

Type of multilinear operators and polynomials

Jamilson R. Campos
(jamilson@dcx.ufpb.br)

This is a joint work with Geraldo Botelho

I Brazilian Workshop on geometry of Banach spaces
August - 2014

1.1 - Introduction

The concepts of type and cotype of Banach spaces were introduced mainly by J. Hoffmann-Jørgensen and by B. Maurey in the study of Banach spaces-valued random variables.

Since then the theory of type and cotype have found several applications and became a central part of the geometry of Banach spaces, and of the linear and multilinear operator theory and operator ideals.

1.1 - Introduction

The concepts of type and cotype of Banach spaces were introduced mainly by J. Hoffmann-Jørgensen and by B. Maurey in the study of Banach spaces-valued random variables.

Since then the theory of type and cotype have found several applications and became a central part of the geometry of Banach spaces, and of the linear and multilinear operator theory and operator ideals.

1.2 - Our goals

Our main goals throughout this recent research has been to define and study these concepts in a multilinear scenario setting up the relationships of new definitions with the linear and multilinear theory already established.

We introduce the class of multilinear operators of type (p_1, \dots, p_n) and polynomials of type p and show some relationships between the first one with the multi-ideals constructed by composition and linearization methods from the class of linear operator of type p .

1.2 - Our goals

Our main goals throughout this recent research has been to define and study these concepts in a multilinear scenario setting up the relationships of new definitions with the linear and multilinear theory already established.

We introduce the class of multilinear operators of type (p_1, \dots, p_n) and polynomials of type p and show some relationships between the first one with the multi-ideals constructed by composition and linearization methods from the class of linear operator of type p .

2.1 - Linear operators of type p

Let the sequence $(r_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the Rademacher functions. We say that a sequence $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in E is *almost unconditionally summable* if the series $\sum_j r_j(t)x_j$ converges in $L_2([0, 1]; E)$.

The set of these sequences, denoted $\text{Rad}(E)$, is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\text{Rad}(E)} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j x_j \right\|_{L_2(E)} = \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(t) x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

2.1 - Linear operators of type p

Let the sequence $(r_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the Rademacher functions. We say that a sequence $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in E is *almost unconditionally summable* if the series $\sum_j r_j(t)x_j$ converges in $L_2([0, 1]; E)$.

The set of these sequences, denoted $\text{Rad}(E)$, is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\|_{\text{Rad}(E)} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j x_j \right\|_{L_2(E)} = \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(t) x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

2.1 - Linear operators of type p

We say that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ is of **type p** , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, if there is some constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k r_j(t) T(x_j) \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in E$. A normed space E is called of **type p** if id_E has type p .

It is a folklore that the set of all linear operators from E into F of type p , denoted by $\tau_p(E; F)$, is a Banach space with usual operations and under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tau_p} := \inf\{C > 0, \text{ such that (1) holds}\}$.

2.1 - Linear operators of type p

We say that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ is of **type p** , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, if there is some constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k r_j(t) T(x_j) \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in E$. A normed space E is called of **type p** if id_E has type p .

It is a folklore that the set of all linear operators from E into F of type p , denoted by $\tau_p(E; F)$, is a Banach space with usual operations and under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tau_p} := \inf\{C > 0, \text{ such that (1) holds}\}$.

2.1 - Multilinear and polynomial definitions

Let $p, p_1, \dots, p_n \in (0, +\infty)$.

Definition: A continuous n -linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ has *type* (p_1, \dots, p_n) , $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} \leq 1$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that, however we choose finitely many vectors $(x_j^{(1)}, \dots, x_j^{(n)})$ in $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$, $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k r_j(t) T(x_j^{(1)}, \dots, x_j^{(n)}) \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^n \left\| (x_j^{(i)})_{j=1}^k \right\|_{p_i}. \quad (2)$$

2.1 - Multilinear and polynomial definitions

Definition: A continuous n -homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$ has *type* p , $n \leq p \leq 2n$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ for which

$$\left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k r_j(t) P(x_j) \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^p \right)^{n/p}, \quad (3)$$

regardless of the choice of finitely many vectors x_1, \dots, x_k in E .

