulin-Nadzieja² and Grzegorz Plebanek²

First Brazilian Workshop in Geometry of Banach Spaces

¹Kurt Gödel Research Center, University of Vienna

²Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław

Classical summable ideals

Definition

Let $h : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a sequence such that $\sum_{n \in \omega} h(n) = \infty$.
Then the **summable ideal associated to h** is

$$\mathcal{I}_h = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{n \in A} h(n) < \infty \right\} \quad (\text{an } F_\sigma \text{ P-ideal}).$$

Classical summable ideals

Definition

Let $h : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a sequence such that $\sum_{n \in \omega} h(n) = \infty$.
Then the **summable ideal associated to h** is

$$\mathcal{I}_h = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{n \in A} h(n) < \infty \right\} \quad (\text{an } F_\sigma \text{ P-ideal}).$$

Why $h : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$? What if $h : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$?

Classical summable ideals

Definition

Let $h : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a sequence such that $\sum_{n \in \omega} h(n) = \infty$.
Then the **summable ideal associated to h** is

$$\mathcal{I}_h = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{n \in A} h(n) < \infty \right\} \quad (\text{an } F_\sigma \text{ P-ideal}).$$

Why $h : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$? What if $h : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$?

We have to change the definition: $A \in \mathcal{I}'_h$ if the sum of $(h(n))_{n \in A}$ is **unconditionally convergent**, that is, the net

$$\sum h \upharpoonright A = \left\{ s_h(F) = \sum_{n \in F} h(n) : F \in [A]^{<\omega} \right\} \text{ is convergent.}$$

But we still have the same family of ideals: $A \in \mathcal{I}'_h$ iff $A \in \mathcal{I}_{|h|} \dots$

Generalized summable ideals

$$h : \omega \rightarrow ?$$

Generalized summable ideals

$$h : \omega \rightarrow ?$$

Definition

Let G be a Polish Abelian group and $h : \omega \rightarrow G$ such that $\sum h$ is not convergent. Then the **generalized summable ideal associated to G and h** is

$$\mathcal{I}_h^G = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum h \upharpoonright A \text{ is convergent} \right\}.$$

Generalized summable ideals

$$h : \omega \rightarrow ?$$

Definition

Let G be a Polish Abelian group and $h : \omega \rightarrow G$ such that $\sum h$ is not convergent. Then the **generalized summable ideal associated to G and h** is

$$\mathcal{I}_h^G = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum h \upharpoonright A \text{ is convergent} \right\}.$$

We say that an ideal \mathcal{J} on ω is **representable in G** if there is an $h : \omega \rightarrow G$ such that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_h^G$. If \mathbf{C} is a class of groups then \mathcal{J} is **\mathbf{C} -representable** if it is representable in a $G \in \mathbf{C}$.

Examples

Example

\mathcal{J} is representable in \mathbb{R}^n (or in \mathbb{T}^n) iff \mathcal{J} is a summable ideal.

Examples

Example

\mathcal{J} is representable in \mathbb{R}^n (or in \mathbb{T}^n) iff \mathcal{J} is a summable ideal.

Example

\mathcal{J} is representable in \mathbb{R}^ω (or in \mathbb{T}^ω) iff \mathcal{J} is the intersection of countable many summable ideals. These ideals are not necessarily F_σ .

Examples

Example

\mathcal{J} is representable in \mathbb{R}^n (or in \mathbb{T}^n) iff \mathcal{J} is a summable ideal.

Example

\mathcal{J} is representable in \mathbb{R}^ω (or in \mathbb{T}^ω) iff \mathcal{J} is the intersection of countable many summable ideals. These ideals are not necessarily F_σ .

Example

If $(G_k)_{k \in \omega}$ is a sequence of non-trivial discrete Abelian groups, then \mathcal{J} is representable in $\prod_{k \in \omega} G_k$ iff \mathcal{J} is representable in \mathbb{Z}_2^ω iff there is a family $\{X_k : k \in \omega\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ such that

$$\mathcal{J} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \forall k \in \omega \ |A \cap X_k| < \omega \right\}.$$

Examples

Example

The *density zero ideal*

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \frac{|A \cap n|}{n} \rightarrow 0 \right\} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \frac{|A \cap [2^n, 2^{n+1})|}{2^n} \rightarrow 0 \right\}$$

(an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ P-ideal) is representable in c_0 :

Examples

$$h(0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$h(1) = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$h(2) = (0, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$h(3) = (0, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$h(4) = (0, 0, 0, 1/4, 0, \dots)$$

$$h(5) = (0, 0, 0, 1/4, 0, \dots)$$

$$h(6) = (0, 0, 0, 1/4, 0, \dots)$$

$$h(7) = (0, 0, 0, 1/4, 0, \dots)$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

If $A \subseteq \omega$ then $\sum h \upharpoonright A$ is convergent \iff

$$\sum_{n \in A} h(n) = \left(0, \frac{|A \cap [2, 4]|}{2}, \frac{|A \cap [4, 8]|}{4}, \dots \right) \in c_0 \iff A \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Why are we doing this?

