

REPRESENTING IDEMPOTENTS AS A SUM OF TWO NILPOTENTS OF DEGREE FOUR

ALEXANDER GRISHKOV AND SAID SIDKI

ABSTRACT. The freest minimal algebra R over the field of rational numbers where an idempotent is a sum of two nilpotents of degree 4 is presented by $\mathbb{Q} \langle e, b \mid e^2 = e, a^4 = b^4 = 0, e = a + b \rangle$. We produce a basis for R , show that ReR is its unique non-zero minimal ideal. Moreover, we provide a faithful representation of R as a 4-dimensional matrix algebra over a 3-generated, 4-related ring where the image of e is a nonzero matrix with zero diagonal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem in ring theory of the representation of an idempotent as a sum of two nilpotent elements of respective degrees m, n was initiated in [1]. The freest corresponding minimal ring is

$$\mathcal{Z}(m, n) = \langle e, a, b \mid e^2 = e, a^m = 0, b^n = 0, e = a + b \rangle.$$

and the freest corresponding minimal algebra in characteristic zero is $\mathcal{A}(m, n) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(m, n)$. It may be assumed by symmetry that $m \leq n$.

By applying the trace function, it is easy to see that in any finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ over fields of characteristic zero, the image of e is the zero linear transformation. It was shown in [1] that the same conclusion holds in any representation of $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ as a PI algebra of characteristic zero. Furthermore, it was proven that the ring $\mathcal{Z}(m, n)$ was finitely generated as a \mathbb{Z} -module for $m = 2, n$ arbitrary and for $m = 3, n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and therefore, in this range of parameters, the ideal generated by e is finite.

Matrix representations of $\mathcal{A}(4, 4)$ in $M_{4 \times 4}(D)$ over division rings D in characteristic 0 was undertaken by Salwa in [3]. He showed that such a matrix ring contains a nonzero idempotent E with zero diagonal if and only if D contains a copy of the first Weyl algebra. Moreover, he obtained a representation for $\mathcal{A}(3, 6)$ in characteristic zero where the image of e is non-zero. Considering that $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ maps onto $\mathcal{A}(k, l)$ whenever $m \geq k, n \geq l$, these results establish that the algebra $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ is infinite dimensional if and only if the pair $(m, n) \geq (3, 6)$ or $(4, 4)$, under lexicographical ordering.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a relatively easy non-trivial representation of $\mathcal{Z}(4, 4)$ and furthermore to prove that $\mathcal{A}(4, 4)$ is minimal, in the sense that it has no proper non-commutative quotients.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16U99.

Key words and phrases. idempotent, nilpotent, infinite dimension .

The first author acknowledges support from the Brazilian scientific agencies FAPESP and CNPq and the second author acknowledges support from FAPDF and CNPq.

The new representation of $\mathcal{Z}(4, 4)$ has the advantage of being an elementary application of the Diamond Lemma. We prove

Theorem 1. *Let T be the ring with the presentation*

$$\langle x, y, z \mid xy + yx = z, yz + zy = x, \\ zx + xz = y, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0 \rangle.$$

Then, T has as \mathbb{Z} -basis the set

$$\{x^i y^j z^k \mid i, j \geq 0, k = 0, 1\}.$$

Furthermore, the element

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y & z \\ x & 0 & z & y \\ y & z & 0 & x \\ z & y & x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of $M_{4 \times 4}(T)$ is an idempotent.

Next, we provide an explicit \mathbb{Q} -basis for the algebra $R = \mathcal{A}(4, 4)$ built from one for the subalgebra eRe . Having this basis we are able to prove

Theorem 2. *The ideal ReR generated by e is the unique minimal non-zero ideal of R .*

This theorem implies that our representation of R into $M_{4 \times 4}(T)$ and that of Salwa's into $M_{4 \times 4}(D)$ are both faithful.

Our results raise the question about the ideal structure of $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ in general and of $\mathcal{A}(3, 6)$ in particular.

We thank Vladislav Kharchenko for useful discussions on the material of this paper.