2.1 - Multilinear and polynomial definitions

The sets of all n -linear operators of type (p_1, \dots, p_n) from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$ into F and all n -homogeneous polynomials of type p from E into F are denoted by $\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and by $\mathcal{P}_{\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n}({}^n E; F)$. These sets, provided with the usual operations, are Banach subspaces of $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ and $\mathcal{P}({}^n E; F)$ equipped with the norms

$$\|\cdot\|_{\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n} := \inf\{C > 0, \text{ such that (2) holds}\}$$

and

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_{\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n}} := \inf\{C > 0, \text{ such that (3) holds}\}.$$

2.2 - Examples

Let us show some examples:

- The continuous 2-linear operator

$$T : l_1 \times l_1 \longrightarrow l_1$$

$$((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, (y_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}) \mapsto (x_j y_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$$

does **not have** any proper type (p_1, p_2) ;

- Let E_1, \dots, E_n be Banach spaces such that E_n has type p_n , $\varphi_i \in E'_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and the continuous n -linear operator T defined by

$$T : E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \longrightarrow E_n$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \varphi_1(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \cdot x_n.$$

Then T **has type** (p_1, \dots, p_n) for any p_1, \dots, p_n such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} \leq 1;$$

2.2 - Examples

Let us show some examples:

- The continuous 2-linear operator

$$T : l_1 \times l_1 \longrightarrow l_1$$

$$((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, (y_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}) \mapsto (x_j y_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$$

does **not have** any proper type (p_1, p_2) ;

- Let E_1, \dots, E_n be Banach spaces such that E_n has type p_n , $\varphi_i \in E_i'$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and the continuous n -linear operator T defined by

$$T : E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \longrightarrow E_n$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \varphi_1(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) \cdot x_n.$$

Then T **has type** (p_1, \dots, p_n) for any p_1, \dots, p_n such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} \leq 1;$$

2.2 - Examples

- The n -linear operators of finite rank (and finite type operators) **has** any proper type (p_1, \dots, p_n) .
- The continuous n -linear operator

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma : E_1 \times E_n &\longrightarrow E_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n\end{aligned}$$

does **not have** any proper type (p_1, \dots, p_n) ;

2.2 - Examples

- The n -linear operators of finite rank (and finite type operators) **has** any proper type (p_1, \dots, p_n) .
- The continuous n -linear operator

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : E_1 \times E_n &\longrightarrow E_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi E_n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \end{aligned}$$

does **not have** any proper type (p_1, \dots, p_n) ;

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

A **Banach multi-ideal** $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a subclass of the all class of continuous multilinear operators between Banach spaces such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Banach spaces E_1, \dots, E_n and F , the components $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \cap \mathcal{M}$ satisfy:

i) $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is a complete subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ which contains the n -linear finite type operators;

ii) The ideal property: if $A \in \mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $u_j \in \mathcal{L}(G_j, E_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$, then $tA(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{M}(G_1, \dots, G_n; H)$ and

$$\|tA(u_1, \dots, u_n)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|t\| \|A\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|u_1\| \cdots \|u_n\|.$$

iii) the operator $\|id_{\mathbb{K}^n} : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : id_{\mathbb{K}^n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

A **Banach multi-ideal** $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a subclass of the all class of continuous multilinear operators between Banach spaces such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Banach spaces E_1, \dots, E_n and F , the components $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \cap \mathcal{M}$ satisfy:

i) $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is a complete subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ which contains the n -linear finite type operators;

ii) The ideal property: if $A \in \mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $u_j \in \mathcal{L}(G_j, E_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$, then $tA(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{M}(G_1, \dots, G_n; H)$ and

$$\|tA(u_1, \dots, u_n)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|t\| \|A\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|u_1\| \cdots \|u_n\|.$$

iii) the operator $\|id_{\mathbb{K}^n} : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : id_{\mathbb{K}^n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