- (i) Our approach reveals some “geometric” properties of ideals and therefore it can be helpful in classifying certain classes of ideals.
- (ii) These methods can be useful in providing new interesting examples of non-pathological analytic P-ideals (see later).
- (iii) Representability of certain ideals in a Banach space can be seen as a combinatorial property of the space itself and this may lead us to develop new methods in the theory of Banach spaces.

Polish- and Banach-representability

Theorem

\mathcal{J} is Polish-representable iff \mathcal{J} is an analytic P-ideal.

Theorem

\mathcal{J} is Banach-representable iff \mathcal{J} is a non-path. analytic P-ideal.

Analytic P-ideals

A function $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is

- a **submeasure** (on ω) if $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$, φ is monotonic, subadditive, and $\varphi(\{n\}) < \infty$ for every $n \in \omega$;
- **lower semicontinuous** (lsc, in short) if $\varphi(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(X \cap n)$ for each $X \subseteq \omega$.

If φ is an lsc submeasure then let

$$\mathbf{Fin}(\varphi) = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \varphi(A) < \infty \right\} \quad (\text{an } F_\sigma \text{ ideal}).$$

$$\mathbf{Exh}(\varphi) = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0 \right\} \quad (\text{an } F_{\sigma\delta} \text{ P-ideal}).$$

Analytic P-ideals

Theorem (Mazur, Solecki)

Let \mathcal{J} be an ideal on ω . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{J} is an analytic P -ideal.
- (ii) $\mathcal{J} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ for some (finite) lsc submeasure φ .
- (iii) There is a Polish group topology on \mathcal{J} (with respect to Δ) such that the Borel structure of this topology coincides with the Borel structure inherited from $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.

Furthermore, \mathcal{J} is an F_σ P -ideal iff $\mathcal{J} = \text{Fin}(\varphi) = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ for some lsc submeasure φ .

Examples

- Classical summable ideals.

Examples

- Classical summable ideals.
- (Generalized) Density ideals: Let $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of (sub)measures on ω with pairwise disjoint finite supports with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\omega) > 0$. Then the **(generalized) density ideal associated to $\vec{\mu}$** is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\vec{\mu}} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \mu_n(A) \rightarrow 0\}.$$

Examples

- Classical summable ideals.
- (Generalized) Density ideals: Let $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of (sub)measures on ω with pairwise disjoint finite supports with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\omega) > 0$. Then the **(generalized) density ideal associated to $\vec{\mu}$** is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\vec{\mu}} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \mu_n(A) \rightarrow 0\}.$$

- **The trace of the null ideal:**

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{N}) = \{A \subseteq 2^{<\omega} : \lambda\{f \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n f \upharpoonright n \in A\} = 0\}.$$

Examples

- Classical summable ideals.
- (Generalized) Density ideals: Let $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of (sub)measures on ω with pairwise disjoint finite supports with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\omega) > 0$. Then the **(generalized) density ideal associated to $\vec{\mu}$** is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\vec{\mu}} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \mu_n(A) \rightarrow 0\}.$$

- **The trace of the null ideal:**

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{N}) = \{A \subseteq 2^{<\omega} : \lambda\{f \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n f \upharpoonright n \in A\} = 0\}.$$

- **Farah's ideal:**

$$\mathcal{J}_F = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{n \in \omega} \frac{\min\{n, |A \cap [2^n, 2^{n+1})|\}}{n^2} < \infty \right\}.$$

Examples

- Classical summable ideals.
- (Generalized) Density ideals: Let $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of (sub)measures on ω with pairwise disjoint finite supports with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\omega) > 0$. Then the **(generalized) density ideal associated to $\vec{\mu}$** is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\vec{\mu}} = \{A \subseteq \omega : \mu_n(A) \rightarrow 0\}.$$

- **The trace of the null ideal:**

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{N}) = \{A \subseteq 2^{<\omega} : \lambda\{f \in 2^\omega : \exists^\infty n f \upharpoonright n \in A\} = 0\}.$$

- **Farah's ideal:**

$$\mathcal{J}_F = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{n \in \omega} \frac{\min\{n, |A \cap [2^n, 2^{n+1})|\}}{n^2} < \infty \right\}.$$

- **Tsirelson ideals.**

Non-pathological ideals

A submeasure φ is **non-pathological** if for every $A \subseteq \omega$

$$\varphi(A) = \sup \{ \mu(A) : \mu \text{ is a measure on } \mathcal{P}(\omega) \text{ and } \mu \leq \varphi \}.$$

An analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} is **non-pathological** if $\mathcal{I} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ for some non-pathological lsc submeasure φ .

Non-pathological ideals

A submeasure φ is **non-pathological** if for every $A \subseteq \omega$

$$\varphi(A) = \sup \{ \mu(A) : \mu \text{ is a measure on } \mathcal{P}(\omega) \text{ and } \mu \leq \varphi \}.$$

An analytic P-ideal \mathcal{J} is **non-pathological** if $\mathcal{J} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ for some non-pathological lsc submeasure φ .