2. SYMMETRIC MATRIX REPRESENTATION OF $\mathcal{Z}(4, 4)$

We consider a generic symmetric matrix $E = (x_{ij})$ of dimension 4 with zero diagonal such that $E^2 = E$. The six entries of E satisfy the following sixteen equations:

$$x_{ij}^2 = -x_{ik}^2 - x_{il}^2, \quad i \neq j, k, l, \\ x_{ij} = \sum_{k \neq i, j} x_{ik} x_{kj}, \quad i \neq j.$$

These equations imply

$$2(x_{12}^2 + x_{13}^2 + x_{23}^2) = 0, \quad 2x_{23}^2 = 2x_{14}^2, \quad 2x_{24}^2 = 2x_{13}^2, \quad 2x_{34}^2 = 2x_{12}^2.$$

On assuming the partial algebra of entries of our matrix to be torsion-free and on choosing

$$x_{23} = x_{14}, \quad x_{24} = x_{13}, \quad x_{34} = x_{12},$$

the conditions reduce to the four equations

$$x_{12}^2 + x_{13}^2 + x_{23}^2 = 0, \\ x_{12} = x_{13}x_{14} + x_{14}x_{13}, \\ x_{13} = x_{12}x_{14} + x_{14}x_{12}, \\ x_{14} = x_{12}x_{13} + x_{13}x_{12}.$$

Rename the entries as $x_{12} = x, x_{13} = y, x_{14} = z$. Then the algebra of entries of our matrix is now the ring T with the presentation

$$\begin{aligned} &< x, y, z | xy + yx = z, yz + zy = x, \\ zx + xz &= y, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0 >. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1. *The ring T has as \mathbb{Z} -basis the set $\{x^i y^j z^k \mid i, j \geq 0, k = 0, 1\}$.*

Proof. We shall apply the Diamond Lemma where the relations of S are interpreted as substitutions. The ambiguities to be resolved appear in calculating the following products zzx, zzy, zyx . First we compute the auxiliary equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (yx)x &= y - 2xz + x^2y, & (yx)y &= x - yz - xy^2, \\ y(yx) &= -x + 2yz + xy^2, & y(zx) &= x^2 + 2y^2 + xyz, \\ (zx)z &= yz + x^3 + xy^2, & (zx)y &= y^2 - x^2 + xyz, \\ (zy)z &= y - xz + x^2y + y^3. \end{aligned}$$

On using the above equations it is straightforward to check that the ambiguities are resolved. ■

Corollary 1. *Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & z & 0 & 0 \\ z & y & x & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & y & z \\ 0 & 0 & z & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be elements of $M_{4 \times 4}(T)$ and let $E = A + B$. Then, E is an idempotent and $A^4 = B^4 = 0$, $A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ xzx & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where $xzx = xy - x^2z \neq 0$.*

A direct consequence of the above is

Corollary 2. *The algebra $R = \mathcal{A}(4, 4)$ has infinite \mathbb{Q} -dimension.*

3. A BASIS FOR THE ALGEBRA $\mathcal{A}(4, 4)$

We rewrite the presentation of the algebra $R = \mathcal{A}(4, 4)$ as

$$\mathbb{Q} \langle e, b | e^2 = e, b^4 = (e + b)^4 = 0 \rangle.$$

It is clear that e is not a central idempotent in R . We extend our algebra by a unit, $P = R \oplus \mathbb{Q}1$. Then $f = 1 - e$ is an idempotent and we have the Peirce decomposition

$$P = ePe \oplus ePf \oplus fPe \oplus fPf.$$

Define the subalgebras $S = eRe, T = fRf$. Then,

$$ePe = S, ePf = eRf, fPe = fRe, fPf = T.$$

The algebra R decomposes as

$$R = \sum \{\mathbb{Q}b^i \mid i = 1, 2, 3\} + \sum \{b^i S b^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 3\}.$$

Thus, in any representation, R has finite \mathbb{Q} -dimension if and only if S has finite \mathbb{Q} -dimension.