A **Banach multi-ideal** $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a subclass of the all class of continuous multilinear operators between Banach spaces such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Banach spaces E_1, \dots, E_n and F , the components $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \cap \mathcal{M}$ satisfy:

i) $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is a complete subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ which contains the n -linear finite type operators;

ii) The ideal property: if $A \in \mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $u_j \in \mathcal{L}(G_j, E_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$, then $tA(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{M}(G_1, \dots, G_n; H)$ and

$$\|tA(u_1, \dots, u_n)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|t\| \|A\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|u_1\| \cdots \|u_n\|.$$

iii) the operator $\|id_{\mathbb{K}^n} : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : id_{\mathbb{K}^n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

A **Banach multi-ideal** $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a subclass of the all class of continuous multilinear operators between Banach spaces such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Banach spaces E_1, \dots, E_n and F , the components $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) = \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \cap \mathcal{M}$ satisfy:

i) $\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is a complete subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ which contains the n -linear finite type operators;

ii) The ideal property: if $A \in \mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, $u_j \in \mathcal{L}(G_j, E_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$, then $tA(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{M}(G_1, \dots, G_n; H)$ and

$$\|tA(u_1, \dots, u_n)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|t\| \|A\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|u_1\| \cdots \|u_n\|.$$

iii) the operator $\|id_{\mathbb{K}^n} : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : id_{\mathbb{K}^n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

We notice that

- $(\mathcal{T}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}_p})$ is a Banach Ideal of linear operators; (this is well known)
- $(\mathcal{T}_{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)}^n, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}_{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)}^n})$ is a Banach multi-ideal (we proved this in our work).

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

We notice that

- $(\mathcal{T}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}_p})$ is a Banach Ideal of linear operators; (this is well known)
- $(\mathcal{T}_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n})$ is a Banach multi-ideal (we proved this in our work).

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

We will consider two methods to build multi-ideals from ideals of linear operators. Given $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ ideals of linear operators:

1) An n -linear mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is said to be of type $[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]$, in symbols $T \in [\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n](E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, if $l_j(T) \in \mathcal{I}_j(E_j; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, E_n; F))$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where the operator $l_j : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_j; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, E_n; F))$ is defined by

$$l_j(T)(x_j)(x_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, x_n) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

and $\overset{[j]}{\dots}$ means that the j -th coordinate is not involved.

If $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ are normed ideals, we define

$$\|T\|_{[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]} = \max \{ \|l_1(T)\|_{\mathcal{I}_1} \cdots \|l_n(T)\|_{\mathcal{I}_n} \},$$

for $T \in [\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n](E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$.

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

We will consider two methods to build multi-ideals from ideals of linear operators. Given $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ ideals of linear operators:

1) An n -linear mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is said to be of type $[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]$, in symbols $T \in [\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n](E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, if $l_j(T) \in \mathcal{I}_j \left(E_j; \mathcal{L} \left(E_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, E_n; F \right) \right)$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where the operator $l_j : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \left(E_j; \mathcal{L} \left(E_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, E_n; F \right) \right)$ is defined by

$$l_j(T)(x_j)(x_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, x_n) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

and $\overset{[j]}{\dots}$ means that the j -th coordinate is not involved.

If $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ are normed ideals, we define

$$\|T\|_{[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]} = \max \{ \|l_1(T)\|_{\mathcal{I}_1} \cdots \|l_n(T)\|_{\mathcal{I}_n} \},$$

for $T \in [\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n](E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$.

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

We will consider two methods to build multi-ideals from ideals of linear operators. Given $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ ideals of linear operators:

1) An n -linear mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ is said to be of type $[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]$, in symbols $T \in [\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n](E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, if $l_j(T) \in \mathcal{I}_j(E_j; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, E_n; F))$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where the operator $l_j : \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E_j; \mathcal{L}(E_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, E_n; F))$ is defined by

$$l_j(T)(x_j)(x_1, \overset{[j]}{\dots}, x_n) = T(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

and $\overset{[j]}{\dots}$ means that the j -th coordinate is not involved.