Theorem (Hrušák)

An analytic P-ideal \mathcal{J} is non-pathological iff $\mathcal{J} \upharpoonright X \leq_K \mathcal{Z}$ (i.e. there is an $f : \omega \rightarrow X$ such that $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{Z}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{J}$) for every $X \in \mathcal{P}(\omega) \setminus \mathcal{J}$.

Representability in c_0

Proposition

An ideal \mathcal{I} is representable in c_0 iff there is a family $\{\mu_k : k \in \omega\}$ of measures on ω such that $\mathcal{I} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ where $\varphi = \sup\{\mu_k : k \in \omega\}$ and $\{k : n \in \text{supp}(\mu_k)\}$ is finite for each n .

Representability in c_0

Proposition

An ideal \mathcal{I} is representable in c_0 iff there is a family $\{\mu_k : k \in \omega\}$ of measures on ω such that $\mathcal{I} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ where $\varphi = \sup\{\mu_k : k \in \omega\}$ and $\{k : n \in \text{supp}(\mu_k)\}$ is finite for each n .

Example

Density ideals are representable in c_0 .

Representability in c_0

We show that $\text{tr}(\mathcal{N})$, Farah's ideal, and Tsirelson ideals are not representable in c_0 .

Representability in c_0

We show that $\text{tr}(\mathcal{N})$, Farah's ideal, and Tsirelson ideals are not representable in c_0 .

Proposition

If \mathcal{J} is representable in c_0 and ***totally bounded*** (that is, if $\mathcal{J} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ then $\varphi(\omega) < \infty$), then \mathcal{J} is a generalized density ideal.

Representability in c_0

We show that $\text{tr}(\mathcal{N})$, Farah's ideal, and Tsirelson ideals are not representable in c_0 .

Proposition

If \mathcal{J} is representable in c_0 and **totally bounded** (that is, if $\mathcal{J} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ then $\varphi(\omega) < \infty$), then \mathcal{J} is a generalized density ideal.

Corollary

$\text{tr}(\mathcal{N})$ is not representable in c_0 .

Proof:

1. $\text{tr}(\mathcal{N})$ is not a generalized density ideal (because e.g. it is **summable-like**).
2. Hrusak+Hernandez-Hernandez: $\text{tr}(\mathcal{N})$ is totally bounded (because e.g. $\mathfrak{s}(\text{tr}(\mathcal{N})) = \omega$).

Representability in c_0

Theorem

If a tall F_σ ideal is representable in c_0 iff it is a summable ideal.

Representability in c_0

Theorem

If a tall F_σ ideal is representable in c_0 iff it is a summable ideal.

Corollary

Farah's ideal and Tsirelson ideals are not representable in c_0 .

Representability in ℓ_1

Representability in ℓ_1

Theorem

There is a tall F_σ P-ideal (the *Rademacher-ideal*) which is representable in ℓ_1 but not a summable ideal.

Representability in ℓ_1

Theorem

There is a tall F_σ P-ideal (the **Rademacher-ideal**) which is representable in ℓ_1 but not a summable ideal.

Proof (sketch): Our first, still unfinished (but 99% working☺) idea was to build blocks of the form

$(0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon_0/a_n, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}/a_n, 0, \dots)$ where $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ and a_n is an appropriate sequence tending to ∞ . Problem: If we add all 2^n possible new elements to our family, then we should understand the following constant:

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{\max\{\|\sum F\| : \emptyset \neq F \subseteq S\}}{\sum\{\|v\| : v \in S\}} : \emptyset \neq S \in [X \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}]^{<\omega} \right\}.$$

Representability in ℓ_1

Theorem

There is a tall F_σ P-ideal (the **Rademacher-ideal**) which is representable in ℓ_1 but not a summable ideal.

Proof (sketch): Our first, still unfinished (but 99% working☺) idea was to build blocks of the form

$(0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon_0/a_n, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}/a_n, 0, \dots)$ where $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ and a_n is an appropriate sequence tending to ∞ . Problem: If we add all 2^n possible new elements to our family, then we should understand the following constant:

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{\max\{\|\sum F\| : \emptyset \neq F \subseteq S\}}{\sum\{\|v\| : v \in S\}} : \emptyset \neq S \in [X \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}]^{<\omega} \right\}.$$

Piotr's refinement: At the n th stage we add "Rademacher-like" vectors only and then we can apply Khintchine's inequality etc.

Questions

Question

General version: Is there any reasonable characterization of \mathbb{R}^ω -, or c_0 -, or ℓ_1 -representable ideals?

Reasonable versions:

- Which ideals can be covered by a summable ideal?
- Are all ℓ_1 -representable ideals F_σ ?

Question

Assume that all non-pathological analytic P-ideals are representable in a Banach space X . Does it imply that X is universal for the class of all separable Banach spaces?

Question

What can we say about ideals representable in (completions of) weak topologies?

Thank you for your attention!