The monomials w in P have the form $w = e, f$, or $g^l b^{i_1} g \dots b^{i_k} g^m$ where $g = e, f$, $l, m \in \{0, 1\}$, $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq 3$. Define the formal b -length of w (that is when w is seen as an element of the free semi-group generated by e, f, b) to be $|w| = 0$ if $w = e$ or f and $|w| = i_1 + \dots + i_k$, otherwise. If W is a subspace of P , then W_n denotes the \mathbb{Q} -space generated by all elements of W represented as monomials of b -length at most n .

3.1. Computations in R . Define $x = ebe, y = eb^2e, z = eb^3e, U = \{x^i, x^i y x^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$ in S .

Expand the equation $(e + b)^4 = 0$ and use $b^4 = 0$ to produce

$$\begin{aligned} b^3e + eb^3 + b^2eb + beb^2 + eb^2e + b^2e + eb^2 + \\ ebeb + bebebeb + 2ebe + be + eb + e = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The multiplication $e \times (1) \times e$ produces

$$z \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(yx + xy) \pmod{S_2}; \quad (2)$$

$b^3 \times (1) \times b^3$ produces

$$b^3(y + 2x + e)b^3 = 0; \quad (3)$$

$(1) \times b^3$ produces

$$b^3eb^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{R_5}; \quad (4)$$

$e \times (1)$ produces

$$eb^3 \equiv -xb^2 - yb + \frac{1}{2}(yx + xy) \pmod{R_2}; \quad (5)$$

$(5) \times b$ produces

$$yb^2 \equiv x^2b^2 + \frac{1}{2}(yx + 3xy)b - \frac{1}{2}x(yx + xy) \pmod{R_3}; \quad (6)$$

$(6) \times e$ produces

$$2y^2 \equiv x^2y + 2xyx + yx^2 \pmod{R_3}; \quad (7)$$

$(1) \times e$ leads to

$$b^3e \equiv -b^2x - by + \frac{1}{2}(yx + xy) \pmod{R_2} \quad (8)$$

$b \times (8)$ leads to

$$b^2y \equiv b^2x^2 + \frac{1}{2}b(3yx + xy) - \frac{1}{2}(yx + xy)x \pmod{R_3}. \quad (9);$$

On substituting b^3e and eb^3 in (1) we get

$$beb^2 \equiv -b^2eb + b^2x + xb^2 + by + yb - (yx + xy) \pmod{R_2}. \quad (10)$$

The multiplication $b \times (10)$ produces

$$b^2eb^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(yx + xy)b - \frac{1}{2}b(yx + xy) + 2byb + b^2xb + bxb^2 \pmod{R_3}; \quad (11)$$

(6) $\times be$ produces

$$4yxy \equiv - (3x^2yx + 3xyx^2 + yx^3 + x^3y) \pmod{S_4}. \quad (12)$$

We have $z^2 = eb^3eb^3e = (eb^3e)^2 \equiv (xy + yx)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{S_5}$ from which we derive, using (4) and (2),

$$yx^2y \equiv \frac{1}{2}xyx^3 + \frac{1}{4}x^4y + \frac{1}{2}x^2yx^2 + \frac{1}{4}yx^4 + \frac{1}{2}x^3yx \pmod{S_5}. \quad (13)$$

3.2. A basis for the subalgebra $S = eRe$.

Proposition 2. *The following congruences hold in the algebra S ,*

$$yx^{2n-3}y \equiv -\frac{1}{2n}yx^{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n}x^{2n-1}y - \frac{2n-1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} x^i y x^{2n-i-1} \pmod{S_{2n}},$$

for $n \geq 2$;

$$yx^{2n-2}y \equiv \frac{1}{2n}yx^{2n} + \frac{1}{2n}x^{2n}y + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} x^i y x^{2n-i} \pmod{S_{2n+1}},$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Congruences (7), (12) and (13) of the previous section are the first three cases of the proposition.