If $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ are normed ideals, we define

$$\|T\|_{[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]} = \max \{ \|l_1(T)\|_{\mathcal{I}_1} \cdots \|l_n(T)\|_{\mathcal{I}_n} \},$$

for $T \in [\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n](E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$.

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

2) Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of linear operators. A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}$, denoted by $T \in \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, if there are a Banach space G , an operator $u \in \mathcal{I}(G; F)$ and an n -linear mapping $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ such that $T = u \circ B$.

If \mathcal{I} is a normed ideal, for $T \in \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, we define

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}} = \inf \{ \|B\| \|u\|_{\mathcal{I}} : T = u \circ B, \text{ with } u \text{ and } B \text{ as above} \}.$$

It is well-known that if \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ are normed ideals then $([\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n], \|\cdot\|_{[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]})$ and $(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}})$ are normed ideals of multilinear mappings.

The procedures with which the above ideals were created are called **linearization** and **composition** methods, respectively.

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

2) Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of linear operators. A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}$, denoted by $T \in \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, if there are a Banach space G , an operator $u \in \mathcal{I}(G; F)$ and an n -linear mapping $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ such that $T = u \circ B$.

If \mathcal{I} is a normed ideal, for $T \in \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, we define

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}} = \inf \{ \|B\| \|u\|_{\mathcal{I}} : T = u \circ B, \text{ with } u \text{ and } B \text{ as above} \}.$$

It is well-known that if \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ are normed ideals then $([\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n], \|\cdot\|_{[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]})$ and $(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}})$ are normed ideals of multilinear mappings.

The procedures with which the above ideals were created are called **linearization** and **composition** methods, respectively.

3.1 - Some definitions and notation required

2) Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of linear operators. A mapping $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}$, denoted by $T \in \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, if there are a Banach space G , an operator $u \in \mathcal{I}(G; F)$ and an n -linear mapping $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ such that $T = u \circ B$.

If \mathcal{I} is a normed ideal, for $T \in \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$, we define

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}} = \inf \{ \|B\| \|u\|_{\mathcal{I}} : T = u \circ B, \text{ with } u \text{ and } B \text{ as above} \}.$$

It is well-known that if \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n$ are normed ideals then $([\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n], \|\cdot\|_{[\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n]})$ and $(\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{L}})$ are normed ideals of multilinear mappings.

The procedures with which the above ideals were created are called **linearization** and **composition** methods, respectively.

3.1 - Relationship with the ideals $\tau_p \circ \mathcal{L}$ and $[\tau_{q_1}, \dots, \tau_{q_n}]$

So, we prove that

Theorem

If $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n}$ then $\tau_p \circ \mathcal{L} \subseteq \tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|T\|_{\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n} \leq \|T\|_{\tau_p \circ \mathcal{L}}.$$

and, for instance, that

Theorem

If $1 < q_1, \dots, q_n \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} < 1$ then

$$\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n \not\subseteq [\tau_{q_1}, \dots, \tau_{q_n}],$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.1 - Relationship with the ideals $\tau_p \circ \mathcal{L}$ and $[\tau_{q_1}, \dots, \tau_{q_n}]$

So, we prove that

Theorem

If $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n}$ then $\tau_p \circ \mathcal{L} \subseteq \tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|T\|_{\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n} \leq \|T\|_{\tau_p \circ \mathcal{L}}.$$

and, for instance, that

Theorem

If $1 < q_1, \dots, q_n \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} < 1$ then

$$\tau_{(p_1, \dots, p_n)}^n \not\subseteq [\tau_{q_1}, \dots, \tau_{q_n}],$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

References



G. Botelho, *Ideals of polynomials generated by weakly compact operators*, *Note di Matematica* **25** (2005), 69-102.



G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino and P. Rueda, *On composition ideals of multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials*, *Publications of the Resesarch Institute for Mathematical Sciences* **43**, no. 4 (2007), 1139-1155.



A. Defant and K. Floret, *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **176**, North-Holland, 1993.



A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, Springer, 1980.



A. Pietsch, *Ideals of multilinear functionals*, *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics*, 185-199, Teubner-Texte, Leipzig, 1983.

Thank you very much!