Suppose that for $p = 0, \dots, n+1$ we have established the congruences

$$yx^p y \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p+2} \alpha(i, p) x^i y x^{p-i+2} \pmod{S_{p+3}}, \quad (1)$$

for some rational coefficients $\alpha(i, p)$ and where

$$\alpha(0, p) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{p+3} & \text{for } p \text{ odd} \\ \frac{1}{p+2} & \text{for } p \text{ even} \end{cases}.$$

Then, it follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} (yx^n) y^2 &\equiv \frac{1}{2} (yx^n y) x^2 + (yx^{n+1} y) x + \frac{1}{2} yx^{n+2} y \equiv \\ &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n+2} \alpha(i, n) x^i y x^{n-i+4} + \sum_{i=0}^{n+3} \alpha(i, n+1) x^i y x^{n-i+4} + \\ &\frac{1}{2} yx^{n+2} y \pmod{S_{n+5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Also, from (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (yx^n y) y &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n+2} \alpha(i, n) x^i (yx^{n-i+2} y) \equiv \alpha(0, n) yx^{n+2} y + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \alpha(i, n) x^i \sum_{j=0}^{n-i+4} \alpha(j, n-i+2) x^j y x^{n-i-j+4} \pmod{S_{n+5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Therefore, from (2) and (3), we obtain

$$yx^{n+2}y \equiv \alpha(0, n+2)yx^{n+4} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+4} \alpha(i, n+2)x^i yx^{n-i+4} \pmod{S_{n+5}}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(0, n+2) &= \frac{2\alpha(0, n+1) + \alpha(0, n)}{1 - 2\alpha(0, n)} \text{ and} \\ \alpha(i, n+2) &= \frac{2\alpha(i, n+1) + \alpha(i, n) + 2\sum_{j=1}^i \alpha(j, n)\alpha(i-j, n-j+2)}{1 - 2\alpha(0, n)} \\ &\text{for } i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Given the values of $\alpha(0, n)$ and $\alpha(0, n+1)$ we find that

$$\alpha(0, n+2) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\alpha(0, n+1) + \alpha(0, n)}{1 - 2\alpha(0, n)} = -\frac{1}{n+5} \text{ for } n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{1}{n+4} \text{ for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Let V be the vector space generated by the set U . We have shown that $yx^n y \in V$ for all $n \geq 0$ and therefore, $V = S$. The precise form of the coefficients $\alpha(i, n+2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+4$ can be established in a straightforward, though lengthy manner. ■

Proposition 3. *The set U is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for the algebra S .*

Proof. Suppose U is linearly dependent then there exist $m, n \geq 0$ such that

$$x^m yx^n = \sum_{(i,j) < (m,n)} \beta_{ij} x^i yx^j$$

where the order on the pairs (i, j) is lexicographical and $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let K be the extension of \mathbb{Q} by x . Then, S is a finitely generated right K -module and we note that it is freely generated as a right K -module by $y, xy, x^2y, \dots, x^l y$ for some l .

By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a 2-sided ideal I in R , maximal with respect to not containing e . Let \bar{R} be the quotient of the algebra R by I . Then, easily, \bar{R} is a prime ring.

Let \bar{S}, \bar{K} be the respective images of S, K in \bar{R} . Then, again, \bar{S} is a free right \bar{K} -module of finite rank. As \bar{e} is the identity element in \bar{S} , the representation of \bar{S} on itself by multiplication on the left is faithful. Thus, \bar{S} is identifiable with a subalgebra of $M_{n \times m}(\bar{K})$. Therefore, \bar{S} is a PI-algebra and \bar{R} is a GPI-algebra (it satisfies a polynomial identity with constant \bar{e}). By a Theorem of Martindale [2], there exists a field extension F of \mathbb{Q} such that $\bar{R}_F = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{R}$ is primitive. Hence $\bar{e}\bar{R}_F\bar{e}$ is also primitive, but as this is a PI-algebra, it follows that $\bar{e}\bar{R}_F\bar{e}$ is isomorphic to $M_{p \times p}(F)$ for some p . Therefore $\bar{e} = 0$; a contradiction is reached. ■

Corollary 3. *Let I be an ideal of R such that $e \notin I$. Then, $I \cap S = 0$.*

Proof. If $I \cap S \neq 0$ then $S/I \cap S$ is finite dimensional and therefore so is R/I . But then $e \in I$; a contradiction. ■

3.3. Bases for fRf and fRe . Define the subalgebra $T = fRf$ and subspace $W = fRe$ of P . Define in T the elements $p = fbf, q = fb^2f$, the subset $U' = \{p^i, p^i qp^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$ and in W the subset $U'' = \{fbx^i, fb^2x^i, fbx^i yx^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$.

It can be established following a similar routine as in the case of $S = eRe$ that U' is a basis for T . For example, consider the congruence (10) from Section 3.1. Then the multiplication $f \times (10) \times f$ produces

$$fb^2ebf + fbeb^2f \equiv 0 \pmod{P_2}.$$

Therefore, on substituting $e = 1 - f$, we obtain

$$fb^3f \equiv \frac{1}{2}(qp + pq) \pmod{T_2},$$

and so, T is generated as an algebra by p, q .

More concretely, we have

Proposition 4. *The following congruences hold in the algebra T ,*

$$qp^{2n-3}q \equiv \frac{1}{2n}qp^{2n-1} + \frac{1}{2n}p^{2n-1}q + \frac{2n-1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} p^i qp^{2n-i-1} \pmod{T_{2n}},$$

for $n \geq 2$;

$$qp^{2n-2}q \equiv -\frac{1}{2n}qp^{2n} - \frac{1}{2n}p^{2n}q - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p^i qp^{2n-i} \pmod{T_{2n+1}},$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Moreover, U' is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for T .

Again, similarly, we have

Proposition 5. *The following congruences hold in the subspace $W = fRe$,*

$$fb^2x^{2n-1}y \equiv -\frac{1}{2n+1}fb^2x^{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+1}fbx^{2n}y - \frac{4n+1}{2n(2n+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} fbx^i yx^{2n-i}$$

$\pmod{T_{2n+2}}$, for $n \geq 1$,

$$fb^2x^{2n}y \equiv \frac{1}{2n+1}fb^2x^{2n+2} + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}fbx^{2n+1}y + \frac{4n+3}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} fbx^i yx^{2n-i+1}$$

$\pmod{T_{2n+1}}$, for $n \geq 1$.

Moreover, U'' is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of W .

Proof. We will only prove that U'' is linearly independent. Suppose we have a nontrivial dependence equation

$$\sum \alpha_i fb^2x^i + \sum \beta_i fbx^{i+1} + \sum \gamma_{ij} fbx^i yx^j = 0.$$

Suppose that the maximum b -degree of the monomials in the sum is $m+2$, then we will work modulo P_{m+1} ; thus we have

(*)

$$\alpha fb^2x^m + \beta fbx^{m+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \gamma_i fbx^i yx^{m-i-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{P_{m+1}}.$$

We multiply (*) on the left by eb and make the substitution $f = 1 - e$.

This multiplication produces:

$$\alpha((z)x^m - xyx^m) + \beta(yx^{m+1} - x^{m+3}) + \sum \gamma_i((yx^i y)x^{m-i-1} - x^{i+2}yx^{m-i-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{S_{m+2}}.$$

Then, on substituting in the above

$$\begin{aligned} z &\equiv -\frac{1}{2}yx - \frac{1}{2}xy \pmod{R_2}, \\ yx^i y &\equiv \varepsilon_i(yx^{i+2} + x^{i+2}y) + \delta_i \sum_{1 \leq l \leq i+1} x^l yx^{i+2-l} \pmod{R_{i+3}} \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha \left(-\frac{1}{2}yx^{m+1} - \frac{3}{2}xyx^m \right) + \beta(yx^{m+1} - x^{m+3}) + \\ &\sum_i \gamma_i \left(\left(\varepsilon_i(yx^{i+2} + x^{i+2}y) + \delta_i \sum_{1 \leq l \leq i+1} x^l yx^{i+2-l} \right) x^{m-i-1} - x^{i+2}yx^{m-i-1} \right) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{S_{m+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha \left(-\frac{1}{2}yx^{m+1} - \frac{3}{2}xyx^m \right) + \beta(yx^{m+1} - x^{m+3}) + \\ &\left(\sum_i \gamma_i \varepsilon_i \right) yx^{m+1} + \sum_i \gamma_i \varepsilon_i x^{i+2} yx^{m-i-1} + \sum_i \gamma_i \delta_i \left(\sum_{1 \leq l \leq i+1} x^l yx^{m-l+1} \right) \\ &- \sum_i \gamma_i x^{i+2} yx^{m-i-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{S_{m+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\beta x^{m+3} + \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \beta + \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i \varepsilon_i \right) yx^{m+1} + \\ &\left(-\frac{3}{2}\alpha + \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i \delta_i \right) xyx^m + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m-2} \left(\gamma_i (\varepsilon_i - 1) + \sum_{i+1 \leq k} \gamma_k \delta_k \right) x^{i+2} yx^{m-i-1} \\ &+ \gamma_{m-1} (\varepsilon_{m-1} - 1) x^{m+1} y \equiv 0 \pmod{S_{m+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= 0, \\ \alpha &= 2 \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i \varepsilon_i = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i \delta_i, \\ \gamma_i (\varepsilon_i - 1) + \sum_{k \geq i+1} \gamma_k \delta_k &= 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq m-2, \\ \gamma_{m-1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon_i \neq 1$ for all i , this system easily leads to $\gamma_i = 0$ for all i and to $\alpha = 0$. A contradiction is reached. ■

Corollary 4. *The set*

$$\{x^i, x^i yx^j, p^i, p^i qp^j, fbx^i, fbx^i yx^j, fb^2x^i, x^i bf, x^i yx^j bf, x^i b^2 f | i, j \geq 0\},$$

is a basis of P , where $x^0 = e, p^0 = f$. Furthermore, the set

$$\{b^i | i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{b^k x^i b^l, b^k x^i y x^j b^l | i, j \geq 0, k, l = 0, 1\}$$

is a basis for R , where $b^0 = 1$.

4. IDEAL STRUCTURE OF R

The ideal generated by e is $J = ReR$ and R/J is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[b|b^4 = 0]$. The ideal structure of R is determined by

Theorem 3. *The ideal J is the unique minimal non-zero ideal of the algebra R .*

Proof. Let I be a minimal non-zero ideal of P not containing e . Then, $I \cap ePe = 0$. Suppose that $f \in I$. Then, since $e + b = -f + (1 + b)$, we get $0 = (e + b)^4 = u + (1 + b)^4$ for some $u \in I$. We have a contradiction since $1 + b$ is invertible. Therefore $f \notin I$ and $I \cap fP = 0$. From the Peirce decomposition, we obtain $I = eIf \oplus fIe$. Suppose a is a non-zero element of fIe of b -degree m . Then

$$\alpha f b^2 x^m + \beta f b x^{m+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \gamma_i f b x^i y x^{m-i-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{P_{m+1}},$$

and a repetition of the argument in the previous proposition leads to a contradiction. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Ferrero, M. , Puczyłowski, E., Sidki, S., On the representation of an idempotent as a sum of nilpotent elements, *Canad. Math. Bull.* vol. **39** (2), (1996) 178-185.
- [2] Martindale, W., Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, *J. Algebra* vol. **12** (1969), 576-584.
- [3] Salwa, A., Representing idempotents as a sum of two nilpotents-An application via matrices over division rings, *Colloq. Math.* vol. **77** (1), (1998) 59-83.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL
E-mail address: grishkov@ime.usp

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, 70910-900 BRASÍLIA- DF, BRAZIL
E-mail address: sidki@mat.unb.br